Page 1

## CAUSE NO. DC-19-09828

D&T PARTNERS, LLC (successor in interest to ACET VENTURE	§ IN THE DISTRICT COURT §
PARTNERS, LLC.),	§ §
Plaintiff,	§ §
vs.	§
ACET GLOBAL, LLC; BAYMARK	§ § DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS
ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK	§
ACET DIRECT INVEST, LLC; BAYMARK MANAGEMENT, LLC;	§ §
BAYMARK PARTNERS; DAVID	8
HOOK; TONY LUDLOW; and WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC,	<u>S</u>
Defendants.	§ 116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT
Detelladits.	§ 116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF

ANTHONY LUDLOW

APRIL 15, 2021

REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY LUDLOW, produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff, and remotely duly sworn by agreement of all counsel, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on April 15, 2021, from 9:36 a.m. to 4:44 p.m., before Karen L. D. Schoeve, CSR, RDR, CRR, in and for the State of Texas, reported remotely by computerized machine

	Page 2			Page 4
1	shorthand, pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure	1	INDEX	
2	and the provisions stated on the record or attached	2	PA	GE
3	hereto.	3	Appearances	3
4		4	Stipulation - Objection by One is	Objection 10
5	This deposition is being conducted remotely in	5	for All	3
6	accordance with the current Emergency Order regarding the	6	Request for Production	140
7	COVID-19 State of Disaster, Paragraph 3.b and c.	7	Request For Production	141
8		8	1	
9	REPORTER'S NOTE: Exhibits were presented in	9		
10	nonsequential order.	10	ANTHONY LUDLOW	
11	•	11	Examination By Mr. Freeman	11
12	Please be advised that an UNCERTIFIED ROUGH	12	Afternoon Session	127
13	DRAFT version of this transcript exists. If you are in	13	Examination (Continued) By	Mr. Freeman 127
14	possession of said rough draft, please replace it	14	Examination By Mr. Perrin	260
15	immediately with this CERTIFIED FINAL TRANSCRIPT.	15		
16		16		
17	Please note that due to the quality of a Zoom	17	Signature and Changes	264
18	videoconference and transmission of data and overspeaking	18	z-onatare and changes	
19	causes audio distortion which disrupts the process of	19	Certified Stenographic	266
20	preparing a videoconference transcript.	20	Court Reporter's Certificate	-00
21	tt8 a version amount.	21	Court reporter a Certificate	
22	Quotation marks are used for clarity and do not	22		
23	necessarily reflect a direct quote.	23		
24		24		
25		25		
	ALL PARTIES APPEARED REMOTELY VIA ZOOM ***********************************	4	ACET Global, LLC, letter dated 02/12/18 To Tomer Damti From Anthony Ludlow	
6 7 8	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:  JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410	7 8	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495	
7	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034	6 7 8 9	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 228 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495 Exhibit 5 89	
7 8 9 10	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com	6 7 8 9	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 B9 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto	
7 8 9 10	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW:	6 7 8 9	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page	
7 8 9 10 11 11 12	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3410 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN	6 7 8 9 10 11	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169	
7 8 9 10 11 11 12	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE	6 7 8 9 10 11	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 192 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve	
7 8 9 10 11	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053	6 7 8 9 10 11	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 228 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 192 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045  Exhibit 8 31	
7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC: MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, ESQUIRE MR. TIMOTHY WOODS, ESQUIRE MR. TIMOTHY WOODS, ESQUIRE	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 1 16	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC: MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, ESQUIRE MR. TIMOTHY WOODS, ESQUIRE	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045  Exhibit 8 31 E-mail dated 12/07/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: Voicemail) New message in	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC: MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, ESQUIRE HIGIER ALLEN 2711 North Haskell Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75204	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045  Exhibit 8 31 E-mail dated 12/07/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: (Voicemail) New message in mailbox 1003 on Friday, December 07, 2018 at 08:37:09 AM Bates stamped BP_012078 - 79  Exhibit 11 141	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC: MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, ESQUIRE HIGIER ALLEN 2711 North Haskell Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75204 T: 972.371.2481 bhard-wilson@higierallen.com	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 192 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045  Exhibit 8 31 E-mail dated 12/07/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: Voicemail] New message in mailbox 1003 on Friday, December 07, 2018 at 08:37:09 AM Bates stamped BP_012078 - 79	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC: MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, ESQUIRE HIGHER ALLEN 2711 North Haskell Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75204 T: 972.371.2481 bhard-wilson@higierallen.com twoods@higierallen.com  ALSO PRESENT: Matt Denegre, Client Representative	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 192 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045  Exhibit 8 31 E-mail dated 12/07/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: [Voicemail] New message in mailbox 1003 on Friday, December 07, 2018 at 08:37:09 AM Bates stamped BP_012078 - 79  Exhibit 1 United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Texas Chapter 7, Official Form 201, Voluntary Petition for	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLEIT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC: MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, ESQUIRE HIGIER ALLEN 2711 North Haskell Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75204 T: 972.371.2481 bhard-wilson@higierallen.com twoods@higierallen.com  ALSO PRESENT:  Matt Denegre, Client Representative Baymark Entities	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 192 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045  Exhibit 8 31 E-mail dated 12/07/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: (Voicemail) New message in mailbox 1003 on Friday, December 07, 2018 at 08:37:09 AM Bates stamped BP_012078 - 79  Exhibit 11 141 United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Texas Chapter 7, Official Form 201, Voluntary Petition for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy for ACET Global, LLC,	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 jason@freemanlaw.com  FOR DEFENDANTS BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW: MR. EDWARD PERRIN, ESQUIRE HALLETT & PERRIN 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75202 T: 214.953.0053 eperrin@hallettperrin.com  FOR THE DEFENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC: MS. BRENDA HARD-WILSON, ESQUIRE HIGHER ALLEN 2711 North Haskell Avenue, Suite 2400 Dallas, Texas 75204 T: 972.371.2481 bhard-wilson@higierallen.com twoods@higierallen.com  ALSO PRESENT: Matt Denegre, Client Representative	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Bates stamped DandTPartnersLLC000592 Exhibit 4 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed-revised WPA and warrant, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006462 - 6495  Exhibit 5 89 E-mail thread dated 01/07/19 To Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Luluway Fan Page Bates stamped BP_012168 - 12169  Exhibit 7 192 E-mail dated 10/23/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Bates stamped BP_005045  Exhibit 8 31 E-mail dated 12/07/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: [Voicemail] New message in mailbox 1003 on Friday, December 07, 2018 at 08:37:09 AM Bates stamped BP_012078 - 79  Exhibit 11 United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Texas Chapter 7, Official Form 201, Voluntary Petition for Non-Individuals Filing for	

	Page 6	Page	e 8
1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Exhibit 13 78 E-mail thread dated 09/10/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: Corrected Version, with attachment Bates stamped BP_011286 - 11289  Exhibit 15 111 E-mail thread dated 09/29/18 through 10/07/18 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Newco, with attachment Bates stamped BP_011295 - 11313 Exhibit 19 203 E-mail thread dated 03/22-26/19 To Julie A. Smith From William Szeto Subject: Windspeed/Super G-loan agreement Bates stamped BP_012660 - 12661  Exhibit 20 240 E-mail thread dated 11/20/18 through 01/03/19 To Steve Bellah, et al., From Matt Denegre Subject: Follow up on Projections Bates stamped BP_006872 - 6875 Exhibit 22 194 E-mail thread dated 01/29/19 To Alex Godinez From Matt Denegre Subject: Windspeed/ACET Bates stamped BP_012377 - 12378 Exhibit 24 217 E-mail dated 09/07/18 To Steve Bellah From Matt Denegre Subject: ACET Plan, with attachment Bates stamped BP_006279 - 6292 Exhibit 29 53 E-mail dated 04/18/18 To Matt Denegre and Steve Bellah From Tony Ludlow Subject: ACET - Strategy Bates stamped BP_001481	1 Exhibit 46A 225 E-mail dated 10/17/18 To Matt 2 Denegre, et al., From Julie A. Smith Subject: Windspeed-A&R company agreement, with attachment Bates stamped BP_017717 - 17753  4 Exhibit 47 235 5 E-mail thread dated 10/08-10/18 To Steve Bellah and Matt Denegre From William Szeto Subject: Ownership (Newco) 7 Bates stamped BP_006407 - 6409  8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Exhibit 30  Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Form 8879-PE, IRS e-file Signature Authorization for Form 1065 for Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC, for 2019 (1 page) Exhibit 34  E-mail thread dated 12/13/17 To Tony Ludlow From David Hook Subject: Check in Bates stamped BP_011072 - 11073	Page  PROCEEDINGS  THE COURT REPORTER: If the attorneys could  please state their appearances for the record, then I'll  swear in the witness.  MR. FREEMAN: Jason Freeman on behalf of  plaintiff, D&T Partners.	e 9
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Exhibit 36 150 E-mail thread dated 10/15-16/19 To Tony Ludlow From Matt Denegre Subject: Bankruptcy, with attachments Bates stamped BP_013636 - 13751  Exhibit 41 59 E-mail thread dated 03/14-15/18 To David Hook From Tony Ludlow Subject: FedEx and DHL Payments (ACET) Bates stamped BP_001458 - 1461 Exhibit 43 Howard, LLP, letter To David Hook	8 MR. PERRIN: Ed Perrin on behalf of 9 defendants ACET Global; Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC; Bay 10 ACET Direct Invest, LLC; Baymark Management, LLC; Bayr 11 Partners; David Hook; and Tony Ludlow. 12 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 13 MS. HARD-WILSON: Brenda Hard-Wilson for 14 defendant Windspeed Trading. 15 THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 16 Matt?	
17 18	From Paul Knutson, including copy of Form 8879-PE for Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC, for 2019 (25 pages)	17 Or someone can tell me who he is.  18 MR. DENEGRE: Matt Denegre. Hi, I'm with	

	Page 10		Page 12
1	ANTHONY LUDLOW,	1	deposition before?
2	having been first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole	2	A. I have one time before.
3	truth, and nothing but the truth, so help him God,	3	O. And what when was that?
4	testified as follows:	4	A. I believe it was a couple years ago.
5	MR. FREEMAN: Before we begin, I'm just	5	Q. Okay. And what did that involve?
6	going to read in a I guess a few housekeeping matters.	6	A. I think it was a case that a client was suing
7	I understand we've got an agreement among	7	his attorney. I was a witness in that.
8	counsel that any objections by defense counsel will apply	8	Q. And who were the parties?
9	to all of the defendants so that they don't have to make	9	A. I don't remember. I don't remember.
10	multiple objections.	10	Q. Okay. Do you know if it was in federal court
11	Also understand we're taking this	11	or state court?
12	deposition today in Mr. Ludlow's capacity as the	12	A. State court.
13	corporate representative of the we'll call the	13	Q. And were you a party?
14	"Baymark entities," all of the entities except for	14	A. No, I was not.
15	Windspeed Trading LLC.	15	Q. What was the nature of your involvement?
16	Could I also get everyone who is on who	16	A. I think I was on a deal it was an asset
17	is logged into Zoom I would just like to confirm that	17	purchase deal or yeah.
18	everyone is alone on their Zoom, or to let us know if	18	Q. Okay. Was that deal at issue in the lawsuit?
19	there's anyone else in their room, for Mr. Damti and	19	A. I don't know why the client was suing his
20	Mr. Denegre.	20	attorney.
21	MR. DENEGRE: I'm alone.	21	Q. Okay.
22	MR. FREEMAN: And, Tomer, can you confirm	22	A. I assume he didn't get the representation he
23	the same?	23	wanted.
24	MR. DAMTI: I'm alone.	24	Q. Had that client been on the other end of the
25	MR. FREEMAN: And, Karen, just to make	25	deal?
23	Min. I KEEWAW. And, Katen, just to make	23	uear:
		İ	
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Page 11 sure: We are indeed recording?	1	Page 13  A. I don't remember.
1 2		1 2	
	sure: We are indeed recording?		A. I don't remember.
2	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.	2	<ul><li>A. I don't remember.</li><li>Q. Okay. What did you testify about?</li></ul>
2	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.	2	<ul><li>A. I don't remember.</li><li>Q. Okay. What did you testify about?</li><li>A. It was just I think I was just introducing</li></ul>
2 3 4	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What did you testify about?</li> <li>A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What did you testify about?</li> <li>A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember what what entity you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What did you testify about?</li> <li>A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents.</li> <li>Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal?</li> <li>A. I don't know who was an entity other than just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other  thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory. Q. Okay. Were there any defaults in that as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC.  And do you understand that you're here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory. Q. Okay. Were there any defaults in that as a part of that deal, that transaction?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC.  And do you understand that you're here today in connection with a lawsuit between D&T Partners,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory. Q. Okay. Were there any defaults in that as a part of that deal, that transaction? A. I'm not sure what you're referring to, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other  thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC.  And do you understand that you're here today in connection with a lawsuit between D&T Partners, LLC, and ACET Global, LLC; Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory. Q. Okay. Were there any defaults in that as a part of that deal, that transaction? A. I'm not sure what you're referring to, but I don't think so and however that would apply. It was a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC.  And do you understand that you're here today in connection with a lawsuit between D&T Partners, LLC, and ACET Global, LLC; Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC; Baymark ACET Direct Invest, LLC; Baymark Management, LLC;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory. Q. Okay. Were there any defaults in that as a part of that deal, that transaction? A. I'm not sure what you're referring to, but I don't think so and however that would apply. It was a legal malpractice case, from what I remember, so I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC.  And do you understand that you're here today in connection with a lawsuit between D&T Partners, LLC, and ACET Global, LLC; Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC; Baymark ACET Direct Invest, LLC; Baymark Management, LLC; Baymark Partners; David Hook; yourself; and Windspeed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory. Q. Okay. Were there any defaults in that as a part of that deal, that transaction? A. I'm not sure what you're referring to, but I don't think so and however that would apply. It was a legal malpractice case, from what I remember, so I don't know about defaults.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sure: We are indeed recording?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir, we are.  MR. FREEMAN: Okay. Thanks.  EXAMINATION  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Mr. Ludlow  MR. PERRIN: I mean Jason, one other thing. We have served Baymark Defendants' objections to plaintiff's notice of deposition of the Baymark parties, and this deposition is being taken subject to those objections.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, could you state your full name for the record.  A. Anthony Lynn Ludlow.  Q. Mr. Ludlow, my name's Jason Freeman, and I represent D&T Partners, LLC, the successor in interest to ACET Venture Partners, LLC.  And do you understand that you're here today in connection with a lawsuit between D&T Partners, LLC, and ACET Global, LLC; Baymark ACET Holdco, LLC; Baymark ACET Direct Invest, LLC; Baymark Management, LLC; Baymark Partners; David Hook; yourself; and Windspeed Trading LLC?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't remember. Q. Okay. What did you testify about? A. It was just I think I was just introducing facts of the case, like introducing documents. Q. And do you remember what what entity you were associated with that was involved in that deal? A. I don't know who was an entity other than just me. I don't really know. Q. Do you know what transaction it was? A. It was on J&G Concrete, I believe. Q. J&G Concrete? A. Yes. Q. Was that a party to the suit? A. I don't think so. I don't know. Since I wasn't involved in a lot every deposition, I didn't log it into memory. Q. Okay. Were there any defaults in that as a part of that deal, that transaction? A. I'm not sure what you're referring to, but I don't think so and however that would apply. It was a legal malpractice case, from what I remember, so I don't know about defaults. Q. Okay. Do you understand that you're here under

### Page 14 Page 16 1 Q. And what does that mean to you? 1 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Operations were not --2 A. To tell you the truth. 2 not related? 3 Q. So just a few ground rules. When I ask a 3 A. No. 4 question, if you will, try to let me complete it before 4 O. And its formation wasn't related to ACET 5 you give an answer so that we can make a clean record. 5 Global? When you give an answer, if you will, try 6 6 A. No. 7 7 to give a verbal response so the court reporter can Q. Was Baymark Partners concerned about any risks 8 8 notate everything down. related to Windspeed? 9 9 If I ask a question you don't understand, A. No. 10 10 just let me know, and I'll try to rephrase it or make it Q. What role did Baymark Partners play in the 11 11 foreclosure of ACET Global's assets? 12 And as you know, if you need a break 12 A. Well, under the original agreements, the 13 13 anytime to use the restroom, get a drink, just let me lenders had rights, and I think we tried to make sure know; we'll take a break. And I'll probably just ask that we stuck by the rights that were set up originally, 14 14 15 that if there's a question on the table, that you answer 15 when all the parties closed the agreement. I think 16 that. 16 sticking to the rights we all agreed on is our basic 17 A. (Nodded head.) 17 18 Q. Mr. Ludlow, why did ACET Global fail to pay the 18 Q. Okay. What do you mean by that? What specific 19 19 \$3.2 million note owed to D&T Partners? rights? 20 20 A. The company didn't have any cash at that time. A. Under the Asset Purchase Agreement, I guess the 21 Q. Were there any other reasons? 21 Note Agreement, and the Security Agreement that Super G 22 A. None that I can think of. 22 had, they'd all agreed on rights they had, and making 23 23 Q. What steps were taken to try to pay the note? sure they stayed under those rights is how we would A. I'm not clear what you mean, other than trying protect it. And to also make sure there was no residual 2.4 24 25 25 risk to ACET after the foreclosure where they would come to have a functioning company prior to that point. Page 15 Page 17 1 1 Q. Was anything -- were any actions taken back and try and stick us with more risk or debt. 2 specifically to attempt to pay the note? Q. Okay. What specific rights did Super G Capital 2 3 3 A. The operation of the company, trying to make have? 4 4 the company survive as long as possible. A. I'm not gonna have those memorized, but it 5 5 Q. Were any steps taken to position the company to would be under their Note Agreement and their Security 6 6 actually pay the note? Agreement. 7 7 A. Again, I think that trying to operate the Q. Okay. Was there anything in particular that 8 8 company and keep it alive as long as possible is the best you recall wanting to protect for Super G? 9 way to have the creditors get repaid. 9 A. I don't know that I said I was protecting Super 10 Q. Why did Baymark obtain warrants in Windspeed 10 G. I was protecting ACET Global, giving other parties no 11 Trading LLC? 11 more rights than what I felt we had already negotiated 12 12 A. I believe Bill Szeto formed Windspeed Trading under those agreements. 13 and then informed us that he wanted us to have warrants 13 Q. Okay. But you wanted to stick by those --14 of equity in the company. I'm assuming he thought that 14 those rights, or do what you could to? 15 we would then also put cash in the company, which we 15 A. As I recall. Q. And what steps did Baymark Partners take to 16 never did. 16 17 Q. You never did? 17 stick by those rights? 18 18 A. Well, as things were presented to us, we would 19 Q. So Mr. Szeto brought the Windspeed opportunity 19 consider them from -- I guess from Super G. We'd 20 to Baymark? 20 consider those and vet those against, I guess, the 21 A. He did. 21 agreements that were there between us and counsel. 22 Q. How was Windspeed Trading LLC related to ACET 22 Q. So Baymark Partners' kind of only role was 23 Global, LLC? 23 evaluating proposals put forward by Super G? 2.4 MR. PERRIN: Object to form. 24 A. Well, in the context that we're talking. I was 25 A. I don't think it was related at all. 25 limiting my responses to -- I think your questions were

Page 18 Page 20 1 around what role in the foreclosure. So that was one 1 forfeiture important? 2 role. Then if you expand it to say "The role of Baymark 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 3 is just only," that's cutting off, I think, a much 3 A. I don't understand the form of the question. 4 broader role that Baymark had as well as far as managing 4 "Important." Important is a big third-party question 5 and overseeing the operation, as well. So I just want to 5 outside of -- are you speaking to me, or to everyone or 6 6 be clear, answer your question. to the world, or just in general? 7 7 Q. Fair enough. And I think you're right. I Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) From your perspective, why 8 8 didn't mean to -- I didn't mean to expand it that way. was -- why might the forfeiture -- why would it be 9 9 Within the context of the foreclosure and important? 10 10 the various options, I guess, related to the foreclosure, A. It's not important for ACET if it's something 11 was Baymark Partners' only role there evaluating 11 the bank was gonna do. It's important that it was done 12 proposals put forward by Super G Capital? 12 correctly. I guess you want to add importance to it. A. And protecting -- and protecting ACET Global's 13 13 Q. Okay. Any other reason? A. None that I can think of. That would be the 14 14 15 15 Q. Okay. As far as the steps that were actually primary reason. 16 taken, did Baymark Partners play a more active role in 16 Q. Okay. Did Super G ever take possession of ACET 17 facilitating the foreclosure? 17 Global's inventory? 18 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 18 A. So, "possession," that sounds like a very 19 A. I don't know what you mean by "active role." I 19 physical concept. Like, did they come with a team of 20 will say that as soon as the loan goes into default, the 20 guys and, like, put their hands on it? I don't think so. 21 21 But "possession" as in letting people know bank has you. And so the whole time you're working to 22 continue to support the company and run the company and 22 that that's their stuff through inference or e-mails, 23 garner, I guess, trust in the bank. 23 2.4 Because I guess technically they can take 2.4 Q. How did they let people know that it was their 25 everything day one you default, and every day they don't 25 stuff? Page 19 Page 21 1 is another day the company can survive. So I don't know 1 A. It would -- at some point, I believe -- I'm 2 if that's responsive to your question, but the role is --2 talking secondhand. Through maybe Bill Szeto, directing 3 3 him since he was CEO of the company. Letting him know that went on for a while. 4 4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Had Super G, in fact, what they wanted done with their stuff. 5 5 threatened to take all of ACET's assets? Q. So Super G was having correspondence or 6 6 A. I don't think it's a -- I don't think there was discussions with Bill Szeto about ACET Global's 7 7 an explicit e-mail, but there was concern about the inventory? 8 8 direction of the company, what was being done to make --A. That would be an assumption on my part, but 9 ensure that it would survive beyond the -- for six months 9 that is my assumption. 10 or when we got to Christmas, that time frame. 10 Q. So is it ACET Global's position that Super G 11 Q. And why, again, was Baymark Partners involved 11 was having direct conversations with Mr. Szeto about ACET 12 12 Global's inventory? in the forfeiture process? MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 A. That's an assumption. I don't know that I have 13 any e-mails to that effect, but I think that would be 14 A. I don't know what you mean by "involved." 14 15 15 Again, involved with regards to protecting ACET's rights common. 16 and making sure that if somebody wanted to enact rights 16 Q. Is that also Baymark Partners' position? 17 that were outside of what we had all agreed to 17 A. Yes. 18 beforehand -- I think that's what we'd want to make sure 18 Q. When did Super G and Mr. Szeto engage in those 19 of, then, separately. You'd want to look at the 19 discussions? 2.0 forfeiture items to make sure that afterwards there 20 A. I wouldn't know when those started. I can't 21 wasn't blowback, more risk or liabilities put back on 21 speak to any conversations Bill Szeto had directly with 22 us -- or ACET Global afterwards. So that's the 22 Super G. We brought him in, and they had never met. And 23 participation, as in . . . 23 then later on, they were talking more and us less. So I

Q. Did Windspeed Trading LLC ever take possession

24

25

2.4

25

(Court reporter clarification.)

Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, why was the

Page 22 Page 24 1 of ACET Global's inventory? 1 I'm not being funny. I'm just -- it 2 A. I'm not sure that the concept of possession, 2 matters. 3 you know, legal versus casual -- meaning did they exert 3 Q. So I'm referring to the inventory or assets 4 control over them physically, with a team of guys who 4 that were owned by Windspeed. 5 touched them? I don't know -- I don't know what 5 And just to be clear: Let's work under the 6 Windspeed did. I wasn't involved at Windspeed. 6 assumption that even though there may be a lien, even a 7 7 I do know that there was clear demarcation senior lender lien, that the inventory is still, at that 8 8 at the time of foreclosure because the actual title went point, owned by Windspeed. 9 9 over to Windspeed at that time. But prior to that point, And so my question encompasses inventory 10 you know, what Super G had them do with one versus the 10 that is owned by Windspeed, even if it is subject to a 11 other, I don't know. 11 12 Q. So prior to the foreclosure sale in March 12 And so with respect to the inventory at 13 issue, did ACET Global ever ask Windspeed Trading to hold of 2019, prior to the foreclosure sale, did Windspeed 13 14 Trading take physical possession of ACET Global's 14 its inventory? 15 15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. inventory? 16 A. I don't know. 16 A. I don't know. And you used Windspeed's 17 Q. Prior to the foreclosure sale in March of 2019, 17 inventory and Windspeed's -- had a lien on it, so I 18 did Windspeed engage in the sale of ACET Global's 18 wouldn't know about it. 19 19 But in your lead-up and in your question, 20 A. I don't know. When you -- so you're asking me 20 you asked about ACET, so I'm just going to answer for the 21 what Windspeed did --21 ACET. So I don't know either way. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) If I used -- if I used the 22 Q. Yes, sir. 22 23 23 A. -- with ACET Global's inventory? word "Windspeed" as a lien -- I don't believe I did, but I wouldn't know what Windspeed did. 2.4 24 if I did, I mean Super G's lien. The senior lender's 25 Q. Okay. Between October of 2018 and March 25 Page 23 Page 25 1 A. On ACET Global. 1 of 2019, where was ACET Global's inventory located? 2 2 A. I don't know. I know it was at a wax Q. On ACET Global's assets. 3 3 A. That's how I answered. (phonetic) at one point, and it might have been --4 4 something that maybe -- Bill Szeto, what -- he would Q. Okay. So you don't believe that ACET Global 5 5 ever requested Windspeed to hold any inventory? 6 6 Q. Okay. So if Bill Szeto testified about it, he MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 7 7 would be -- he would be the one with knowledge? A. I don't believe so. 8 A. He would be the one with knowledge. 8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And did Baymark Partners ever 9 9 Q. Did ACET Global ever request that Windspeed make any of those requests? 10 sell its inventory? 10 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 11 A. Say that again. 11 A. I don't think so. 12 Q. Did ACET Global ever request that Windspeed 12 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, in December 13 sell its inventory? 13 of 2018, was Baymark Partners concerned about the 14 A. I don't know. I don't believe so. 14 Windspeed structure? 15 Q. Did ACET Global ever request that Windspeed 15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 16 hold its inventory? 16 A. I don't know what a "Windspeed structure" is, 17 A. So I'm not sure what you're trying to ask. 17 but I don't -- I don't recall being concerned about 18 And I want to be clear: When you say "its 18 Windspeed. 19 inventory," I have this idea that the inventory that's 19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you have a 20 under a secure senior lender, that's secured to the 20 discussion with Matt Denegre about Baymark's options with 21 senior lender, and I'm excluding from it that definition. 21 respect to the Windspeed structure? 22 So are you saying items outside of the 2.2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 23 secured lien -- from the secured lender or the stuff 23 A. I have a feeling you want to present something 24 that's secured by the senior lender? 24 when you talk about "structure." 25 When you say "its," who's "its"? 25 So if you go ahead and just make sure what

Page 26 Page 28 1 the "structure" is, I'd be glad to talk to it, but . . . 1 us -- what they were gonna do. 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) It doesn't have to be that 2 I didn't think that they would foreclose. 3 word. Just be -- the arrangement. Did you have 3 That's why I didn't want to do the ABC. So I thought 4 discussions with Matthew Denegre about Baymark's options 4 that would buy us more time, but they ultimately did 5 with respect to the Windspeed arrangement? 5 foreclose. 6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 6 Q. Okay. 7 7 A. I feel like you might be asking warrants versus A. So maybe the auction -- if you have an e-mail, 8 equity. That's the only discussion that I remember 8 I'd love to see it. Maybe the auction had something to 9 9 talking about with Matt, or anything about Windspeed. do with buying time. 10 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you ever discuss 10 Q. Okay. Well, did you have any discussions 11 whether you and Mr. Denegre were comfortable with the 11 with -- about Super G issuing a new note? 12 12 A. Discussions? I might have -- I don't know that Windspeed structure? 13 I had direct discussions. I don't know what their MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 14 A. I have to assert my same answer to the -- that 14 internal politics are, how they wanted to do that. 15 15 I do know that they were gonna take the I just gave about warrants and equity. 16 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you discuss whether you 16 note off of ACET Global, and that's what I was focused 17 should tell Super G to move forward with a public 17 on, and do a foreclosure that I wanted to happen 18 auction? 18 correctly. 19 A. I don't remember that. 19 Q. Okay. Well, how did -- how would a new note be 20 Q. An auction of ACET Global's assets? 20 related to the foreclosure? 21 A. Is this in the same time frame? 21 A. I don't know that it would. I just know that 22 O. December 2018. 22 our note was gonna go away, and that was my focus. 23 A. Yeah, because it depends on the time frame. 23 Q. Okay. But you weren't -- y'all weren't 24 Super G came in and wanted us to volunteer 2.4 concerned about some new note being issued? 25 25 A. I don't know of concern other than to make sure the assets, and I said no. And so that -- in that Page 27 Page 29 1 1 that things were done safely for ACET Global. context, it could have been a comment back to them. So 2 2 I'd just have to see the context. Q. Well, did you and Mr. Denegre have a 3 3 Q. Super G wanted you to -- wanted ACET Global to conversation in December of 2018 about having Super G go 4 volunteer the assets? 4 forward with a public auction and issue a new note to 5 5 A. I think it's called an ABC, an assignment for Windspeed that would replace the note between Super G and 6 the benefit of creditors. And I didn't want to do that. 6 ACET Global? 7 Q. Okay. And, now, what was that? 7 A. Yeah, this was in talks of how we were gonna 8 8 A. An ABC. It was new to me. An assignment for get the note off of us. I'm sure we discussed a lot of 9 the benefit of creditors, ABC. 9 different options, how it was gonna get off ACET Global. 10 Q. Okay. 10 Q. Okay. So you discussed a new note being taken 11 A. I think it's a California thing. 11 on by Windspeed? 12 Q. Okay. Would that involve assigning over the 12 A. I don't -- no, I think I was answering in 13 13 assets to the creditor? concern for what was gonna happen to ACET Global. And 14 A. I think it would involve giving them rights 14 how Super G was gonna do it, whatever their politics 15 15 that we didn't agree to in the original documents, and I were -- because they would relay, you know, how they 16 think it was easier for them somehow, but I just didn't 16 were -- we were going to do the foreclosure and/or get 17 want to go outside what we agreed to before -- the 17 the note off of ACET Global. You know, so that was our 18 parties had agreed to. 18 concern, and how they did that was less of a concern. 19 Q. Okay. So in December of 2018, did you have 19 But we were involved because they would -discussions with Matt Denegre about an auction of ACET 2.0 20 they might tell us what they were gonna do or not do with 21 Global's assets? 21 regard to those. And so we followed along. 22 A. I don't know. There were -- I could have. 22 Q. But did you ever have a discussion about a new 23 There were ideas being thrown around at that time 23 note between Windspeed and Super G? 2.4 regarding how Super G was gonna either take the -- have 24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 25 us volunteer the items. They were gonna take them from 25 A. I don't know. I think my answers around that

	Page 30		Page 32
1	kind of pepper around that. So it's possible, in the	1	Q. Do you recognize this document?
2	context of all the things we were trying to do and	2	A. I do now that I've read it.
3	protect.	3	Q. And is this an e-mail from Matt Denegre to you?
4	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do you know whether	4	A. It is.
5	Baymark Partners or ACET Global had any discussions about	5	Q. On December 7th, 2018?
6	Windspeed taking on a new note with Super G?	6	A. It is.
7	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	7	Q. And is this a true and correct copy of that
8	A. I would have to speculate. Again, I feel like	8	e-mail?
9	if you have an e-mail or something, I'd like to put it in	9	A. Let's assume it is. I think so.
10	context if that's what we're focusing on.	10	Q. Does it appear to be?
11	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Let me ask you this: In	11	A. It does.
12	December of 2018, did Baymark Partners consider offering	12	Q. It appears that this e-mail thread began with a
13	Tomer Damti any money?	13	receipt of a voicemail.
14	A. Say that again, I'm sorry, the date.	14	Do you see that?
15	Q. In December of 2018, did Baymark Partners	15	A. Um-hum.
16	consider offering Tomer Damti any money?	16	Q. Who was that voicemail from?
17	A. I'm trying to remember. We also had an	17	A. I wouldn't know.
18	employment case going on with him, and so possibly.	18	Q. Okay. And do you know the phone number
19	Q. Okay. Any other reason?	19	214-491-9219?
20	A. None that I can think of right now.	20	A. Just to be honest, I don't know if many people
21	Q. Did Baymark consider offering Tomer Damti money	21	remember phone numbers these days it's in my iPhone
22	so it could obtain a release from Mr. Damti?	22	but I'm sure we can find out who it is.
23	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	23	Q. Okay. But your answer is you don't know that
24	A. Yeah, I feel like I just answered that.	24	number offhand?
25	So if we're talking about money surrounding	25	A. No. As we sit here now, I can't recollect who
_	Page 31	_	Page 33
1	the employment issue, if that's what it was, then we	1	that is.
2	would want a release anytime we do trade money related	2	Q. And in the context of this e-mail, can you
3	to that scenario.	3 4	recollect who it could be?
4 5	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) How about a release that	5	A. I don't want to recollect when we can all be
	would allow Baymark Partners to move forward with the	6	sure. I don't want to guess when it's easy to find out accurate information.
6 7	Windspeed structure?	7	
8	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't remember having those discussions with	8	Q. Okay. Mr. Ludlow, did you forward this voicemail to Matt Denegre?
9	Tomer.	9	Č
10	(Deposition Exhibit 8 marked for	10	A. Yes, it appears I did.     Q. And did Matt Denegre respond thereafter
11	identification.)	11	indicating that there were several options?
12	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So, Mr. Ludlow, I'm	12	A. Um-hum. Yep.
13	putting on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 8 to this	13	Q. And was one of those options to "offer Tomer
14	deposition. (Scared screen.)	14	money (or a release from non-compete) to have him sign a
15	Do you see that?	15	release and then move forward with the current
16	A. Yeah. And I'm going to look off to the side,	16	structure"?
17	if that's okay, because it's smallish.	17	A. That's what it says.
18	Q. Sure.	18	Q. Okay. And what does "the current structure"
19	MR. PERRIN: I'm gonna put it it's on my	19	mean?
20	laptop.	20	A. I don't know. I don't know.
21	THE WITNESS: Do you want to focus in or	21	Q. Okay.
22	just let me read it?	22	A. It's not that there was one structure at the
23	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Go ahead and take a look.	23	time. Super G I don't know that Super G had
24	A. Um-hum. (Examined exhibit.)	24	formalized what they wanted to do or what their plans
	Okay. Uh-huh.	25	were. I think they were hoping we'd put in more money.
25	Okay. Ull-liuli.		

### Page 34 Page 36 1 We didn't. I didn't know if they were gonna foreclose or 1 friendly with Super G. 2 wind down or -- who knows what they were going to do. 2 Q. Is -- was the voicemail that prompted this 3 And I also know that Tomer was looking to get 3 e-mail, was that from someone named Steven? unemployment claims. And we tried -- we ended up helping 4 A. Are you referring to the phone number I didn't 5 him with that so he could file unemployment. So I'm 5 under- -- recognize below? 6 6 Q. Yes, sir. Was the voicemail that was left here not -- not sure what that is. 7 7 Q. Okay. So you don't know what this refers to from Steven Bellah? 8 8 when you say the "current structure"? A. I don't want to guess when we can all find out. 9 A. No, because it's at a point in time when things 9 If it is or somebody knows, tell me. I don't know. 10 10 were moving outside of that. Q. Okay. Do you -- do you maintain copies of 11 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Denegre offer another option in 11 voicemails? 12 his e-mail that was to "take our risks with current 12 A. I don't think so. 13 structure and save time/money for Super G. More risky 13 Q. Okay. Do you know if this -- this particular 14 14 e-mail with the voicemail still exists? 15 15 A. Yeah. That's Option Number 2 right there, A. I don't know. 16 uh-huh. 16 Q. How did -- how could the current structure have 17 Q. Okay. And do you know what that is referring 17 saved time for Super G? 18 to? 18 A. I'm not sure that I can answer that, because 19 A. No, not with this siloed e-mail, but it lands 19 "current structure," that's a point in time. I'd have to know where we were at that point in time. Because it's 20 in time. And so with some context, maybe, but sitting 20 21 this "current," it's that "current." So I would have 21 22 Q. Okay. In the context of the dispute at issue 22 23 in this case? 23 Q. Right. And this is, recall, in December 24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 of 2018. And just to put it in context -- and we'll go 25 A. That's a statement that sounded like a 25 through some documents to help refresh. Page 35 Page 37 1 1 question. Can you just add more to that for me. But Windspeed was formed in September 2 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yes. Taken in the context of of 2018, and the foreclosure sale was purportedly 3 3 the dispute at issue in this case, do you -- what is your conducted in March of 2019. And so in reference -- you 4 4 best -- best estimate of what this option was referring know, in the context used in this e-mail, how could the 5 5 then current structure save time and money for Super G? 6 6 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. A. I don't want to guess. 7 7 Q. Can you tell me what your -- you believe it A. I'm not sure. 8 8 could possibly be referring to? Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And what is -- what is 9 9 A. Maybe -- I don't know. Surrounding being -- what did you understand to be referred to here 10 foreclosure, maybe. I don't know. 10 by the statement "take our risks"? 11 Q. So Baymark Partners' position is it doesn't 11 A. I don't know. I know that there was a period 12 12 know what these first two options are referring to? of time when we were resisting Super G to try and keep 13 13 A. Not as we sit here without context, no. the company open, and they -- they were gonna do a 14 14 Q. And that's also the position of ACET Global, foreclosure. They probably wanted it to go friendly. We 15 15 correct, and all of the entities that you represent? were resisting that at this time. So it's December. 16 16 A. Correct. That was after they asked to do the friendly one that 17 17 ACET opposed. So we didn't want to make them angry. Who Q. Did Mr. Denegre also offer a third option, 18 which was to tell Super G that you were "not comfortable 18 knows? I don't know. 19 19 with the current structure and to move forward with a O. Okay. public auction and new note"? 20 2.0 A. I actually don't know. 21 A. Yes. 21 Q. And do you know what's -- what you understand 22 Q. Okay. And did he also state "This will create 2.2 the last sentence there, "more risky for Baymark," to 23 some frustration with Steve, but he will have to get over 23 mean? 2.4 24 A. Anytime you fight with any party, it's more 25 A. Yes, it does. We weren't very -- always 25 risky.

### Page 38 Page 40 1 Q. So that was just concern about Super G? 1 Q. Okay. Well, what does -- what does "a new 2 A. You're saying "more risky for Baymark" is 2 note" mean here? 3 concern about Super G? 3 A. There was some discussion around how they were 4 Q. Yes, sir. 4 gonna get that note off of us, off of ACET. They had a 5 A. "More risky for Baymark" means it's more risky 5 problem with how it was going to get off of us. I don't 6 for Baymark, like, if we fight with Super G. think it was ever our problem. It was up to them. How 6 7 7 Q. Okay. So with the current structure, is that a they got that off is part of this. 8 8 concern, that Super G might foreclose on the assets of Q. Okay. And they were just offering to take a 9 9 ACET Global? new note from someone else? 10 10 A. I don't know if that's a concern. A. I don't -- I don't think -- I don't know what 11 O. Was that a risk for Baymark? 11 12 A. I don't know if it's a risk. 12 Q. What were they offering specifically about a Q. Well, how did -- how did Baymark bear risk with 13 13 new note? 14 respect to the then current structure? 14 A. They weren't offering us anything. 15 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 15 Q. What were they proposing as a possible 16 A. I feel like you're spending a lot of time on 16 scenario? 17 the then current structure, and I've indicated I'm not 17 A. Sorry, I didn't mean to -- no more than they 18 exactly sure what the then current structure was, but 18 were offering us a public auction. They weren't offering 19 it's -- it's knowable. And so asking these out of 19 us a public auction or a new note there. That's 20 context makes it difficult for me to give you the 20 something that they were going to have to do. They 21 accurate answers that you want. 21 weren't offering us a public auction. 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you are here as 22 Q. Were they discussing -- did they discuss 23 a -- as a corporate representative, correct? 23 Windspeed taking on a new note? 2.4 A. I am. 2.4 A. They knew that our note was going to get 25 Q. And -- but you don't know what this -- this 25 removed along with the assets. There was going to be a Page 39 Page 41 1 1 e-mail is referring to for risk for Baymark? foreclosure, take our assets away. And as part of that, 2 A. This particular e-mail, that particular 2 they were going to relieve our note, either wipe it out 3 3 sentence in the e-mail, no. or assign it or whatever, but it was going to be off of 4 4 Q. Was there a reason, you know, you forwarded ACET Global's books. 5 5 this voicemail without any -- any written explanation in Q. Okay. And why was that a problem for ACET 6 6 Global? your e-mail? 7 7 A. Is there a reason for nothing? I can't think A. I don't know that that was a problem. 8 8 of anything, no. Q. Was that a problem for Baymark? 9 Q. Did you believe Mr. Denegre would be familiar 9 A. I don't think so. 10 with the subject matter of the voicemail? 10 Q. And why would Steve be frustrated with this 11 A. I would assume so, yes. 11 third option? 12 12 Q. What public auction was being discussed here? A. Steve would be frustrated if we didn't make it easy for him to get those assets or whatever process he 13 A. I would assume that would be part of the 13 14 14 foreclosure process that Super G's looking at. wanted to do. 15 Q. And why would Baymark have indicated it was not 15 Q. Okay. Was Super G cooperating with Baymark or 16 comfortable with the alternative? 16 ACET at this point in time? 17 17 A. I don't know what you mean by "cooperating." I A. Well, that -- going back to my other answer, I 18 can't point in time. It's all figure-outable. 18 think we were talking about how they were going to -- we 19 If we're not comfortable with the current 19 either just hand them the assets or they're going to have structure -- like maybe the ABC, where they wanted ACET to take them from us. 2.0 20 21 to hand stuff over, so we told them, "No, go to public 21 And so I think making them take them from 22 auction and foreclose if that's what you want to do." 22 us would sound like we were not cooperating, but that was 23 That's what -- obviously, that would make Steve angrier. 23 still allowed under the original documents. So maybe I 2.4 They wouldn't like that. Super G wanted something easy. 24 would say, yeah, we were cooperating commensurate with 25 That would not be easy. That would be my guess. 25 the original documents in place.

Page 42 Page 44 to get over it"? 1 So that's how I'll answer, broadly, 1 2 "cooperating." 2 A. So at the expense of putting on record things 3 Q. So Baymark cooperated with Super G to 3 that I said again -- or maybe there's some nuance I can 4 facilitate a foreclosure and transfer of the assets --4 5 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 5 I believe at this time, they wanted us to 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) -- to Super G? 6 get the assets to them in an easy way, something that we 7 7 A. My answer is that ACET Global agreed to the hadn't agreed to in the prior documents, because that was 8 8 original documents that were in place -- were agreed by easier for them as a California thing. There's some laws 9 9 the parties, which -- maybe Super G wanted to not do there that maybe we looked at and had decided we didn't 10 10 that. So we cooperated with the documents. want to do that. And I got the sense -- and this is just 11 Q. Okay. Did you cooperate with Super G to 11 between Matt and I internally -- that they wouldn't like 12 facilitate a foreclosure? 12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 I don't know if that is how they felt. 13 14 A. ACET Global was required to provide information 14 But, I mean, your lender says, "Hey, are you fine with 15 to them and not to resist. I think in the Security 15 16 Agreement it actually says that -- not that I have it in 16 And you say, "No, we're going to stick with 17 front of me -- we're required to participate on some 17 the documents." 18 level and to not impede them. I believe so. Define that 18 They usually don't say, "Thank you." 19 as "cooperation" as you will, but it's in the documents. 19 They usually are irritated. So that's 20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was Super -- well, was 20 what -- I'm sure that's what that meant. I'm guessing Baymark Partners in a position to dictate the 21 21 that's what that meant. 22 particular -- a particular -- a particular option that 22 O. Okay. Who is Steven Bellah? 23 would be taken here? 23 A. He is a representative -- or was at the time --A. When you say "particular option," you mean your 2.4 24 of Super G. 25 regard -- with regard to how the assets were taken from 25 Q. Okay. Did you ever have a conversation with Page 43 Page 45 1 ACET Global? Steven Bellah and Matt Denegre about Tomer Damti or D&T 1 2 2 Partners' lien position against ACET Global's assets? Q. Yes, sir. 3 3 A. We may have. A. No. Well, I guess the answer's yes because if 4 I agreed not to go by the documents, Super G would have 4 Q. Did you have a conversation with them in which 5 agreed to that. So I could have agreed to go outside you came to an agreement to work together to harm Tomer 6 6 what the parties agreed to. Damti's position or D&T's position? 7 7 So I guess I did -- I could have chose to A. No. 8 8 do that, but we chose to try and do a foreclosure which Q. Did you have an agreement with them to ensure 9 we believe was pre-prescribed in the documents between 9 that Tomer Damti would not receive payment on the 10 10 \$3.2 million note? 11 Q. Okay. So then, yes, Baymark Partners was in a 11 12 position to dictate how -- how the foreclosure would go 12 Q. Did you have an agreement with them to leverage 13 Baymark Partners' forces along with Super G's against 13 Tomer Damti or D&T Partners? 14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 14 15 A. I'll just stick with my prior answer. 15 A. (Shook head.) 16 I feel like I'm giving a nuanced answer and 16 Q. Is that a "no"? 17 you're putting it down to "so I had all this control and 17 A. No. I'm sorry. 18 I had the control to do something that nobody agreed to, 18 Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Steven 19 which I chose not to do." 19 Bellah and Matt Denegre in which you discussed a plan to 2.0 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. 20 leverage an agreement against Tomer's inferior position? 21 A. We always had options to do things. 21 A. Not sure what that means. There's some 22 Q. Okay. What was understood here where 22 generalities there. 23 Mr. Denegre said "This will create some frustration with 23 Q. Okay. Well, any conversation ever with Steven 24 Steve, but he will have to get over it"? 24 Bellah and Matt Denegre regarding leveraging a plan or 25 What did that last part mean, "he will have 25 agreement against Tomer or D&T?

### Page 46 Page 48 1 A. I don't recall anything like that. 1 or with the intention to wind down the business. 2 Q. Did you ever express a concern about having 2 We did have conversations about 3 Tomer regain the company, ACET Global? 3 restructuring the note to reduce the payments. And there 4 A. I don't know if you're shifting time talking 4 were conversations, later considered, about winding down 5 about when -- before we terminated Tomer for his 5 the business for Super G altogether. 6 6 performance. Is that what you're talking about? I don't know if they're the same -- you're 7 7 Q. No. Let's call this after Tomer was trying to put those together in the same conversation. I 8 8 terminated. don't know if it was. I don't know if it matters. 9 9 A. I'm not sure. I feel like if you have Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Why would Super G want --10 something to look at, I'd be glad to look at it. 10 want ACET Global's business wound down? 11 Q. In -- let's call it April of 2018. Did you 11 A. I don't --12 have a conversation with Steven Bellah and Matt Denegre 12 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 regarding restructuring ACET Global's debt to Super G? 13 A. I don't know why -- what their motivation would A. I don't recall when we -- when Super G started 14 14 be. I guess if they wanted to foreclose on the assets or 15 15 having discussions about what they were gonna do with sell the assets or wind the business down and take the 16 their assets -- or with the default under the primary 16 assets, it's their assets. When they start asking about 17 17 how they want to execute their control, that's -- I'm 18 Q. Did you discuss restructuring ACET Global's 18 sure they would know. 19 note to allow for lower payments for a period of time? 19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did Super G ever express any 20 A. We did that a number of times. Try and keep 20 of those motivations? 21 cash flow in the company and keep it going, yes. 21 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 22 Q. Okay. And did you do that in April of 2018? 22 A. I do believe at one time they asked us to 23 A. I'm sure we did -- or -- well, I guess that we 23 consider the options so they can decide what they wanted 24 did. We had a number of conversations from December 24 to do with ACET Global. 25 through I don't know when, through the middle of the 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And what do you mean, Page 47 Page 49 1 1 year, maybe. "consider the options"? 2 Q. Okay. Did you have a discussion with Super G 2 A. Well, foreclosure or selling assets and taking 3 3 about restructuring ACET Global's notes to provide time the cash, I guess. I don't know what they'd do with a 4 4 to wind down ACET Global's business? wind-down. But their options were now basically going to 5 5 A. Possibly. exercise their rights under a failing loan. 6 Q. Okay. Can you tell me about those 6 Q. So Super G asked -- asked you to analyze a 7 7 conversations? wind-down scenario? 8 8 A. No. A. I believe so. 9 Q. Why not? 9 Q. And did Super G ask you to analyze a 10 A. Those are very specific conversations, and I 10 foreclosure scenario? 11 don't remember the content of -- but as I mentioned 11 A. I don't know. 12 12 before, once the company was in default, you know, you Q. Were those two separate scenarios? 13 have communications with your lender trying to convince 13 A. A wind-down is a scenario because a scenario 14 them to keep going, modify your payments so you can keep 14 takes place over time. 15 15 cash flow in the business to keep going as long as you A foreclosure is just something they do to 16 16 us. We don't -- we don't have to consider that. 17 So that would be part and parcel with 17 So if you think about where the genesis of 18 working with your senior lender so they don't pull the 18 something comes, I don't think that Super G would know 19 19 what a wind-down would look like, what was involved, plug on you. because they didn't know the employees and stuff like 2.0 Q. So you think -- you think you did engage in 20 21 conversations with Super G Capital about restructuring 21 22 the note to provide time to wind down ACET Global's 22 When it's a foreclosure, they don't have to 23 business? 23 know anything. They just yank the assets. So I don't 2.4 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 know that those were put together. 25 A. I don't -- I don't know if they were in concert 25 Q. Was there ever a discussion with Super G -- did

	Page 50		Page 52
1	you have any discussions with Super G about restructuring	1	of the realm of possibility that you had a discussion
2	the note to allow for time to establish a new entity that	2	with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET
3	could take over ACET Global's business?	3	Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a
4	A. I don't recall.	4	loan to Tomer Damti that was coming due in October
5	Q. You don't recall that or or no?	5	of 2018?
6	A. No. I don't recall.	6	A. I don't believe so.
7	Q. Okay. So is that possible, that you had	7	Q. You don't believe it occurred?
8	conversations with Super G about restructuring the note	8	A. I don't believe so.
9	to provide time to establish a new entity that could take	9	Q. Okay. Did Super G ask Baymark Partners to put
10	over ACET Global's business?	10	together a plan to wind down ACET Global? Is that your
11	A. I don't want to speculate. If I don't recall,	11	testimony?
12	I don't want to speculate what's possible.	12	A. I believe Super G asked ACET to put together a
13	Q. Okay. Could anything help you remember whether	13	potential wind-down plan.
14	that occurred?	14	Q. A wind-down plan that would wind ACET down by
15	A. Yeah. Specific information.	15	October of 2018?
16	Q. And what kind of specific information?	16	A. I don't know the dates, but
17	A. If there's an e-mail or something that I just	17	Q. And did Super G and ACET modify a loan in April
18	don't recall.	18	of 2018?
19	Q. Okay. Did you discuss with Super G	19	A. There were a number of modifications, so I
20	restructuring the note to allow you to put a plan in	20	don't know the exact date, but
21	place that would allow ACET Global to transfer its	21	Q. Do you know if there was a modification in
22	business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that	22	April of 2018?
23	was coming due in October of 2018?	23	A. I believe so.
24	A. I don't recall.	24	Q. Did that modification significantly reduce
25	Q. But is that possible that you had that	25	monthly payments for a period of time?
	Page 51		Page 53
1	Page 51 conversation?	1	Page 53  A. I believe that was one of a few modifications
1 2		1 2	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications
	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's		
2	conversation?	2	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.
2	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that	2 3	<ul><li>A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.</li><li>Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in</li></ul>
2 3 4	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're	2 3 4 5	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?
2 3 4 5 6	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they	2 3 4 5 6	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know.  Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?
2 3 4 5 6 7	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know.  Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Do you recall if one was ever required
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.</li> <li>Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?</li> <li>A. No, I don't.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know.  Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know.  Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	conversation?  A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know.  Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know.  Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I don't recall anything.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)  Do you see that, sir? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)  Do you see that, sir?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I don't recall anything.  I'm not going to speculate. So if you want me to make up an answer, like if I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)  Do you see that, sir?  A. I do. Q. Okay. And is this an e-mail from you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I don't recall anything.  I'm not going to speculate. So if you want me to make up an answer, like if I don't know.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I mean, you can answer how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)  Do you see that, sir?  A. I do. Q. Okay. And is this an e-mail from you? A. It is, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I don't recall anything.  I'm not going to speculate. So if you want me to make up an answer, like if I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)  Do you see that, sir?  A. I do. Q. Okay. And is this an e-mail from you? A. It is, yes. Q. And is this an e-mail to Matt Denegre and Steve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I don't recall anything.  I'm not going to speculate. So if you want me to make up an answer, like if I don't know.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I mean, you can answer how you want. If you have if you believe something is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)  Do you see that, sir?  A. I do. Q. Okay. And is this an e-mail from you? A. It is, yes. Q. And is this an e-mail to Matt Denegre and Steve Bellah?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I have a I don't want to speculate on what's possible. Some people believe in ghosts. Is that possible? I don't know. Let's not do "possible."  Just I don't recall.  Q. Okay. So the companies herein which you're testifying on behalf of, the companies' position is they don't know whether there was a discussion with Super G about putting a plan in place to allow ACET Global to transfer its business while it defaulted on a loan to Tomer Damti that would come due in October of 2018?  A. I don't recall a conversation of that particular, no.  Q. If you did, who would those conversations have been with?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. I don't know if you get to "if you did" when I don't recall anything.  I'm not going to speculate. So if you want me to make up an answer, like if I don't know.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I mean, you can answer how you want. If you have if you believe something is possible, you can answer that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I believe that was one of a few modifications that reduced payments, yes.  Q. And did it provide for a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. I don't know. Q. Do you recall if there was ever a balloon payment in October of 2018?  A. No, I don't. Q. Do you recall if one was ever required whether it was paid or not, but whether it was required?  A. I don't remember.  (Deposition Exhibit 29 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm placing on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 29 to this deposition. (Shared screen.)  Do you see that, sir?  A. I do. Q. Okay. And is this an e-mail from you? A. It is, yes. Q. And is this an e-mail to Matt Denegre and Steve Bellah? A. It is.

	Page 54		Page 56
1	A. It is.	1	A. Well, you have the senior lender, and then you
2	Q. And dated April 18th, 2018?	2	have the secondary lender. And so when you have a second
3	A. It is.	3	lien, that's a second lienholder.
4	Q. And did you send this from your Baymark	4	Q. Okay. And what did you mean by "leverage
5	Partners e-mail address?	5	against Tomer's inferior position"?
6	A. I assume so.	6	A. Well, the odds of the senior getting paid back
7	Q. Okay. Did you state in here that "Even after	7	or the secondary getting paid back are about zero at this
8	our next \$15,000 infusion, the rate of its current growth	8	point, if I'm not mistaken, to look at the date here. So
9	will not support the resumption of Super-G's payments or	9	everybody's in a bad spot. If everybody wants the
10	Tomer's \$3 million note payments that begin in October."	10	company to succeed and get anything back, they need to
11	A. I'm sorry. That was a was that a question?	11	convert their their payments. Which the company
12	I thought it was	12	has no chance of paying the senior loan demands or the
13	Q. Yes, sir.	13	seller note at this point.
14	A. I was just reading along with you and then you	14	Q. Okay. But didn't you state in there that you
15	stopped.	15	believed you could grow the company to repay the
16	Q. Is that what you stated in the e-mail?	16	outstanding amount?
17	A. Yes, I did.	17	A. That was always the hope.
18	Q. And then did you state that "We believe we can	18	Q. Okay. And was that also the belief?
19	grow the company to repay your outstanding amount at a	19	A. Belief is a more hope than belief, but it
20	point further in the future than currently planned, but	20	was a shot.
21	have issues with doing so with debt payments and then	21	Q. Okay. So did you inaccurately state your
22	only to default on Tomer's debt and have him regain the	22	what you meant in this e-mail?
23	company we saved from his unfortunate stewardship"?	23	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Which part? Are you blanketing the whole
25	Q. Okay. And also did you state, "I am open to	25	thing? Or you're making a comment on my "belief" versus
	Daga EF		
	Page 55		Page 57
1	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you	1	Page 57 "hope"?
1 2		1 2	
	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you		"hope"?
2	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior	2	"hope"? Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did
2	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?	2 3	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means,
2 3 4	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt,	2 3 4	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?
2 3 4 5	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past	2 3 4 5	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you
2 3 4 5 6	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but	2 3 4 5 6	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think and I haven't read it all here, but I think what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.	2 3 4 5 6 7	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think and I haven't read it all here, but I think what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert I haven't read this here they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?  A. Well, I would assume that this was —	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your assets away, I can't — I can't do much with growing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?  A. Well, I would assume that this was — identified a path to make ACET successful for everybody.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your assets away, I can't I can't do much with growing the company that's not in my hands anymore.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?  A. Well, I would assume that this was — identified a path to make ACET successful for everybody.  Q. Okay. And so that was the strategy you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your assets away, I can't I can't do much with growing the company that's not in my hands anymore.  Q. So Super G said no to this restructuring plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?  A. Well, I would assume that this was — identified a path to make ACET successful for everybody.  Q. Okay. And so that was the strategy you're referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your assets away, I can't I can't do much with growing the company that's not in my hands anymore.  Q. So Super G said no to this restructuring plan?  A. I would only have to assume so, since it didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think and I haven't read it all here, but I think what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert I haven't read this here they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?  A. Well, I would assume that this was identified a path to make ACET successful for everybody.  Q. Okay. And so that was the strategy you're referring to?  A. I believe so. Whatever is in the body of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your assets away, I can't I can't do much with growing the company that's not in my hands anymore.  Q. So Super G said no to this restructuring plan?  A. I would only have to assume so, since it didn't happen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think — and I haven't read it all here, but I think — what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert — I haven't read this here — they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?  A. Well, I would assume that this was — identified a path to make ACET successful for everybody.  Q. Okay. And so that was the strategy you're referring to?  A. I believe so. Whatever is in the body of the e-mail.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your assets away, I can't I can't do much with growing the company that's not in my hands anymore.  Q. So Super G said no to this restructuring plan?  A. I would only have to assume so, since it didn't happen.  Q. Had Baymark Partners considered shutting ACET
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your reaction and hope to come to an agreement with you that I can then leverage against Tomer's inferior position"?  A. So it says, "I think a restructure of the debt, of Super G and Tomer's, is the only way to survive past May." So I think and I haven't read it all here, but I think what's common is when you have debt positions and everybody's taking cash out of the company, it can't survive with cash pumps from the beginning.  So if people convert I haven't read this here they convert their debt into equity, then there's not a current pay on that, and then that leaves cash in the business so it can survive and everybody has a chance of getting their money back. The company makes it.  Q. Okay. Why did you title the Subject line "ACET - Strategy"?  A. Well, I would assume that this was identified a path to make ACET successful for everybody.  Q. Okay. And so that was the strategy you're referring to?  A. I believe so. Whatever is in the body of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"hope"?  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yeah. Yes. By "belief," did you have some idiosyncratic meaning of what that means, or did you mean you believed you could grow the company?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.  A. We believed that we could grow the company.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And you believed you could grow it to repay the outstanding debts, correct?  A. If there was a path, yes.  Q. What was Steve's reaction to this e-mail?  A. I don't remember. Ultimately he didn't do it.  I'm sure he didn't like it.  Q. Okay. Did you have discussions with Tomer about this strategy?  A. I don't think so. Steve has to be on board before we go any further. We'd have to get the senior on board, because, again, if the senior yanks all your assets away, I can't I can't do much with growing the company that's not in my hands anymore.  Q. So Super G said no to this restructuring plan?  A. I would only have to assume so, since it didn't happen.

### Page 58 Page 60 Q. Up top, is this an e-mail exchange between you, 1 O. Yes, sir. 1 A. Didn't they -- I think we did consider that 2 2 David Hook, and Matt Denegre? 3 when Super G asked us to put a wind-down plan together 3 4 in -- didn't we say December just prior? So that would 4 Q. And is it dated March 15th, 2018? 5 force us to consider that. 5 A. It is. 6 Q. Well, and we were looking at -- go back to Q. And does this appear to be a true and correct 6 7 7 Exhibit 8, I think you're referencing. But we were copy of that e-mail correspondence? 8 8 looking in December of 2018. A. It appears to be an authentic e-mail. It does. 9 A. '17. 9 Q. And was -- was there a statement here at the 10 Q. At some point -- at some point Super G had 10 bottom of the first page of Exhibit 41 in which Mr. Hook 11 asked you to put together a wind-down plan? 11 states, "I think we should consider not paying Super G"? 12 A. They did. 12 A. It does. 13 Q. So prior to this April 18th, 2018, date, had 13 Q. And up above as part of that correspondence, 14 Baymark considered shutting ACET Global down? 14 does Mr. Hook say, "Maybe we tell them to stop or we shut 15 A. It's possible. I think the company was -- this 15 the company down and they won't get anything"? 16 is April of '18. I think it was staying alive just by us 16 A. It does. 17 paying payroll. Otherwise it would have been gone. 17 Q. Is he referring to a creditor not receiving 18 So, yeah, we might have discussed it since 18 anything? 19 it was only surviving by cash infusions by us to pay 19 A. He was referring to if they don't cut their 20 payroll. Otherwise it was cash flow negative and not 20 payments back and let us survive, the company's gonna surviving at this point. 21 21 die. I think it was probably already dying at this 22 So there might have been a discussion of, 22 point. And if they don't give us some breathing room, 23 "Why are we still putting money into a company just to 23 yeah, no one's gonna get anything, and that's exactly what that means. make payroll that's losing money?" But I don't know. 2.4 2.4 25 Q. Did -- did Baymark consider shutting ACET down 25 And I think at the bottom of that e-mail, Page 59 Page 61 1 you'll see where we were starting to get collection calls 1 so that creditors would not get paid? 2 2 from DHL, from FedEx, and those guys. Maybe the rent was A. No. 3 3 (Deposition Exhibit 41 marked for being unpaid or shut at that point. 4 identification.) 4 So those guys have immediate death threat 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And I'm showing you, 5 to the business if we can't shift, versus the debt guys 6 Mr. Ludlow, what's marked as Exhibit 41. (Shared 6 wanting to take the money out of the company where it 7 7 8 Do you see that? 8 Q. Okay. So shutting down the company was an 9 9 A. One second. (Examined exhibit.) Yep. I see 10 it. 10 A. Taking all the cash out effectively shuts down 11 Q. Okay. And is this e-mail correspondence 11 the company anyway. 12 between you and David Hook and Matt Denegre? 12 Q. So shutting down the company to make sure those 13 A. The one that you're showing. Normally I start 13 creditors don't get anything was an option? 14 at the bottom, but does that matter? 14 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 15 Q. Sure. 15 A. I don't think that's what I'm saying. I'm 16 A. We're somewhere in the middle. 16 saying that if the company doesn't survive, they won't 17 Q. I can go to the bottom. 17 get -- you're putting an intent on it. 18 A. Okay. 18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Right. Well, what does this 19 Q. I'm going to go back up to the top. 19 statement mean here? It says -- Mr. Hook says, "Maybe we 20 tell them to stop or we shut the company down and they 20 21 Q. I'm not focused on the e-mail at the top, but I 21 won't get anything." 22 do want you to see everything there. 22 A. I think at this time, shutting the company down 23 A. Okay. Thank you. 23 means we were putting money into it to keep it alive. Q. (Scrolling.) 24 24 We kept putting money in and money in. I 25 A. Okay. 25 think since December, we put 50,000. Tomer put none, and

Page 62 Page 64 1 then we had to come back again and put more money in. 1 back with them." What did you mean by that? 2 And I think we kept putting money in covering payroll all 2 A. Similar to what I've been saying, when you go 3 the way down through the middle of 2018. 3 to the -- see, the lenders don't actually know the state 4 And if we stopped giving it lifeblood, it 4 of the company. So when you go to them and say, "Look, 5 would just shut down. So, yeah, that's what that means. 5 we can't make payroll," or, "If you withhold your payment 6 6 or reduce your payment, we'll take that cash to either It was only alive because we were covering payroll. 7 7 Q. Okay. So you're telling me this e-mail make payroll or buy more inventory or do whatever the 8 8 reflects -- reflects a conversation between you and operating guys want to do," that's where you go back to 9 9 Mr. Hook and Mr. Denegre considering telling creditors them and negotiate. 10 10 that you'll shut the company down? Because at the end of the day, whether it's 11 A. No. 11 a tough conversation or not, the company's in dire 12 Q. No, that's not what this refers to? 12 straits. You modify so it can survive. And -- because 13 A. It's referring to asking the lender to adjust 13 if the company doesn't survive, no one gets paid back. their payment so we can survive. 14 14 So we have to go back to them, and it's 15 15 Q. Okay. Is this considered leverage against the never a good conversation when you have to go to your 16 creditor? 16 lender and say, "The company's not doing well, and I need you to cut payments." They don't enjoy it. It's a 17 A. It's considered reality. 17 18 Q. And is --18 tough --19 19 A. That's what happens. If you don't have any Q. How much additional money did Baymark Partners 20 money to go on, they don't get paid. 20 put in after this e-mail? A. After that? I know from the initial close, 21 Q. So leverage is reality? 21 22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 22 just to fund after the company was in default to the end, 23 A. No. 23 maybe \$150,000, 155. I can get you a number, but that's Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) No. Is power reality? 2.4 2.4 25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 25 Q. Was that prior to or after this e-mail -- the Page 63 Page 65 date of this e-mail? 1 A. I don't know how to answer that. 1 2 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Is inferiority a reality? A. It would -- that money started at December when 3 3 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that, but . . . it -- when we were in trouble with the senior lender and 4 Q. I mean, is -- what's the philosophy here? What 4 they wanted us to come and put money -- David, myself, 5 are you telling me? I asked the question, you know, "Was and Tomer. They wanted us all to put in money and -- to 6 this considered leverage against the creditors?" 6 show a vow of faith and that we believed in the business, 7 7 And the answer is, "That's just reality." putting our own money in. 8 8 What does that mean? So from that point until the end, it was 9 9 A. If the company doesn't survive, nobody gets about 150,000. So that was 50,000 at December, and then 10 paid. No -- lenders don't get paid, and the equity guys 10 I'm not sure where this cuts in because there was 11 don't get the money back either. 11 tranches along. And we were at some point getting 12 12 e-mails continually asking for payroll money. Q. At this point in time, who did you understand your obligations to run to? The company? yourself? 13 13 Q. Okay. And so are you telling me that --MR. PERRIN: Are you --14 creditors? 14 15 15 A. Obligation was to have the company survive. MR. FREEMAN: I'm sorry? 16 16 ACET Global and ACET Holdco needed to survive and be MR. PERRIN: I need a break. I've had a 17 successful to repay its lenders, and then ultimately, if 17 couple of cups of coffee, so when you get to it. 18 it was very successful, it could repay the second 18 MR. FREEMAN: I'm probably, like, two 19 lienholders. 19 questions away from a break. Will that work for you? MR. PERRIN: I'll keep my knees together. 2.0 MR. PERRIN: Jason, we've been going an 20 21 hour. Are you at a stopping point? 21 MR. FREEMAN: I'm sympathetic. 22 MR. FREEMAN: We're pretty close. Yeah, we 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you actually put in money 23 are pretty close. 23 to keep -- to make payroll after this e-mail? 2.4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You responded to this "Yep, 24 A. Yes. 25 definitely an option. We can strategize and then get 25 Q. You did?

	Page 66		Page 68
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. And did you watch the entire deposition?
2	Q. How many times?	2	A. I believe so. I might have stepped out for a
3	A. Four.	3	drink or something. It was running, but I saw 95 percent
4	Q. Okay.	4	of it.
5	A. Three, four, five, something like that.	5	Q. Okay. Was his testimony completely accurate?
6	Q. Somewhere in that ballpark?	6	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
7	A. Less than 10.	7	A. I believe so.
8	Q. Okay. And with respect to the conversation you	8	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Do you believe his testimony
9	referenced just a bit ago with Super G in December	9	was completely truthful?
10	of 2017, are you telling me that Super G and you had a	10	A. Based upon David's involvement and what he
11	discussion with Tomer Damti about having him put	11	knows, probably so.
12	additional money into the company?	12	Q. Were there any inaccuracies that you noted?
13	A. Super G came to Baymark and said they wanted us	13	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
14	to show more support in the company and put more cash in.	14	A. The only thing that comes to mind is when he
15	And if so, they would agree to release there's some in	15	was talking about the corporate structure of the entities
16	escrow that they were hanging on to to protect them.	16	and the reasons they're put together and the rigor that
17	They would allow to release that into the company to help	17	we do that, I think he didn't appreciate the nuances of
18	it survive.	18 19	that.
19	They told us they expected it to come from David, myself, and Tomer. We went to Tomer and asked,	20	<ul><li>Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay.</li><li>A. That's the only one that comes to mind.</li></ul>
20 21	and he did not do so, and we waited as long as possible	21	Q. Were there any other inaccuracies?
22	not to tell Super G that because that sends a sign	22	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
23	that at least from the lender's eyes that the that	23	A. I don't I don't recall.
24	that person doesn't believe in the company enough to put	24	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Did you disagree with
25	the money in.	25	anything that he stated?
	die noney in.		anyaning that he stated.
İ	Page 67		Page 69
1	Q. Okay.	1	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
2	A. That was the conversation in December around	2	A. How long was he on? Four hours? Five hours?
3	December.	3	So it's hard to know. Complete acceptance of everything
4	Q. Did you ask Super G not to convey any of that	4	he said in there and is it the way that I would say
5	negativity to Tomer Damti?	5	it? I don't know.
6	A. No, I don't I don't think so. We tried	6	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. But you don't know if
7	to I don't we tried to keep that from Super G as	7	you disagree with anything he stated?
8	long as possible because we didn't want them to not think	8	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
10	that there were any nonbelievers on our side of the	9	A. I mentioned one item that I thought, that the
10 11	fence.	10	corporate entities being just paper and corporate formalities that we actually follow at meetings and stuff
12	Q. But did you ever ask Super G not to convey information to Tomer Damti?	11	•
		13	like that. So that was all.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You watched Julie Smith's
12		1 13	Q. (DI IVIK. FREEMAIN) TOU WAICHEU JUHE SHIITHS
13 14	A. No.  MR_EREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick	11	denosition?
14	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick	14	deposition?
14 15	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.	15	A. I did.
14 15 16	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.	15 16	A. I did. Q. And did you watch the whole deposition?
14 15 16 17	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're off the	15 16 17	<ul><li>A. I did.</li><li>Q. And did you watch the whole deposition?</li><li>A. Almost all of it. I might have stepped out to</li></ul>
14 15 16	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're off the record at 10:56 a.m.	15 16	A. I did.     Q. And did you watch the whole deposition?     A. Almost all of it. I might have stepped out to get a drink or something. It was running on my computer.
14 15 16 17 18	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're off the record at 10:56 a.m.  (A recess was taken from 10:56 a.m. to	15 16 17 18	<ul><li>A. I did.</li><li>Q. And did you watch the whole deposition?</li><li>A. Almost all of it. I might have stepped out to</li></ul>
14 15 16 17 18	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're off the record at 10:56 a.m.  (A recess was taken from 10:56 a.m. to 11:11 a.m.)	15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you watch the whole deposition?</li> <li>A. Almost all of it. I might have stepped out to get a drink or something. It was running on my computer.</li> <li>Q. Did you believe her testimony was completely accurate?</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're off the record at 10:56 a.m.  (A recess was taken from 10:56 a.m. to 11:11 a.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: We're going back on	15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I did.</li> <li>Q. And did you watch the whole deposition?</li> <li>A. Almost all of it. I might have stepped out to get a drink or something. It was running on my computer.</li> <li>Q. Did you believe her testimony was completely</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're off the record at 10:56 a.m.  (A recess was taken from 10:56 a.m. to 11:11 a.m.)	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I did.  Q. And did you watch the whole deposition?  A. Almost all of it. I might have stepped out to get a drink or something. It was running on my computer.  Q. Did you believe her testimony was completely accurate?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. FREEMAN: Y'all want to take a quick restroom break? I know Ed does.  MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. We're off the record at 10:56 a.m.  (A recess was taken from 10:56 a.m. to 11:11 a.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: We're going back on the record at 11:11 a.m.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I did. Q. And did you watch the whole deposition? A. Almost all of it. I might have stepped out to get a drink or something. It was running on my computer. Q. Did you believe her testimony was completely accurate?  MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. A. Sure.

### Page 70 Page 72 1 Q. Were there any inaccuracies? 1 Q. Okay. And were you aware of the importance of 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 2 the foreclosure process? 3 A. Nothing major enough to rise to the threshold 3 A. I'm not sure what the "importance of the 4 of being material inaccuracies, no. 4 foreclosure process" is. Like to society? To me? To 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And did you disagree 5 Super G? To do it correctly is important, yes. 6 6 Q. Okay. What about to Baymark Partners? What with anything that she stated? 7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 7 was the importance? 8 8 A. It was important to do it correctly and follow Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And, Mr. Ludlow, did you 9 9 what the parties had agreed to when we entered the deal, 10 watch Mr. Denegre's deposition? 10 when all three parties entered the deal. 11 A. I did. 11 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Denegre was 12 Q. Did you watch the entire deposition? 12 knowledgeable about the foreclosure process? 13 13 A. Again, there were portions that I weren't A. He might have been involved in parts, but I 14 there. I was on vacation at the time in Colorado, so I 14 don't know that he would know a lot of pieces of that. 15 actually ate lunch and did some things too. It was 15 Q. Would he be knowledgeable about the importance 16 running, so I would say 80 percent of it. 16 or implications of the foreclosure process? 17 Q. Okay. From the 80 percent that you saw, was 17 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 18 his testimony completely accurate? 18 A. No more than doing it statutorily or what the 19 19 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. documents agreed. He would know that we wanted to do it 20 20 A. Nothing material that popped out in my memory correctly. That's why we bring in professionals, to make 2.1 21 as inaccurate. sure we follow the documents. 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. During Mr. Denegre's 23 deposition, you made a statement of, "Shut the fuck up"; 23 A. How long was he on? Was he about four hours 2.4 is that correct? 2.4 also? So it's a lot. 25 MR. PERRIN: Longer. Five or six. 25 A. I did. Page 71 Page 73 1 1 THE WITNESS: Oh, that's right. He's the Q. What did you mean by that? 2 2 A. Well, I was shocked and embarrassed to find big one. So that's a lot. 3 3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was his -- was his that my microphone had been left on after the break. As 4 4 testimony -- did you believe it to be completely I was walking into the kitchen to get something to eat 5 5 and -- I was -- never had any intent for anybody on Earth 6 6 A. I believe Matt is a truthful person. to ever hear that comment. 7 7 Q. Okay. And did you believe his testimony that I came back as people were bristling. I 8 he gave in the deposition was truthful? 8 noticed that my mic was actually on. I didn't know how 9 A. From what I remember, yes. 9 that happened, and so I made the comment, I said, "Yeah, 10 Q. Okay. Is there anything that you've -- that 10 my mic is on," and I claimed it. 11 you are aware that you've forgotten? 11 So I apologize. That was beneath the A. Sounds like a trick question. Am I aware of 12 12 dignity of what I normally do, and that was not intended 13 things I have forgotten? No. 13 for anybody to hear that. 14 Q. Do you believe -- did you disagree with 14 Q. What did you -- what did you mean by that 15 anything that he stated? 15 statement? 16 A. I don't believe so. 16 A. Well, again, it's difficult to exonerate -- to 17 Q. And were you alone during his testimony? 17 expand on a comment that wasn't meant for anybody on 18 A. Yes. 18 Earth to hear. It's like yelling at the football game 19 Q. Were you knowledgeable about the foreclosure 19 when Troy Aikman wouldn't pass the ball, right? 20 process at issue in this case? Q. Does that mean it's like a situation where 20 21 A. "Foreclosure process" encompasses a lot of 21 something's happening that isn't what you want to happen? 22 parties. I know our part of it, the ACET Global part. I 22 A. Matt Denegre has worked for me since he was 23 know what rights were in the original agreements, of 23 very young. He interned with me. He's like a son. He's 24 course, in those. So, yeah, I was aware of it. I had 24 in his young 30s. I'm in my early 50s. 25 Julie Smith protecting us for that. 25 And at the time -- and I'm going through

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

19

23

## Page 74 memory here because I haven't reviewed anything. He -- I think he had answered -- or been asked and answered a question that you'd asked him two or three times, that he had answered, and I felt like he was getting beat up. And I'm not saying you're a bad guy, but

for him, I could see he was getting beat up. And so I think my expression was to end his pain. He's already answered the question a few times. Just be quiet and let it set, because he was -- I can see when Matt gets uptight. He also has a hearing problem, too, so the whole thing was stressful for him.

Q. Were you concerned at all about the content of his response?

A. No, because -- again, I'm going from memory. I think he'd already answered a couple of times. I don't want to guess. The record will show if that's true or not. From what I remember, I think he had already answered two or three times. So I wasn't worried about the fourth answer, I guess.

Q. Okay. And if he hadn't actually answered the question previously, would there be any other reason for your statement?

23 A. Just my concern for Matt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And why would you -- why would you want for Mr. Denegre not to provide answers or elaborate on

Page 76

Page 77

Q. With respect to Mr. Denegre, does he have a good memory, generally?

A. Matt is a bit of a processing machine, so when

he has information in front of him, he can coalesce it pretty good. When he's away from it, he doesn't have, like, instant recall. He needs to, like, refresh the

6 7 context.

> So he's a very good analyst, but carrying trivia facts, after time, not as good. So -- but, you know, if you refresh his memory, he's good. But on recall, you know, 50/50.

Q. Okay. So difficulty recalling events?

A. I don't know if I'm better than 50/50. So I don't want to compare that in general. But, yeah, that's -- Matt's a very good processor. He just needs a lot of inputs at the time.

Q. Is he generally an honest person?

A. I know Matt to be very honest.

19 Q. And did you discuss Mr. Denegre's deposition with him? 20

A. No. 2.1

Q. What about Mr. Hook? Did you discuss

23 Mr. Hook's deposition with him?

A. Nope, I don't think I talked to either of

them -- other than a few sentences -- since, to make sure

### Page 75

his answers during his deposition?

A. I wouldn't.

I mean, I think I spoke to him before his deposition and gave him instructions to answer truthfully and to wait until you finish asking the questions because there's times when Matt will jump in before you're done, and I know sometimes the questions can be long.

So I maybe talked to him two minutes. So that's the only advice I ever gave him.

Q. Did you have any concerns about how he was responding to a question inquiring about the importance of the foreclosure?

Q. Do you believe that your statements during his deposition impacted Mr. Denegre's testimony?

A. I do not.

Q. Do you believe that he would have provided a more full answer had you not made that statement?

A. I don't think so. We can look at if he'd already covered that question or not, but I don't think it would have changed anything.

Q. I'll come back to probing that a little -- a little bit, but did you -- did you discuss Mr. Denegre's deposition with anyone?

A. No.

that we didn't discuss it.

Q. So we were speaking earlier about Super G. And I'd asked if Super G had requested that Baymark put together a wind-down plan.

Am I correct that that occurred at some point in time?

A. Yeah. Hang on here. You're kind of stripping my gears, jumping around.

So we're back to did Super G ask us to do a potential wind-down for them. Yes, they did.

Q. And did Super G request, as well, that ACET Global put together a wind-down plan?

13 A. That's what I meant.

Q. Okay.

A. The ACET Global entities to put down its 15 16 wind-down plan, yes.

17 Q. And that was a plan to wind down ACET Global, 18 right?

A. Yes.

Q. Did Mr. Denegre work with Bill Szeto on the 20 21 wind-down plan for ACET Global?

22 A. Yeah. He probably did.

Q. Okay. And were you aware of that?

24 A. I don't know if I'd need to be, but I -- I 25

would assume so. That's fine.

Page 78 Page 80 1 O. Okav. 1 he -- at some point when the company started underperforming severely, he turned it over to Matt and 2 A. Just, for example, like, Bill Szeto is a really 2 3 smart guy, but I don't know if he's worked with a lot of 3 I -- or he delegated it to Matt and I to run it -- or to 4 banks, presentationally speaking. You know, Matt's 4 manage it at that point. 5 probably good at doing PowerPoints or garnering the 5 So as Matt was doing this with Bill Szeto 6 information that Bill Szeto didn't have, so . . . before we went to Super G again, he would send it to me 6 7 7 Q. Okay. Did you or Mr. -- Mr. Denegre ever ask just to make sure that if I wanted to look it over, I 8 8 Bill Szeto to make changes to the wind-down plan? could. It's an employee keeping his manager in the loop. 9 9 A. I'm sure if Matt said, "Hey, blue is a better Q. Okay. Did you look it over? 10 10 color than yellow," I guess that would technically be A. Lightly. I mean, there's not a lot to look at. 11 11 The main thing I'd look at is if Super G wanted to take 12 12 assets or wind down the business, I wanted to see if they Q. Any substantive changes? A. I don't -- I don't know. I think at this point were gonna stick us with any costs. I think I probably 13 13 looked to see if there was any things they were going to 14 Bill was pretty much in control. You've met Bill -- I've 14 15 met him a few times. He's a big personality, so he's --15 try and, cost-wise, stick ACET Global with. That's --16 he takes advice lightly. 16 that would probably be the extent of my review. 17 Q. (Shared screen.) 17 Q. Okay. And was this wind-down of ACET Global, 18 A. Is there something on the screen? 18 was this just an internal matter, or was that something 19 19 Q. There is. that was being discussed outside of -- outside of your (Deposition Exhibit 13 marked for 20 20 21 identification.) 21 A. I'm not sure of the "group" that you refer to, 22 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Are you familiar with this 22 but I will tell you what did happen is I believe Super G 23 Exhibit 13 to this deposition? 23 requested this. Bill Szeto, I think this is his PowerPoint 2.4 A. Yes, I see it. 2.4 Q. And is this an e-mail from Matt Denegre to you 25 presentation, like 90 percent of it. Matt might have put 25 Page 79 Page 81 1 1 on September 10th, 2018? some touches on it, and then it went out. And I guess we 2 2 ultimately sent it to Super G at some point. 3 3 Q. And the Subject line, does it state "Corrected Q. Okay. 4 4 Version"? A. So those would be the parties, I guess: Steve 5 5 A. Canceled -- oh, "Corrected Version," yes. Bellah, Bill Szeto, myself, and Matt. 6 Q. And the attachment. Does that say "Winddown"? 6 Q. Okay. 7 7 It appears to be a PowerPoint. A. So I don't know about "groups," but I think 8 8 A. It does. "Winddown1-2" PowerPoint those are the parties. 9 presentation, yes. 9 Q. Okay. And just looking to the documents that 10 Q. Okay. And in Mr. Denegre's e-mail to you, he 10 are attached on the e-mail, is the second page of this 11 states, "Wind down plan for Super G for your review"; is 11 exhibit titled "Wind Down Plan"? 12 12 that correct? A. It is. Isn't that an opening of the PowerPoint 13 presentation? That's not in the body of the e-mail, 13 14 14 Q. And does this appear to be a true and correct correct? 15 15 copy of the e-mail correspondence between you and Q. Correct, yes. And does it refer to "Inventory Management, Fulfillment Management"? 16 Mr. Denegre? 16 17 A. Yeah -- you just talking about the top part, 17 A. It does. 18 not the bottom part where Bill said --18 Q. And appears to be discussing in the context of 19 Q. Yes, sir, but I'll scroll down so you see what 19 the wind-down of the "Current Location"? A. That would be ACET Global, correct. 2.0 was attached. 20 21 A. I'm sure this is an accurate e-mail. If you're 21 Q. Okay. What did you understand "Current 22 trying to make it authentic, it looks fine. 22 Location" to mean? 23 Q. Okay. Why did Mr. Denegre send this wind-down 23 A. Where do you see "Current Location," or did I 24 plan for your review? 24 25 A. Well, if you can recall David's deposition, 25 Q. I'm trying to highlight this for you.

Page 82 Page 84 (Indicating.) 1 1 Q. Was there a concept -- a broad concept of an 2 A. Yeah. Yeah. I don't know. 2 ACET Newco? 3 I don't know if we'd been threatened by the 3 A. No. 4 landlord yet. I think I was already getting notices. I 4 Q. An entity that would take the place of ACET? 5 don't think Tomer got them yet. 5 A. No. 6 So the landlord, I think, was threatening Q. Now, continuing on to the next page of the 6 7 7 exhibit, it refers to -- "Time Line and Cost For Wind to lock us out at this point, so there might have had to 8 8 have been contingency plans to move before the landlord Down" is the title. 9 seized all of our assets, and then we'd be really in 9 A. Um-hum. 10 trouble. Because that's what landlords usually do. 10 Q. And it states "Pay Bill's credit card," 11 Q. Okay. 11 "Reserve For One Month's Rent." 12 A. That's my guess. 12 And what did you understand "Reserve For 13 Q. Was there a plan to relocate the business? 13 One Month's Rent" to mean in this context? A. I don't know. That would have been Bill, but I A. I can assure you I did not read that at the 14 14 15 know there was a concern that the landlord was coming to 15 time, but I think it seems as if, if they were going to 16 change the locks, I think. 16 be in a new location, they thought they could wind down 17 Q. And in the second large bullet point, it refers 17 18 to "Sales and Office Staff" and talks about "Relocating 18 I think down below it says they can do it 19 to temporary office space with current office furniture 19 in two weeks. From 9-17 to 9-24, so maybe one week. So 20 and computers." 20 maybe they needed a month's worth of rent somewhere to 21 21 effect this. A. Yeah. I got ahead of myself. There it is. 22 Q. And lists a number of other items including 22 Q. Did this lead you to believe that maybe there "Customer Services," "Email Access," "Current Business 23 23 were not issues with the landlord at this time? Files"? 2.4 2.4 A. That's not debatable. It doesn't matter what I 25 A. Yes. 25 think. I have a lot -- I have e-mails. I've seen the Page 83 Page 85 1 1 Q. What did you understand all of this to be notes. I've seen the threats. It was an issue. It 2 2 doesn't matter what I think. That's a given. 3 3 A. I guess I walked into my own answer. If the Q. And below that, does it state "Perform Last 4 4 landlord was coming, then they needed to get a new Inventory prior to closing"? 5 location. So that's what they have to do so they 5 A. It does. 6 wouldn't lose their desks and chairs when the landlord 6 Q. And then below that, "Price inventory which can 7 7 locks the premises up. be sold or transferred"? 8 8 Q. Okay. So you were understanding this to refer A. Yep. 9 to continuing the business on -- at a new location? 9 Q. On the right-hand side, it refers to "Inform 10 A. Well, yeah. Winding it down -- it would be 10 Building Owner on Closing" and "Inform all Market Places 11 nice if a wind-down was, like, a one-day event, but I 11 on Closing" and "Inform all major customers on Closing." 12 12 think to wind down a business can sometimes take two or What did these mean? What did you 13 three months to process all the AP and the AR. 13 understand these to mean? 14 14 A. Reading them now, I hope that it would mean So you have to have some point of 15 operations to wind down so, I guess, that the lienholder 15 that -- tell all these people that the business is 16 has -- can get full value of its assets. So it sounds 16 closing. Quit calling me for payments. I was getting 17 terrible. Yeah, that -- I think that's what this lists 17 collection calls from DHL and FedEx, landlords, people. 18 down here, the winding it down. They've got to do it 18 So maybe if we tell them that they're closed, they would 19 19 stop. That's probably what that means. from somewhere. 2.0 Q. Okay. Was that somewhere going to be 20 And then also, there's some people like 21 Windspeed's offices? 21 the -- is there marketing in here? Market Place, yes. So the marketing -- you don't want people to continue to 22 A. No, I don't know that there's any concept to me 22 23 or Matt of Windspeed at this time. This is I think in 23 work, and then they'll still bill you later. So you 2.4 response to Super G asking ACET if they're gonna wind it 24 probably want to let them know to stop, is my guess in 25 down. 25 there.

Page 86 Page 88 1 O. Okav. 1 ACET Global? 2 A. It seems normal. 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 3 Q. And informing all the major customers? 3 A. So was there discussion, outside of the people 4 4 that I knew, about this? I wouldn't necessarily know. 5 Q. Was this intended to inform them that the 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yes, sir. 6 operations would be transferring to a new entity? 6 A. I don't mean to be snarky, but outside my 7 7 A. No. I think there's nothing in here to group? 8 indicate that. This is for wind-down only, not for 8 Q. Yeah. Outside of, let's call it, Baymark 9 transfer only. 9 Partners and ACET Global? 10 10 I'm just reading the title there, right, A. I don't want to state the obvious, but if we 11 "time Line" -- that you highlighted -- "and Cost For Wind 11 sent our wind-down plan to Super G, I bet they read it. 12 Down Only," yeah. 12 And if that person who read it talked to someone else, 13 Q. Was there any concern about the ACET Global's 13 then they were having discussions, but . . . 14 employees, their termination? 14 Q. Anyone else, like contractors? 15 15 A. Well, certainly. I was concerned about them. A. I don't know. I mean, on here -- you mean like 16 That's why I funded payroll all those months. So at the 16 the listing of notifying these people on here? Like were 17 end, I know that I would want to at least fund that last 17 they actually notified? 18 payroll hopefully, before they were terminated, so they 18 Q. Yeah. That would be one. 19 wouldn't work a week and get stiffed with no cash in the 19 A. I don't know. 20 bank. 20 O. Did Baymark Partners refer Lori Barber or her 2.1 Q. Okay. Was there -- was there any concern that company to Windspeed Trading? 21 22 those employees would not have new employment? 22 A. We did. 23 A. I always worry about that with employees that 23 Q. Okay. How did that happen? 2.4 work for a company. When you go out, you always want to 24 A. At some point, as an effort to keep the 25 make sure they have unemployment. 25 business growing or to get it -- whatever -- Lori Barber Page 87 Page 89 1 1 Q. Were there discussions -does marketing, internet marketing. And so we brought 2 A. It would have been a concern. I'm sorry. 2 her in to help pump up the company as well. I think that 3 3 Q. Oh, no. Were there discussions about how to was early on. I don't have the dates. I don't think we 4 line something up for them? 4 ever charged ACET for that. I think Baymark, since we 5 A. I don't think this indicates that, and I 5 had a little relationship with Lori, we paid the tab for 6 didn't -- I didn't know how to do that. 6 Lori to come in and try to help ACET. So she's an 7 7 Q. You didn't. Were you the president of ACET internet marketer. I think Lux24 is who she is. 8 Global at this time? 8 Q. But was she helping Windspeed? 9 A. I believe David was the president of ACET 9 A. I don't -- I don't -- I wouldn't know. 10 Global and had delegated the work to Matt and I. But 10 Q. Okay. 11 that's not a distinction that -- that matters that much. 11 A. She -- like, she works on a few of our 12 David had delegated to me -- Matt and I at 12 portfolio companies, but she has a lot of other clients 13 that time. So I was acting under his direction and 13 as well. She has the knowledge of the ACET stuff. If 14 auth- -- or under his authority. 14 Bill Szeto wanted to keep her on afterwards or pay her, I 15 Q. Okay. So at this time you had assumed 15 don't know. 16 responsibility, we'll call it, on behalf of David Hook or 16 (Deposition Exhibit 5 marked for 17 17 on behalf of Baymark Partners to oversee ACET Global? identification.) 18 A. That's right. The typical -- the management 18 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. I'll put what's marked 19 relationship that we had was still in place. We 19 as Exhibit 5 on the screen for you. (Shared screen.) 2.0 controlled the board, and that was our job, to put the 20 Do you see that? 21 right people in place to operate it. And that hadn't 21 A. One second. We have a big conference room 22 changed. Even if it went from David to me, it was still 22 screen and a laptop here, which is a lot easier to see. 23 Baymark -- under the Baymark agreement. 23 Okay. Yes, I see it. 24 Q. Okay. And you indicated -- was there 24 Q. And I'm specifically looking down at an e-mail 25 discussion outside of your group about the wind-down of 25 towards the bottom of the first page.

Page 90 Page 92 1 A. Um-hum. 1 actually taking in the foreclosure. 2 Q. Is that an e-mail from Lori Barber to -- to 2 Q. Okay. And in your role, with respect to ACET 3 Matt Denegre, you, and Andy Waltman, all of you at 3 Global, prior to the foreclosure sale, did Super G ever 4 Baymark Partners? 4 5 5 A. That would be Bill Szeto, but I believe they A. It is. 6 6 Q. And the Subject line, "Luluway Fan Page"? 7 7 Q. You believe that Super G did direct that it A. Um-hum. 8 Q. So who is Lori Barber? 8 would take possession of the inventory prior to the 9 9 A. She's the owner or she's associated with foreclosure sale? 10 10 A. I don't think I said "take possession." I Lux24 -- or 214, I'm sorry. I just saw the e-mail. It's 11 11 mean, that sounds like a very physical thing to do, like 12 12 guys with, you know, trucks taking it. Q. And this e-mail's dated January 7, 2019? 13 But they can guide, direct -- if the loan 13 A. It is. is in default, those assets are theirs. They have 14 Q. And does she begin her e-mail by saying, "I 14 15 15 dominion and control over them at that point. So there's know you're in the process of winding down Acet"? 16 A. Yeah. 16 a lot of influence that they get the second the senior 17 Q. Okay. Now, why was Miss Barber -- why was 17 loan goes into default under the Security Agreement 18 Miss Barber aware of the winding down of ACET? 18 that -- like I said, the original agreements we tried to 19 A. Well, what -- I don't know. But this is Lori 19 follow that all three parties agreed on. 20 Barber to Matt, so I wasn't on these communications. 20 Q. Okay. Now, dominion and control sounds like a 21 physical thing. Is that what you mean? 21 But at that time, the company -- what is 22 this, in January of '19? 22 A. Fair enough. Same thing I said on the 23 23 possession. It -- I'm just trying to put together the O. Yes, sir. Security Agreement. From what I understand, there's a 2.4 A. So I think the ACET Global and ACET Holdco 24 25 lot of things that they say they can do, and it covers 2.5 were -- nothing was happening at that point, I think, Page 91 Page 93 1 1 after somewhere in mid-'18s, when we stopped funding basically everything. 2 payroll. It was -- so we would tell Lori Barber, you 2 Q. And what leads you to believe -- what do you --3 3 know, politely -- we wouldn't say, "Hey, we don't like what do you base that testimony on that you observed? 4 4 you, we don't want to use you." We're just saying, "Hey, MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 5 5 this business is going to be going away or stop A. I would love to answer that question, but it's 6 6 functioning right now, so quit racking up costs that based on my testimony. I'm not sure what "base that 7 7 Baymark's going to have to continue to pay." testimony on that I observed." 8 8 Q. Okay. Did -- did Windspeed take over using the Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, so -- let me -- let me 9 9 Luluway website? ask you -- and it is fickle, and that's -- that's fair. 10 A. If Super G gave it to them, then they did with 10 I understand your testimony to essentially 11 it whatever they wanted to do with it. 11 be that prior to the foreclosure sale, Super G did, in 12 Q. Did ACET Global ever allow Windspeed to use the 12 fact, exercise rights and control over ACET Global's 13 13 Luluway website? inventory; is that correct? 14 14 A. I wouldn't know so or -- but, again, that would A. Two things, yes. I believe they had the right 15 15 be encompassed of the assets that were under the guise of to, and I believe they did. 16 Super G's inventory or note or Security Agreement, and so 16 Q. And that they actually took action with respect 17 if there was a direction by them to make it work, then I 17 to that inventory that reflected or was intended to 18 guess they have the right to do that. 18 demonstrate their control over that inventory? 19 Q. Did you understand that Super G had the right 19 A. I -- that's a nuanced question, so I don't have an answer. But I would interpret it, Super G told Matt 2.0 to transfer those assets prior to the foreclosure sale? 20 21 A. I think their Security Agreement says that they 21 or told Bill, "Hey, sell a necklace and give me the 22 can take possession. They can do what they want. They 22 money," or, "Do something with it." 23 can hypothecate. They can sell. 23 Just something as simple as that, "Hey, 2.4 There's a -- I don't have it in front of 24 sell a necklace." That would be an exercise in 25 me, but, yes, I think that they can take control prior to 25 control --

### Page 94 Page 96 1 O. Okav. 1 A. I don't believe so. I think we were -- we were 2 A. -- pursuant to their Security Agreement. So --2 communicating directly with Super G because that was --3 but nothing like you said, here is an official document 3 at that time, that whole period is when they asked us to that says, "I'm exhibiting all control," you know, 4 do the friendly foreclosure or the ABC, whatever you want 5 something very black and white like that. I never saw 5 to call it, the California thing. And we said no. 6 6 anything like that. They were talking about, "Well, maybe we'll 7 7 Q. And what leads you to believe that that may just sell all the assets or we'll do a wind-down and take 8 8 have occurred? the assets." 9 9 A. The fact that Super G was in communication with Or we'll do a -- they didn't say 10 Bill Szeto. That's what that would lead me to believe. 10 foreclosure at that time. I kind of made them do that, 11 Q. Okay. Who at ACET was Super G in communication 11 or suggested that. So, yeah, there was communication 12 with about the assets at that time? 12 amongst all parties. 13 13 A. Well, that would be Bill Szeto. That would be Q. Okay. But you didn't have any communications with Bill Szeto about what he was discussing with 14 the -- what did we say "that time" -- before I start 14 answering, what time is "that time"? Back then -- '19? 15 15 16 Q. Yeah. Let's put it from October of '18 on 16 A. No. We were -- ACET Global was, again, trying 17 until whenever the foreclosure sale occurs as the end 17 to enforce our rights and concerned that -- our immediate 18 date 18 concern was that -- were they gonna take our assets, and 19 19 then we'd be dead in the water, or if we could put them A. Yeah. 20 20 Q. During all that -- during that time, are you off longer. 21 saying that Super G was in communication with Bill Szeto 21 So that's what we were communicating. 22 of ACET Global? 22 That's why we resisted the friendly foreclosure, if we 23 23 A. Yes. can use that to refer to that ABC thing. 24 24 Q. Okay. And what was Bill Szeto's position at Q. Did -- did you have the impression that Bill 25 ACET Global at that time? 25 Szeto was in communication with Super G during that time? Page 95 Page 97 1 1 A. He was the CEO. A. I do know that he communicated with them. I do 2 Q. Okay. Had he been delegated full authority 2 know that at first, when he first got hired on, early on, 3 3 over ACET? he had a meeting with them. And then Super G was 4 4 A. The CEO operates -- the board of directors communicating with us how Bill was doing. 5 5 hires the guy, and then the CEO does everything else. And at some point, they stopped talking to 6 So, yeah, full authority. 6 us, and they were talking to Bill at that point. I don't 7 7 Q. Okay. And were you in -- was Bill -- was Bill know if Bill initiated that. Like I said, he's a big 8 8 Szeto in communication with anyone else at Baymark personality. I wouldn't be surprised if he reached out 9 9 Partners or at ACET Global about the status of ACET and took that over. 10 Global's inventory during that period? 10 Q. Okay. And what -- what led you to believe that 11 A. That's very specific. I think we were getting 11 they were talking directly with Bill Szeto? 12 12 updates, minimal updates on P&L, or updates of the profit A. No more than what I've indicated here. 13 13 and loss of the business after some point in time. Q. So nothing else specific? 14 14 It went into kind of a stasis mode where we A. (Shook head.) There's going to be a number of 15 were just paying payroll. But they -- I don't think they 15 e-mails that have Bill or me or Matt on it as well, and 16 had enough cash to even buy inventory. But he was just 16 Super G. So depending on the time, that'd be 17 17 communicating basically how the company's doing and how trying to keep it alive long enough to sell what 18 inventory there was to get going, to carry on, to get a 18 is it going to make it. 19 chance to revive the company. 19 Q. Did you refer to Windspeed as "ACET Newco"? 2.0 So was he in contact or did he have 20 A. I don't believe so. 21 control? Yeah, he was talking to -- I guess it was 21 Q. Did you ever ask ACET lawyers to draft 22 Super G at that time, and we would get updates. Did we 22 formation documents for Windspeed Trading? 23 get separate inventory listing? No. 2.3 A. No. 2.4 Q. So did Mr. Szeto provide you with updates about 24 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 25 his communications with Super G? 25 A. No. Well, when you say -- when you say -- did

	Page 98	Page 1	100
1	you say ACET Global's attorneys?	even I don't think we formed it. I think Bill Szeto	
2	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Yes, sir.	2 formed that.	
3	A. Yeah, so ACET Global had an attorney they	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And what do you mean b	y the
4	had a separate engagement for Baymark Partners	4 phrase "formation documents"?	
5	Management. That was a separate yeah.	5 A. Well, it was in existence by the time Bill	
6	Q. Okay. So, now, did did ACET Global have	6 said, "Hey, I want to give you some equity in my compa	any.
7	attorneys?	7 Maybe you could give me some money," which I never	did.
8	A. Yes.	8 Q. So that was the reason for Baymark Partners'	
9	Q. And who were they?	9 involvement with respect to Windspeed Trading?	
10	A. ACET Global had Hallett & Perrin, Julie or	10 A. I think Baymark Partners Management was the o	ne
11	Gordon at first and then Julie.	that was involved, not to draw a small distinction, but	
12	Q. Okay. And did you did you ask Baymark	12 it is a separate legal entity. So Baymark Partners	
13	Partners' lawyers to draft formation documents for	Management was the one that was involved with Bill.	
14	Windspeed Trading?	I bet he expected us to continue to invest	
15	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	in him because we had continued funding payroll before	e to
16	A. I think I asked Baymark Partners Management's	16 keep ACET Global going. And also he figured at some	
17	attorneys to review those documents, which Bill Szeto	point we could use our experience to find financing or	
18	told me he was gonna give me a an equity piece or a	lending or something for him in the future. He was	
19	warrant in his company.	19 trying to rope us in.	
20	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did who was Baymark	20 Q. Okay.	
21	Partners Management's attorneys?	A. That's my understanding.	
22	A. Hallett & Perrin.	Q. Who owns Baymark Partners Management?	
23	Q. Okay. And who who specifically within	A. David and I.	
24	Hallett & Perrin? Was that Julie Smith?	Q. And how is that ownership split up?	
25	A. It was Julie, yes.	A. I'd have to check, but let's go with 50/50.	
	Page 99	Page 1	101
1	Page 99	Page 1	101
1 2	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers?	1 That's fairly that's going to be accurate.	L01
2	<ul><li>Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers?</li><li>A. Hallett &amp; Perrin.</li></ul>	<ol> <li>That's fairly that's going to be accurate.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of</li> </ol>	
2	<ul><li>Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers?</li><li>A. Hallett &amp; Perrin.</li><li>Q. It was Hallett &amp; Perrin. Was it specifically</li></ul>	<ol> <li>That's fairly that's going to be accurate.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of</li> <li>Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do</li> </ol>	
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers?</li><li>A. Hallett &amp; Perrin.</li><li>Q. It was Hallett &amp; Perrin. Was it specifically</li><li>Julie Smith?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>That's fairly that's going to be accurate.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of</li> <li>Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do</li> <li>use?</li> </ul>	
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers?</li><li>A. Hallett &amp; Perrin.</li><li>Q. It was Hallett &amp; Perrin. Was it specifically</li><li>Julie Smith?</li><li>A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think</li></ul>	<ul> <li>That's fairly that's going to be accurate.</li> <li>Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of</li> <li>Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do</li> <li>use?</li> <li>A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my</li> </ul>	
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers?</li> <li>A. Hallett &amp; Perrin.</li> <li>Q. It was Hallett &amp; Perrin. Was it specifically</li> <li>Julie Smith?</li> <li>A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think</li> <li>I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but</li> </ul>	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark	
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too?	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.	you
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah.	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too?	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this — well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most — I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys?	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes.	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys?	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of.	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay.	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?  A. It is the same office location.	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay. A. So I know Bill or Windspeed had their own	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?  A. It is the same office location.  Q. Does it have different, distinct employees?	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay. A. So I know Bill or Windspeed had their own person. And of course Super G would have their own	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?  A. It is the same office location.  Q. Does it have different, distinct employees?  A. Trying to so there are certain people that	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay. A. So I know Bill or Windspeed had their own person. And of course Super G would have their own person. Then Hallett & Perrin for the entities that I controlled. Q. The others. So if I asked about whether	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?  A. It is the same office location.  Q. Does it have different, distinct employees?  A. Trying to so there are certain people that work on some or the other, but it's for convenience, I'd say it's the same same employees. Different employees work on different things.	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay. A. So I know Bill or Windspeed had their own person. And of course Super G would have their own person. Then Hallett & Perrin for the entities that I controlled. Q. The others. So if I asked about whether Baymark Partners' lawyers were requested to draft	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location.  Q. Does it have different, distinct employees?  A. Trying to so there are certain people that work on some or the other, but it's for convenience, I'd say it's the same same employees. Different employees work on different things.  Q. Same employees. Okay. Are they paid by	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay. A. So I know Bill or Windspeed had their own person. And of course Super G would have their own person. Then Hallett & Perrin for the entities that I controlled. Q. The others. So if I asked about whether Baymark Partners' lawyers were requested to draft formation documents for Windspeed Trading, is the answer	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?  A. It is the same office location.  Q. Does it have different, distinct employees?  A. Trying to so there are certain people that work on some or the other, but it's for convenience, I'd say it's the same same employees. Different employees work on different things.  Q. Same employees. Okay. Are they paid by Baymark Partners?	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay. A. So I know Bill or Windspeed had their own person. And of course Super G would have their own person. Then Hallett & Perrin for the entities that I controlled. Q. The others. So if I asked about whether Baymark Partners' lawyers were requested to draft formation documents for Windspeed Trading, is the answer yes?	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?  A. It is the same office location.  Q. Does it have different, distinct employees?  A. Trying to so there are certain people that work on some or the other, but it's for convenience, I'd say it's the same same employees. Different employees work on different things.  Q. Same employees. Okay. Are they paid by Baymark Partners?  A. Paid by Baymark Management.	you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Who was Baymark Partners' lawyers? A. Hallett & Perrin. Q. It was Hallett & Perrin. Was it specifically Julie Smith? A. Well, when you say "Baymark Partners," I think I've used everybody at this well, not everybody, but yeah. Julie and Gordon, and is there a Cassandra, too? So, yeah. Q. Most I mean, it was Hallett & Perrin, and Julie Smith's one of one of the key attorneys? A. Yes. Q. What about for the other entities that you represent here today? Who were their attorneys? A. I can only speak to the ones I'm in control of. Q. Okay. A. So I know Bill or Windspeed had their own person. And of course Super G would have their own person. Then Hallett & Perrin for the entities that I controlled. Q. The others. So if I asked about whether Baymark Partners' lawyers were requested to draft formation documents for Windspeed Trading, is the answer	That's fairly that's going to be accurate.  Q. Okay. When you're corresponding on behalf of Baymark Partners Management, what e-mail address do use?  A. Keep it simple. It's all used under my convenient e-mail address that I have at Baymark Partners: tludlow@baymarkpartners.  Q. Okay. So you use your Baymark Partners e-mail address. Do you use a different signature block?  A. No, I don't.  Q. Does do you have a different phone number for Baymark Partners Management?  A. I do not.  Q. Different office location?  A. It is the same office location.  Q. Does it have different, distinct employees?  A. Trying to so there are certain people that work on some or the other, but it's for convenience, I'd say it's the same same employees. Different employees work on different things.  Q. Same employees. Okay. Are they paid by Baymark Partners?	you

	5 100		D 104
	Page 102		Page 104
1	Q. Is that the entity we were just talking about,	1	Q. Did it have its own accountants?
2	or is that a different entity?	2	A. Well, yes.
3	A. It's the same.	3	Q. Okay. And who were those accountants?
4	Q. The Baymark Partners Management?	4	A. It would be Jim Howard & Co., because as an
5	A. No, Baymark Partners Management is different	5	entity, it would be required to file have filings.
6	than Baymark Management.	6	Q. Okay. So it had its own who paid for those
7	Q. Okay. Who owns Baymark Management?	7	accountants?
8	A. David and I. 50/50.	8	A. Baymark Partners Management or David and I.
9	Q. And the same for Baymark Group Baymark	9	Q. Okay. So did you did you ask for or request
10	Partners Management?	10	Baymark Partners' lawyers to draft any of the formation
11	A. Yes.	11	documents for Windspeed Trading?
12	Q. What is the purpose of Baymark Management?	12	A. No. It was I think it was what I
13	A. Big question. We're a private equity firm that	13	recollect, it was already formed when we were asked to
14	partners and invests with companies to help provide	14	come into it. I gave it to Julie and said, "Hey, make
15	our expertise to help them grow. We invest in cash flow	15	sure that if I come into this entity" because I think
16	businesses that we can get a multiple of the EBITDA and	16	there was already an operational agreement that existed,
17	grow them and sell them.	17	and it was probably, like, a single member, something
18	Q. Okay. So is that is that the the mother	18	simple said, "If I'm gonna come in and get some
19	company?	19	equity, make sure it's done correctly."
20	A. Baymark Partners?	20	Q. Okay. So you were just discussing getting
21	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	21	equity in Windspeed?
22	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, Baymark Management.	22	A. I think what I said was since Bill Szeto was
23	A. Yeah, that's yes. Yeah. And making sure	23	going to be giving us equity, I was gonna accept it.
24	you're making a distinction it's not Partners	24	Make sure that it comports with an operating agreement
25	Management.	25	that has the protections that I need. And number one is
	Page 103		Page 105
1	Page 103 Q. Yeah.	1	Page 105 not requiring me to put in any money.
1 2		1 2	
	Q. Yeah.		not requiring me to put in any money.
2	Q. Yeah. A. Okay.	2	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you
2	<ul><li>Q. Yeah.</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its</li></ul>	2 3	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?
2 3 4	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are.	2 3 4	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Yeah.</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners?</li><li>A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from	2 3 4 5 6	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management.	2 3 4 5 6 7	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners?</li> <li>A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are.</li> <li>The entity is Baymark Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners?</li> <li>A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are.</li> <li>The entity is Baymark Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management?</li> <li>A. They do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Yeah.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners?</li> <li>A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are.</li> <li>The entity is Baymark Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management?</li> <li>A. They do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's  Q. He has a long history?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership. A. Yeah, no. No others.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's  Q. He has a long history?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership. A. Yeah, no. No others. Q. Did Baymark Partners Management, did it have you know, did it have a separate sign outside to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's  Q. He has a long history?  A. He has a he has a longer history than I do, so yes.  Q. Was he a pretty sophisticated businessman?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership. A. Yeah, no. No others. Q. Did Baymark Partners Management, did it have you know, did it have a separate sign outside to designate, outside the office?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's  Q. He has a long history?  A. He has a he has a longer history than I do, so yes.  Q. Was he a pretty sophisticated businessman?  A. He had been in some from what I understand,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership. A. Yeah, no. No others. Q. Did Baymark Partners Management, did it have you know, did it have a separate sign outside to designate, outside the office? A. No, it didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's  Q. He has a long history?  A. He has a he has a longer history than I do, so yes.  Q. Was he a pretty sophisticated businessman?  A. He had been in some from what I understand, some very complicated venture deals that had gone up and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership. A. Yeah, no. No others. Q. Did Baymark Partners Management, did it have you know, did it have a separate sign outside to designate, outside the office? A. No, it didn't. Q. And no separate website, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's  Q. He has a long history?  A. He has a he has a longer history than I do, so yes.  Q. Was he a pretty sophisticated businessman?  A. He had been in some from what I understand, some very complicated venture deals that had gone up and done very well. So that means he's familiar with working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Yeah. A. Okay. Q. I'm using a I'm trying to understand its role, basically. How is it related to Baymark Partners? A. I believe Baymark Partners is a d/b/a that is for Baymark Management is where all the employees are. The entity is Baymark Management. Q. Okay. And do all the paychecks come from Baymark Management? A. They do. Q. Okay. Do any of the other subsidiary Baymark entities or d/b/a's, do they have a separate payroll? A. Okay. So when you say "subsidiaries," we have a lot of portfolio companies, and they all have separate payrolls, but Q. Well, let me put it like this: I'm only referring to those, you know, that are at issue here and related to ACET Global and the chain up of ownership. A. Yeah, no. No others. Q. Did Baymark Partners Management, did it have you know, did it have a separate sign outside to designate, outside the office? A. No, it didn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not requiring me to put in any money.  Q. Okay. Were you intending so were you intending to put any money into it?  A. No.  Q. But your understanding was that Bill Szeto was asking you to be a member because you would fund it?  A. I don't actually know why he was doing it. My assumption was that we we had shown a predilection to give him money to cover payroll. In a desperate situation, he thought we might be nice to have if he got in trouble. And maybe some expertise when it came to financing or lending later on. None of which we've done.  Q. You thought pretty highly of Bill Szeto?  A. Yeah. He had a good pedigree and a good background. He's a  Q. Pretty good CEO?  A. I think so. He's  Q. He has a long history?  A. He has a he has a longer history than I do, so yes.  Q. Was he a pretty sophisticated businessman?  A. He had been in some from what I understand, some very complicated venture deals that had gone up and

### Page 106 Page 108 1 speaks another language that comes in handy if you're 1 he's just giving you equity in Windspeed? 2 going to be shipping from China. 2 A. So that -- I feel like you said why do I think 3 Q. Okay. I'm guessing that's not French, right? 3 he's so good at Windspeed? Like we shifted from him 4 A. Yes. I don't want to say Mandarin versus --4 assessing ACET over to --5 there's a few Chinese dialects. I'm not sure which one 5 Q. Right. 6 6 A. -- why he's such a good businessman at he speaks. I don't think it's French. 7 Q. Was -- but he was -- he was a pretty 7 Windspeed. 8 8 sophisticated businessman? You bounced deals off of him Q. I'm -- no, I'm trying to understand why Baymark 9 9 at times, right? Partners was interested in obtaining an equity stake in 10 10 A. I had never met him. It was a friend of Windspeed. 11 David's. David had the experience with him before. So 11 A. Bill asked us to take equity. At the time we 12 David told me about him. Then I think he came to the 12 took it, it was -- I want to say equity or warrant. I 13 office one time; I met him. He was -- I think initially 13 use those interchangeably. he was hired to go in and just assess. Because I think 14 14 Q. Right. 15 Bill Szeto was in retirement, so to speak, at the time. 15 A. We took that. At the time that he offered and 16 And he was just to assess what the issues were to see how 16 we took it, we were taking a -- I forget what it was. 17 drastic changes we'd have to make to get the company 17 30 percent, a 30 percent ownership in debt. The company 18 revived again. That's how I met him. 18 had no operations, no income, and it was just debt. 19 19 Q. Okay. And when was that? So it was just a -- you know, we'd gotten 20 A. This is all verifiable, but if you want me to 20 Bill and brought him into a company that had done poorly. 21 guess, I think February -- no, January of maybe 2018. 21 I thought it's the least we could do is to show him some 22 Q. Okay. That general time frame when you first 22 support there. But at the time we took it, it was 23 met him. 23 nothing but debt in the company. Q. And you didn't intend to infuse any capital 2.4 A. Yeah. We -- we knew we needed help because 2.4 25 once Super G said, "Put in money or we're -- because 25 into it? Page 107 Page 109 1 1 you're in default, put in money or bad things are going A. I did not and nor have I ever. 2 2 Q. And so how exactly were you -- were you doing to happen," in that December that I mentioned before, 3 after that we needed to get pretty serious. 3 Bill a favor by taking equity in his company? 4 4 So we were going to have somebody -- an A. Well, he -- again, he thought that -- you don't 5 5 outside somebody assess what -- why the company was want to tell the guy, "I'm not gonna put anything" -- you 6 performing so poor. So it had to have been no sooner 6 don't want to shut the guy down and tell him, "I'm not 7 7 actually going to put any more money into this." 8 8 Q. Okay. Why were you interested in going into If he thought that at some future point he 9 9 business with Bill Szeto? could use our expertise if they ever got the company 10 A. Are you asking why we thought Bill Szeto would 10 going, maybe we would help him there. 11 make a good assessment of the company? 11 Q. Did you mislead Mr. Szeto into giving you 12 12 Q. Yeah. And specifically Windspeed, when you equity in Windspeed? were discussing obtaining equity in Windspeed. 13 13 A. No. 14 14 A. Well, there's a certain -- well, he has a good Q. No? But he believed you were going to put 15 15 background. He wasn't gonna charge us anything. He was money into Windspeed? 16 coming out of retirement. He had a good background, and 16 A. He had hoped. Like I said, we'd shown a 17 he went in and looked at some things. He understands 17 predilection. When he would call up with ACET and say, 18 about shipping. He understands about importing. He 18 "Hey, I need to make payroll, come on, help me out," he 19 understood the language. 19 found us to be bleeding hearts, and there were many times 2.0 So for him to go and do an assessment, it 20 THAT I made payroll so that people weren't fired. 21 would just give us another set of eyes to potentially 21 So I'm sure he wanted that to carry over, things we could do to improve the business. 22 22 should he get in trouble. 23 23 Q. Okay. But with respect to -- with respect to Q. Okay. But no other reason, really, you can 2.4 Windspeed, I mean, you understood him to be a, you know, 24 think of that he wanted to give you a 40 percent stake in

25

his company?

25

pretty sophisticated businessman, but you're not sure why

### Page 110 Page 112 Q. And is that an e-mail -- what's in Exhibit 15, 1 A. No. 1 2 Q. Any reason he would have wanted to give another 2 is that an e-mail from Matt Denegre to you on 3 40 percent stake to Super G? 3 October 7th, 2018? 4 A. That would be between him and Super G. I 4 A. It is. 5 assume that Super G -- he got a loan from Super G, so I'm 5 Q. Okay. And is the Subject line "ACET Newco"? 6 6 sure that was their hope ticket, to maybe trade. A. Uh-huh, it is. 7 7 Q. You or Baymark Partners weren't involved in Q. What did you understand "ACET Newco" to refer 8 8 that -- any of that discussion? to? 9 9 A. So below it, it says "EIN." It appears that A. I wasn't. 10 Q. Wouldn't you want to know the details about a 10 there's Windspeed Trading formation documents attached to 11 potential 40 percent partner in the deal? 11 it. So I guess that's talking about Windspeed. 12 A. I had no involvement -- or no risk in that 12 Q. So did you -- you understood ACET Newco to 13 13 deal, other than to make sure that I wasn't required to refer to Windspeed Trading? 14 put money in the deal, or blowback. So if he was going 14 A. No. 15 to hand that to me, I really had washed my hands of it at 15 Q. The attachments to this -- this e-mail, does it 16 that point. 16 state "EIN - Windspeed Trading LLC," a "Certificate of 17 Q. Didn't you have discussions with Mr. Denegre 17 Formation.pdf," and an "LLC Operating Agreement" from 18 about your risk in the deal? 18 Windspeed Trading LLC? 19 19 A. It does. 20 20 Q. You felt there was no risk in the Windspeed Q. Okay. And did you ever read those documents? 21 A. No. 21 structure? 22 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 22 Q. Why were you receiving this e-mail? 23 A. I don't -- I don't know what that means. I 23 A. I believe this is the beginning of Bill trying mean, all businesses have risk. So I think you're 2.4 24 to focus in to own pieces of Windspeed. 25 talking about a specific kind of risk, but when you say 25 Q. Okay. So this was -- was this Bill trying to Page 111 Page 113 1 "no risk at all," I mean, risk that it will succeed, that 1 make the hard sell to get Baymark Partners involved in 2 2 it will fail. Risk that we're gonna actually want to Windspeed? 3 get -- he's not going to be able to buy -- I'm not --3 A. I would guess so. I'm looking at the e-mail 4 it's a little bit more specific. 4 below. I'd like to read the body of it and see what it 5 5 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Generally if you're 6 discussing risk in an e-mail, for example, you know, with 6 Q. Okay. 7 respect to a -- to a business, are you referring to just 7 A. Unless you don't want to cover that. 8 that kind of implied background risk that exists in a 8 Q. We'll go through it, but we're -- I still want 9 capitalistic society, the geopolitical risks, or are you 9 to get to a few things at the top. 10 kind of referring to specific types of risks that may 10 Were you talking with Matt Denegre about 11 have been identified? 11 proposals for ownership by Super G in Windspeed? 12 A. Yeah, I'm sure if it's in an e-mail, that 12 A. So say that again. I'm sorry. I was reading 13 there's some context or conversation that's there. 13 at the same time. Were we talking about proposals 14 Q. Usually it's a particular risk, not sort of an 14 for . . . 15 amorphous background risk that may exist, right? 15 Q. Yeah. Was there a discussion about Super G 16 A. Right. So, yeah -- so if you have something 16 becoming an owner in Windspeed Trading? 17 you want me to look at, I'd be glad to look at it. 17 A. Well, I'm sure Super G was definitely talking 18 Q. Let's go to one, I guess. 18 to Bill about his -- about their ownership in -- in -- is 19 (Deposition Exhibit 15 marked for 19 it Windspeed? Yeah. Windspeed. 2.0 identification.) 20 Q. And you're not very familiar with Windspeed 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I have up what's marked as 21 Trading, though? 22 Exhibit 5 -- oop, sorry. Exhibit 15. (Shared screen.) 22 A. Well, I am now. It's become very popular these 23 Do you see that, sir? 23 days. At the time, no. 24 A. We got a false start there. 24 Q. But you weren't -- you weren't at the time? 25 Yep, I do. I do. 25 Okay.

### Page 114 Page 116 1 A. No. 1 "current employees." I see information to marketplaces. 2 Q. Did -- did you and Mr. Denegre or Baymark 2 This all sounds familiar to that wind-down 3 actually push back on Mr. Szeto and want more ownership 3 plan that we did -- that we did for Super G. So 4 in Windspeed? 4 apparently -- well, apparently. I don't know. This is 5 A. I don't know. I remember talking about I 5 probably something that he was doing with Super G. 6 wanted a warrant because it wasn't even worth filing 6 Q. And he was terminating the current employees 7 7 taxes. If you get a warrant, usually you don't get a but making offers to current employees. What did you 8 8 K-1, and I didn't even think this entity was worth the understand that to mean? 9 9 A. Well, that would mean if -- if the employees \$1500 to do the taxes on it. So that's the conversation 10 10 were going to be taken from ACET Global under Super G's I remember. 11 Q. Okay. Okay. Would it --11 guidance and -- whatever they want to do, put it into, I 12 A. At the time when it was formed, I think, and 12 guess, Windspeed here. That's what it sounds like. 13 Super G put the money in, by the time our equity -- we 13 Q. But you didn't know that was going to happen? 14 got our piece, it was all debt. There was nothing but 14 A. No. 15 15 Q. Okay. And does this e-mail that you've 16 Q. Did you think that maybe it would be worth more 16 received here on October 7th, 2018, is this -- and that's 17 in the future? 17 reflected here in Exhibit 15, is this a true and correct 18 A. No, I did not. 18 copy of the correspondence? 19 Q. Maybe in a few weeks? 19 A. I'll call it authentic. I don't have any 20 A. Did not. 20 reason to think it's not. 21 Q. So if it was -- if it wasn't worth much and you 21 Q. Now, scrolling down, these are the attachments 22 didn't expect that it would be worth much, why would --22 to the e-mail. 23 why would Baymark be pushing for greater ownership 23 A. Um-hum. 2.4 rights? 2.4 Q. I'm just scrolling down because I know you 25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 25 wanted to see it. But I don't have any questions for you Page 115 Page 117 1 1 A. I don't know. I don't know if we were. We can on those. 2 A. Those are the standard -- is it Texas or look at something. I might be able to answer that. 2 3 3 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'm going to -- I'm going to Delaware? 4 4 scroll down. Q. I believe it's Texas. 5 5 Let me just go to this e-mail from A. Because Texas and Delaware law is very similar. 6 Mr. Szeto with the subject "Windspeed LLC" below this 6 Had to have been one or the other, so . . . 7 7 Q. Did -- so in this e-mail, where Mr. Denegre was 8 8 A. Um-hum. referring about sending it to Julie -- and I assume 9 Q. It refers to a number of items or processes 9 that's Julie Smith of Hallett & Perrin? 10 that Mr. Szeto needs to go through. 10 A. Yes. Safe -- yes. That would be a safe 11 A. Um-hum. 11 assumption. 12 12 Q. And refers to (as read) "Leasing space to show Q. And did Baymark actually send the documents to 13 that we are indeed a serious company," "Making offers to 13 14 current employees," "new bank account," "Sending 14 A. I'm sure we did. If she took the original 15 termination letter to the current employees," "Informing 15 small draft and then made it a three-party agreement, I'm 16 market places the change of name and banking 16 sure she would have had to have gotten it. 17 information," and indicated, "We don't want to miss the 17 Q. Okay. And did she draft an amended company 18 best selling season of the year," as though there was a 18 agreement for Windspeed? 19 change right before the most advantageous part of the 19 A. Yeah. I believe she took it from a single member to a multimember, which is a lot bigger. 2.0 20 21 What did you understand Mr. Szeto to be 21 Q. Okay. And was that amended company agreement 22 referring to in this -- this e-mail? 22 for Windspeed actually executed? 23 A. From the look of it, it looks like the 23 A. Probably. If you put it up and show me, I'll 2.4 continuation of the wind-down plan before that he was 24 agree to it, but probably. 25 doing for Super G, because I'm seeing "leasing space," 25 Q. Now, I'm going to come back to it, but I'm

	Page 118		Page 120
1	just I'm asking from your memory. Was do you know	1	So they were gone over a year, couldn't
2	if it was executed by a Baymark party?	2	have been more than a year and a half, before we even
3	A. If it was executed, it probably would have been	3	called them, due to nonpayment back when we weren't
4	executed by a Baymark Partners and Management party. But	4	paying all our other bills.
5	whatever signature blocks are, I bet it was signed. I	5	Q. Okay.
6	don't think it would be an unsigned document.	6	A. ACET Global wasn't paying their bills. I said
7	Q. Okay. Let me ask you: Who who hosted ACET	7	"we," but ACET Global wasn't paying their bills.
8	Global's e-mail accounts?	8	And we inquired about do they have backups?
9	A. I don't know. There's a myriad of outside	9	Do they have anything? And they what's gone is gone.
10	hosting services. It's I assume it's someone that	10	Q. Okay. Did did Bill Szeto have any input on
11	Tomer originally hired, but I don't know the name.	11	the status of those e-mails?
12	Q. Was it Rotten Design Hosting?	12	A. Yes. I apologize it got included in that
13	A. That sounds right. Actually, that sounds	13	sweeping answer, but yes. He we called him and found
14	familiar.	14	out who the vendor was so we could call.
15	Q. Did Baymark Partners ever talk to Bill Szeto	15	Q. Okay. And did he indicate whether he knew
16	about getting access to the ACET Global e-mails?	16	about their status, or
17	A. We did.	17	A. He didn't know, or I don't think he called.
18	Q. When was that?	18	I think Matt might have called. But regardless of the
19	A. Well, it was when we got discovery requests.	19	outcome, the information that came back is once they
20	It was time to go out and collect up this information.	20	stopped receiving payment a long time ago, they held it
21	So we head online to David's and Matt's to put together,	21	maybe 30 more days, and then they were gone.
22	and were going to pull those off, and asked Bill Szeto if	22	Q. Okay.
23	he could see if there was any information in there too.	23	A. That could have come from Bill or Matt. If it
24	Q. Okay. So was that in, like, 2020?	24	matters, I'll
25	A. Yeah.	25	Q. Okay. Did Bill indicate whether he had taken
	Page 119		Page 121
1		1	
1 2	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so.	1 2	any steps to preserve those e-mails?
	<ul><li>Q. Sometime in there?</li><li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li></ul>		
2	Q. Sometime in there?	2	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the
2	<ul><li>Q. Sometime in there?</li><li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li><li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li></ul>	2	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Sometime in there?</li><li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li><li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li><li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an</li></ul>	2 3 4	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Sometime in there?</li><li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li><li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li><li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and,
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it  A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied — tied to that date.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it  A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied — tied to that date.</li> <li>Q. Just to get it on — verbal.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it  A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied — tied to that date.</li> <li>Q. Just to get it on — verbal.</li> <li>It was with respect to this lawsuit?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied — tied to that date.</li> <li>Q. Just to get it on — verbal.  It was with respect to this lawsuit?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied — tied to that date.</li> <li>Q. Just to get it on — verbal.  It was with respect to this lawsuit?</li> <li>A. Yes.  MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it  A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied tied to that date.</li> <li>Q. Just to get it on verbal.  It was with respect to this lawsuit?</li> <li>A. Yes.  MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it  A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied tied to that date.</li> <li>Q. Just to get it on verbal.  It was with respect to this lawsuit?</li> <li>A. Yes.  MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.  MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it  A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Sometime in there?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I believe so.</li> <li>Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit?</li> <li>A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was tied — tied to that date.</li> <li>Q. Just to get it on — verbal.  It was with respect to this lawsuit?</li> <li>A. Yes.  MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.  MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.  MR. PERRIN: Okay.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on verbal.     It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes.     MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.     MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.     MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied — tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on — verbal. It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes. MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20. MR. FREEMAN: We're real close. MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No. Q. Did Baymark?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.  Q. Well, either way we're talking about the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied — tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on — verbal.     It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes.     MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.     MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.     MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No. Q. Did Baymark? A. When you say "Baymark," as in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.  Q. Well, either way we're talking about the same note?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied — tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on — verbal.     It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes.     MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.     MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.     MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No. Q. Did Baymark? A. When you say "Baymark," as in Q. Baymark Partners.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.  Q. Well, either way we're talking about the same note?  A. Same note.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied — tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on — verbal.     It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes.     MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.     MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.     MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No. Q. Did Baymark? A. When you say "Baymark," as in Q. Baymark Partners. A. I believe Matt gave them a call and found out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.  Q. Well, either way we're talking about the same note?  A. Same note.  Q. Only one note. Let's call it 3 millionish.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied — tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on — verbal.     It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes.     MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.     MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.     MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No. Q. Did Baymark? A. When you say "Baymark," as in Q. Baymark Partners. A. I believe Matt gave them a call and found out that those e-mails — whatever their service is, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.  Q. Well, either way we're talking about the same note?  A. Same note.  Q. Only one note. Let's call it 3 millionish.  A. I'm okay with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on verbal.     It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes.     MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.     MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.     MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No. Q. Did Baymark? A. When you say "Baymark," as in Q. Baymark Partners. A. I believe Matt gave them a call and found out that those e-mails whatever their service is, when they received nonpayment, I don't know, a year or two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.  Q. Well, either way we're talking about the same note?  A. Same note.  Q. Only one note. Let's call it 3 millionish.  A. I'm okay with that.  MR. PERRIN: Can you just call it "the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Sometime in there? A. Yeah, I believe so. Q. And it was for this particular lawsuit? A. I apologize. I don't remember when we got an initial discovery request, but it was right after that. Q. Okay. A. It was tied — tied to that date. Q. Just to get it on — verbal.     It was with respect to this lawsuit? A. Yes.     MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we anywhere near a stopping point? It's about 12:20.     MR. FREEMAN: We're real close.     MR. PERRIN: Okay. Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Did you talk to Rotten Design Hosting? A. No. Q. Did Baymark? A. When you say "Baymark," as in Q. Baymark Partners. A. I believe Matt gave them a call and found out that those e-mails — whatever their service is, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any steps to preserve those e-mails?  A. Preserve the e-mails once we got notice of the lawsuit?  Q. Yeah, or or well, yes. Preserve it A. You know, I'm sure he kept his e-mails and, again, by the time we reached out to find to capture those, they had been gone over a year.  Q. Okay. Did he state whether he had done anything to preserve them, you know, in October of 2018 when ACET Global defaulted on a \$3.2 million note?  A. I don't want to get persnickety. But no conversation from Bill at all and first I heard you say \$3.2 million note; I think it was 2.88. But that's neither here nor there.  Q. Well, with the accrued interest, wasn't it closer to 4?  A. I never heard that.  Q. Well, either way we're talking about the same note?  A. Same note.  Q. Only one note. Let's call it 3 millionish.  A. I'm okay with that.

### Page 122 Page 124 1 O. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Sure. So the seller note. O. And did you convey that to him? 2 2 Did Bill indicate that he had taken any steps to preserve A. I'm not sure who did. I don't know that. I 3 all of those ACET Global e-mails in October of 2018 when 3 might have when I talked to him one time. I'm sure Matt 4 ACET Global defaulted on the seller note? 4 did, or maybe he called Bill Szeto, too. I don't know, 5 5 A. No. he didn't. but . . . 6 6 Q. And did you take any steps to secure those ACET Q. And what specifically leads you to believe that 7 Global e-mails in October of 2018 or thereafter around 7 Tomer Damti was aware that y'all were engaged in a 8 8 the time that ACET Global had defaulted on the seller wind-down process of ACET? 9 9 note? MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 10 10 A. No. A. I think what I offered is that Tomer was aware 11 11 Q. Did anyone from ACET Global take any steps to of the state of the business. And also he's aware that 12 12 preserve those e-mails? we were trying to see if we could beat Super G back to 13 give us some space. 13 A. No steps were taken after the nonpayment. Q. And did anyone from Baymark Partners or any of 14 14 I don't know about anything more than that, 15 the other entities that you represent, did anyone take 15 or a wind-down, if it still existed. But those all point 16 16 any steps to preserve those e-mails? to no cash flow for anybody, so that's part of that. 17 A. No one took steps to preserve the e-mails. 17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You're indicating that he was 18 After we stopped making payments on it, the account was 18 aware of the economic circumstances of ACET Global, 19 19 right? 20 20 Q. Was there any concern in October of 2018 about A. I am absolutely making -- saying that, yes. 21 21 a potential lawsuit over the seller note? Q. Are you also indicating that he -- that you had 22 22 A. I don't believe so. I believe we were in made him aware of a deliberate attempt to wind ACET down? 23 23 communication with Tomer; we were in communication with MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 24 2.4 Super G. Everybody knew that the company was winding --A. Again, I've already answered that. 25 not winding down, but it was having a lot of difficulty 25 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So you're not aware of a Page 123 Page 125 1 at that time. So if the -- everybody understood that 1 communication to Mr. Damti of a -- of a deliberate 2 2 there was no money to be had from the company anyway. attempt to wind ACET down? 3 3 There was no cash flow to pay the notes, the senior or A. I would like to check my records or what we 4 the subject. So suing a company that didn't have any 4 delivered. We delivered, what, 19,000 documents? 5 assets or any cash flow, it just didn't -- it wasn't a 5 MR. PERRIN: Um-hum. 6 6 A. So let me go through -concern 7 7 Q. Super G and Baymark Partners knew that there MR. PERRIN: Pages. 8 was a winding up of ACET Global, but did you ever -- did 8 THE WITNESS: Oh, pages. I'm sorry. 9 either of you ever have that discussion with Tomer Damti? 9 Pages. 10 A. Yeah -- yeah. 10 A. So let me go through and check, because I have 11 O. You did? 11 a very strong feeling that we did, repeatedly. But 12 A. Yeah. There's -- Tomer was aware. I think we 12 before I attest to you here, let me go and check my 13 13 documents that we sent over and see if I can't provide still gave him financial updates throughout. I can 14 remember an e-mail where he said, "Hey, what are we doing 14 that to you. 15 with the company? How's Super G behaving? Is it 15 MR. PERRIN: Jason, are we at a lunch break 16 gonna -- is it still operating?" And so there's e-mails 16 point yet? MR. FREEMAN: I think we are. 17 in there where we communicated with Tomer. 17 18 We were still talking with him, helping him 18 MR. PERRIN: All right. 19 get some unemployment. We released him from some of his 19 MR. FREEMAN: We can go ahead and go off 2.0 20 the record if y'all would like to take lunch now. employment contract terms so he could do that and stuff 21 like that. So it wasn't radio silence. 21 How long do y'all want? 22 Q. Did -- but you had -- you had indicated to 22 MR. PERRIN: I mean --23 MR. FREEMAN: 30 minutes? Tomer Damti that you were engaging in a wind-down process 23 24 24 MR. PERRIN: We can do 20, but 30? All 25 A. Tomer was aware of the state of the company. 25 right.

	Page 126		Page 128
1	MR. FREEMAN: You got it.	1	what you were doing. Concern for Matt.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: Okay. 30 minutes.	2	Q. Okay.
3	We're off the record at 12:27. We'll reconvene at 1:00.	3	A. Yes. I had said that, yes.
4		4	Q. And you had indicated you interjected because
5	(A lunch recess taken from 12:27 p.m. to	5	Mr. Denegre had already I guess already answered the
6	1:08 p.m.)	6	question a few times?
7	1. 7	7	A. I think what I said was, from my memory, since
8		8	I haven't looked at it, I just remember that it was going
9		9	on. I'm not going to state that I know for a fact he had
10		10	answered a few times.
11		11	Again, it was never intended for anybody to
12		12	hear in the first place. So it's tying it back to
13		13	what happened is
14		14	Q. Well, I mean, did you believe he'd fully
15		15	answered the question already? Is that why?
16		16	A. It's not tied to my outburst. I was yelling at
17		17	a football game, effectively. I didn't know my mic was
18		18	on, and I think that happens with these Zoom calls from
19		19	time to time. So it was a mistake, definitely, that I
20		20	feel bad about.
21		21	Q. Was it I mean, did you feel like I'm
22		22	trying to understand the motivation for the you know,
23		23	for the outburst. I mean, was it that you didn't want
24		24	him to answer the question?
25		25	A. I think what I expressed and, again, I'm
23		23	A. Tullik what respressed and, again, Thi
	D 100		
	Page 127		Page 129
1	AFTERNOON SESSION	1	
1 2		1 2	Page 129 going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a
		1	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review
2	AFTERNOON SESSION	2	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a
2	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the	2	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.
2 3 4	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the	2 3 4	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact
2 3 4 5	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.	2 3 4 5	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has
2 3 4 5 6	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)	2 3 4 5 6	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was
2 3 4 5 6 7	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.  And, Mr. Ludlow, I'm going to upload a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't know. I'm sure you've asked me or will ask me the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.  And, Mr. Ludlow, I'm going to upload a document that we'll use we can mark as Exhibit 46.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't know. I'm sure you've asked me or will ask me the same questions, and I'm sure we can cover it. I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.  And, Mr. Ludlow, I'm going to upload a document that we'll use we can mark as Exhibit 46.  (Deposition Exhibit 46 marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't know. I'm sure you've asked me or will ask me the same questions, and I'm sure we can cover it. I don't know what his answers were to those questions or those that you come back around to, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.  And, Mr. Ludlow, I'm going to upload a document that we'll use we can mark as Exhibit 46.  (Deposition Exhibit 46 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You had I had asked about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't know. I'm sure you've asked me or will ask me the same questions, and I'm sure we can cover it. I don't know what his answers were to those questions or those that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.  And, Mr. Ludlow, I'm going to upload a document that we'll use we can mark as Exhibit 46.  (Deposition Exhibit 46 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You had I had asked about the outburst during Mr. Denegre's deposition, and my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't know. I'm sure you've asked me or will ask me the same questions, and I'm sure we can cover it. I don't know what his answers were to those questions or those that you come back around to, so  Q. All right. I'm gonna put it on the screen real quick. (Shared screen.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.  And, Mr. Ludlow, I'm going to upload a document that we'll use we can mark as Exhibit 46.  (Deposition Exhibit 46 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You had I had asked about the outburst during Mr. Denegre's deposition, and my understanding from your testimony was you were concerned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't know. I'm sure you've asked me or will ask me the same questions, and I'm sure we can cover it. I don't know what his answers were to those questions or those that you come back around to, so  Q. All right. I'm gonna put it on the screen real quick. (Shared screen.)  This is the rough draft from the court
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	AFTERNOON SESSION  THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the record at 1:08 p.m.  EXAMINATION (CONTINUED)  BY MR. FREEMAN:  Q. Okay. Mr. Denegre, before we had broke for lunch  MR. PERRIN: Jacob  THE COURT REPORTER: No.  MR. PERRIN: I think you mean Mr. Ludlow.  MR. FREEMAN: Sorry. Excuse me.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, before we had broken for lunch, we had discussed Mr. Denegre's testimony or deposition.  And, Mr. Ludlow, I'm going to upload a document that we'll use we can mark as Exhibit 46.  (Deposition Exhibit 46 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) You had I had asked about the outburst during Mr. Denegre's deposition, and my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	going from memory. If I had had a chance to review it which I didn't, at break, actually. I just ate a sandwich.  I just had frustration over the fact that for Matt because it looked like I could see the look on his face, and he was like I said, he has trouble hearing, and he was leaning in, and so it was just a question that was asked, but I believe it was answered a few times, and asked. As you do, you ask the same question multiple different ways. And so I felt he had answered the question. So I was saying that it's to myself, if I was Matt, it's okay. Just be quiet. You've said enough.  Q. Okay. It wasn't a concern that the answer he was giving was sensitive, problematic?  A. No. No. As a matter of fact, well I don't know. I'm sure you've asked me or will ask me the same questions, and I'm sure we can cover it. I don't know what his answers were to those questions or those that you come back around to, so  Q. All right. I'm gonna put it on the screen real quick. (Shared screen.)

	Page 130		Page 132
1	on starting on page 125, line 16.	1	me before?
2	Asking Mr. Denegre, "Why did you believe	2	Q. Yes, sir. Same document.
3	the foreclosure was important?"	3	A. It does look the same, sure.
4	And then he stated, "When did I indicate	4	Q. And that's your signature, correct?
5	that?"	5	A. Still is, yes.
6	A. I think you asked me the same question, right?	6	Q. All right. So did you sign this tax return
7	Q. Right. But he seems to be indicating that he's	7	reflected on Exhibit 43?
8	never never said that or answered that before.	8	A. I'm sure I did. Was the signature you showed
9	Then I asked, "Well, did you believe that	9	me not the signature of the whole return?
10	it was important?"	10	Q. It was. It was the signature authorizing the
11	And he said, "I'm not sure I have an answer	11	electronic filing of the document.
12	to that." Kind of indicating he had not actually	12	A. Yeah. Right.
13	answered it yet.	13	Q. You reviewed the return?
14	And I asked him, "Why do you not have an	14	A. Yes. At a high level, because I was getting
15	answer to that?"	15	I look at what I'm getting allocated and what everybody
16	And his answer was, "It was important for	16	else was getting allocated and making sure it passes the
17	closure on the business."	17	smell test. I don't do the particular entries. That's
18	And that's where the the interjection	18	all from the accounting books that goes to the
19	comes in.	19	accountants. But, yeah, at a high level it makes sense.
20	Was there possibly a different rationale or	20	Q. You kind of look for whether there's something,
21	understanding at that time?	21	I guess, big or significant that looks out of place?
22	A. No.	22	A. I do. That's what I do.
23	Q. That was what you were thinking?	23	Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Hook reviewed this
24	A. I'm not sure what you mean by "different	24	return?
25	rationale." But I I don't have a problem with his	25	A. He might have at a high level.
	5 121		
	Page 131		Page 133
1	answer.	1	
1 2	answer.	1 2	Page 133  Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?  A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look
			Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?
2	answer. (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for	2	<ul><li>Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?</li><li>A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look</li></ul>
2	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?</li><li>A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that</li></ul>
2 3 4	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?</li><li>A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?</li> <li>A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it.</li> <li>Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want
2 3 4 5 6 7	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax — end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?  A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it.  Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held?  A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being  Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?  A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it.  Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held?  A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being  Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?  A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it.  Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held?  A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being  Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.  Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 43, is this the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention. Yeah. So Baymark ACET Holdco was a holdco, and its sole asset was 100 percent ownership in ACET Global, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax — end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.  Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 43, is this the 2019 tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention. Yeah. So Baymark ACET Holdco was a holdco, and its sole asset was 100 percent ownership in ACET Global, the operating company, we'll call it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax — end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.  Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 43, is this the 2019 tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?  A. It looks to be an authentic copy of the tax	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention. Yeah. So Baymark ACET Holdco was a holdco, and its sole asset was 100 percent ownership in ACET Global, the operating company, we'll call it. Q. Okay. Basically, if I'm understanding it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax — end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.  Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 43, is this the 2019 tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?  A. It looks to be an authentic copy of the tax return.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did?  A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it.  Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held?  A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being  Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through.  What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global?  A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention.  Yeah. So Baymark ACET Holdco was a holdco, and its sole asset was 100 percent ownership in ACET Global, the operating company, we'll call it.  Q. Okay. Basically, if I'm understanding it right, ACET Global's assets would flow up into the tax
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax — end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.  Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 43, is this the 2019 tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?  A. It looks to be an authentic copy of the tax return.  Q. And Exhibit 30, does this appear to be a true	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention. Yeah. So Baymark ACET Holdco was a holdco, and its sole asset was 100 percent ownership in ACET Global, the operating company, we'll call it. Q. Okay. Basically, if I'm understanding it right, ACET Global's assets would flow up into the tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.  Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 43, is this the 2019 tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?  A. It looks to be an authentic copy of the tax return.  Q. And Exhibit 30, does this appear to be a true and correct copy of Form 8879-PE for Baymark ACET Holdco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention. Yeah. So Baymark ACET Holdco was a holdco, and its sole asset was 100 percent ownership in ACET Global, the operating company, we'll call it. Q. Okay. Basically, if I'm understanding it right, ACET Global's assets would flow up into the tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco? A. Yes, I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	answer.  (Deposition Exhibit 43 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'd like to show you what's marked as Exhibit 43. (Shared screen.)  Do you recognize this document?  A. Looks like a tax filing. I'll get a closer copy of it. But, yeah, this will be a Howard & Co. tax — end of the year's tax.  Q. And did you review this return?  A. Yeah, I take a quick look at them when they go out.  (Deposition Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) (Shared screen.) And do you know whose signature is reflected here on Exhibit 30?  A. That's mine.  Q. Okay. So looking at Exhibit 43, is this the 2019 tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?  A. It looks to be an authentic copy of the tax return.  Q. And Exhibit 30, does this appear to be a true	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you know if Mr. Denegre did? A. I think it's safe to assume that he took a look at this. I don't have an e-mail, but I would attest that he probably looked at it. Q. What assets had Baymark ACET Holdco what assets had it held? A. So okay. "Had it held." At what point so ever? At that point in time? Help me. Again, I want to get it accurate. It's so large. I'm trying to answer honestly. It ends up being Q. No, I appreciate it. I'm not like I'm not asking you for every asset, I guess, that ever could have possibly passed through. What I'm trying to get at: Was it effectively a holding company or vehicle for ACET Global? A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I wasn't paying attention. Yeah. So Baymark ACET Holdco was a holdco, and its sole asset was 100 percent ownership in ACET Global, the operating company, we'll call it. Q. Okay. Basically, if I'm understanding it right, ACET Global's assets would flow up into the tax return for Baymark ACET Holdco?

### Page 134 Page 136 principal business activity as "Wholesale Trade" and 1 A. No. Well, let me tell you what I think it is. 2 "Consumer Goods." And do you understand that to be ACET 2 So the 2- -- there's no commas here. I don't -- why 3 Global's basic industry? 3 don't guys do that? It's 2,900,000. 4 A. Yes, with some level of interpretation, yes, 4 Q. Yes. 5 5 A. That is the zeroing out of the goodwill. When that's -- that covers it. 6 6 you buy a company, you have to do a -- the IRS requires Q. A little generic? 7 A. Yes. 7 you to do a goodwill amount for the amount that you pay 8 8 Q. But basically. Any reason to believe that over the assets, and put it in -- the hard assets, and 9 9 anything reported in this return is false or incorrect? put it in here. Once we got rid of the company or ceased 10 A. Not materially, no. 10 doing business, you have to zero out all accounts, and to 11 Q. And there's a -- particularly a Schedule L, 11 make that do, you have to unplug that number. 12 which is the balance sheet. 12 So up above, it was the 3 something. They 13 A. Yep. 13 had some liabilities or something that was offset against Q. And it states that there was a beginning --14 14 that. So that was just the resultant amount of writing 15 beginning balance for "Total assets," if you look here at 15 off of the goodwill, which is really -- a goodwill amount 16 line 14b, a beginning balance in 2019 of a little over 16 is the amount that you overpaid for -- the amount that 17 \$3 million in assets? 17 you paid for a business over its assets when we purchased 18 A. Yeah, I see it. 18 it initially. So that's just wiping that out. Q. And do you believe that to be -- have been 19 19 Q. And that's generally intended to capture 20 correct? 20 intangible value associated with those assets or the A. Well, yes. The intangible assets are basically 21 21 business? 22 the amount that we overpaid for the business that gets 22 A. Other than generally, I'll do one better. That 23 applied to goodwill. It's a plugged figure when you 23 specifically is the wiping out of the goodwill. acquire a company, yes. Q. Well, this is -- so what's reflected here on 2.4 2.4 25 Q. And the balance at the end of the year of 2019 25 page 17 of this -- this Exhibit 43, is that -- that is Page 135 Page 137 1 1 is zero? related to ACET Global, correct? 2 A. Yeah, I think that's commensurate with A. We're on the same return, right? Baymark ACET 2 3 3 the . . . Holdco -- yes. So ACET Global, that's right. It's 4 4 Q. With the foreclosure? rolled up into one. 5 5 A. Yeah, or cessation of the operations of the Q. And -- okay. If that is -- and I understand 6 business. 6 what -- your testimony. 7 7 Q. Okay. But why does the "Proceeds" column reflect 8 A. I know we filed a bankruptcy -- might have been 8 a little over \$2.9 million in proceeds? 9 in '19 -- this might reflect, but it should have been 9 A. Say it again. So that's, again, just the 10 postbankruptcy, I'm guessing. 10 wiping out of the goodwill account. We all know -- and 11 Q. Okay. And there is also on this tax return a 11 I'm gonna tag you with that knowledge -- especially that 12 form set out on page 17. It's -- and I'll scroll right 12 that's not cash received from any sale. up. It's part of a Form 8948. 13 13 That would be when you zero out the 14 A. Um-hum. 14 account, it has the goodwill account -- the resultant 15 15 Q. And it references a "Sale From Foreclosure." goodwill account. And when you wipe it out -- you know, 16 16 the IRS doesn't give you a "Goodwill" column, so I think Q. And it references the "Date acquired" of the 17 that's the column you put it in. If it's not the right 17 18 assets at issue as 7-21-17? 18 column, when you wipe out the goodwill. So the out---19 A. Um-hum. 19 outcome is the same. Q. And then the "Date sold or disposed of" as 2.0 20 Q. Okay. But there was no receipt of 2.9 million? 21 January 1, '19? 21 A. No. 22 A. That's right. 22 Q. Did Super G ever pay 2.9 million? 23 Q. Do you understand this to be related to the 23 A. Because if there was 2.9 million, you'd have 24 assets purchased from ACET Global -- or, excuse me, the 24 one entry, and then you'd still have to wipe out your 25 assets purchased from ACET Partners Venture LLC? 25 goodwill. You'd still have two entries resultant to

### Page 138 Page 140 1 1 that. So that's goodwill. And no one's ever asked to So, again, it's a work paper that's easy to 2 2 see the work papers. If it becomes an issue, it's very obtain from the accountants. I'd be glad to walk through 3 3 that at any time. 4 Q. Why isn't there, instead, a loss reflected with 4 Q. Well, is there anything on here, reflected on 5 5 this Schedule L balance sheet, that somehow nets to the no sales proceeds? A. A loss. That's different than the closing out 6 6 7 7 A. That would be my -- I believe so. of the account. I mean, if we want to get into the work 8 8 papers of the tax accountants, I'd be glad to do it. Q. Do you know what it is? 9 9 It's something easy to resolve. It's not a mystery, A. No, I don't. I'd be glad to get that for you 10 10 after the call. 11 Q. Well, I mean, I'm trying to understand why it 11 Q. Okay. I appreciate it, and I will take you up 12 12 reports proceeds of \$2.9 million. on that. 13 13 A. It's the wiping out of the goodwill account. A. I'm not saying that in passing. I mean it. 14 The resultant amount of the goodwill account that was 14 Q. There were also -- on page 18, there are 15 15 reported management fees. there upon the initial acquisition. So zeroing that out, 16 16 that's how it presents on the Schedule L. A. That's correct. 17 Q. Okay. 17 Q. About -- almost \$180,000. Now, did Baymark 18 18 ACET Holdco take a deduction for accrued management fees? A. If we go much further, we're going to expend my 19 19 knowledge. A. I believe they did as an accrual accounting 20 20 repair because this isn't a cash -- this isn't a cash I do have some passing knowledge of this, 21 return; this is accrual basis. The amount of obligations 21 at least to get us to this point. But having me go 22 22 further and explain it into the point where it's not that they had for the management fees were put on the 23 23 truthful versus the facts -- it's easy to discover. It's books. They were never paid. So eventually that would 24 24 not even that controversial. get zeroed out, I'm sure. Q. Okay. But Baymark ACET Holdco did report 25 Q. Okay. 2.5 Page 139 Page 141 1 proceeds of \$2.9 million related to the disposition of 1 A. That's accrual basis. 2 2 Q. And there's also an item on here "Accrued ACET Global in 2019? 3 3 Interest Expense"? A. We can do it like that. 4 So in our tax filing where it requires you 4 A. Um-hum. 5 5 to record this information, the columns, as they're Q. Do you know what that refers to? 6 labeled, required us to put the amounts in the label that 6 A. I don't. But I'd be glad to, along with 7 7 is coincidentally entitled "Proceeds" but, however, that -- if you star that, I could get you the work paper 8 reflects the goodwill wiping out of the account because 8 where that -- where work went into that. 9 there's not a "Goodwill" column up there, if I'm not 9 Q. Nice. Okay. 10 mistaken. So that's my answer. 10 You had mentioned that ACET Global had 11 Q. Okay. I'm just going back to the Schedule L. 11 filed for bankruptcy? 12 Where is that \$2.9 million reflected? 12 A. (Nodded head.) 13 A. So from what I understand -- I have to see the 13 Q. And was that in October of 2019? 14 papers, but when you have the amounts that you're wiping 14 A. That sounds about right. 15 out, you have the original goodwill that was there. 15 Q. Okay. A. If you can get the date, I'll confirm it for 16 Before you zero it out, it's offset against either some 16 17 other resultant payables or liabilities. 17 18 So there's something that you net against 18 Q. I'll pull the petition up. One second. 19 the -- so you have the outstanding payables -- or 19 (Shared screen.) 2.0 something that you net against the goodwill. So the 20 A. Okay. 21 amount that you see is the resultant goodwill. It's not 21 (Deposition Exhibit 11 marked for 22 just a dropped goodwill from here to there. There's a 22 identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) The petition should be on 23 netting against those in that column. Because, again, it 23 2.4 doesn't say just "Goodwill." It's a conglomeration of a 24 your screen as Exhibit 11 to this deposition. 25 couple of things as well. 25 A. I see it.

#### Page 142 Page 144 1 Q. And did you file this -- this bankruptcy 1 So, like, when we're talking about the 2 2 business in total and we say "inventory," we're just 3 A. Yes, I'm sure my name's on it. We got 3 talking about the sellable stuff we buy from China. 4 counsel -- never done a bankruptcy before, so we got 4 I think here we're talking about inventory 5 counsel and met and helped us put it together. Yeah, but 5 of everything that's in the business, including chairs 6 I signed it. Reviewed and signed it. 6 and desks. 7 7 Q. And were you the -- you signed it, and I'll --And then there's -- I think there's another 8 8 I'll go to the page. layer to everything that's of this. 9 9 But did you sign it as president of ACET I don't know that they're -- and my 10 Global? 10 bankruptcy counsel guided me on this. But I think 11 A. I did. I did. 11 there's also a concept where it's not an inventory of the 12 Q. Okay. And were you, in fact, the president of 12 debtor's property if it has a lien on it. So it would be ACET Global? 13 13 the unencumbered items. 14 A. Probably at that time, to sign this, maybe we 14 But -- so that's -- I guess upon the long 15 went ahead and gave me the official title of president to 15 discussion of it, that's where we end up with the "no." 16 sign this instead of David signing it, but one and the 16 Q. Okay. And there's a listing in Item 28 of the 17 same. It was authorized by him or I. 17 "debtor's officers, directors, managing members," you 18 Q. Okay. And on this bankruptcy petition, it 18 know, "people in control." And it lists Baymark ACET 19 listed a \$3.2 million -- \$3.2 million note owed to 19 Holdco, LLC, as the only person or entity. 20 creditor, a secured -- a secured claim in favor of ACET 20 Do you believe that to be correct? 21 Venture Partners LLC; is that correct? 21 A. Yeah. I think before, like on that tax filing, 22 A. We might have overstated the amount, but that's 22 that Holdco is the one person who owns the interest of 23 the seller note. Maybe we went too big on that. And 23 Opco, which is ACET Global. ACET Venture Partners -- I think that's who that was owed 2.4 24 Q. Okay. And Item 29 it asks, "Within 1 year 25 to. I'm sure we looked at the note. before the filing of this case, did the debtor have 2.5 Page 143 Page 145 Q. Okay. And so this was a recognition that there 1 1 officers, directors, managing members . . . in control of 2 was, in fact, a note of 3.23 million or thereabouts owed? 2 the debtor who no longer hold those positions?" 3 A. It was. 3 And it checks "No." Do you believe that to 4 Q. The -- let me go down just a bit. (Scrolling.) 4 be correct? 5 5 Now, there was a -- there's a question on A. So let me see here, what it's asking. 6 here -- or a series of questions. 6 (Reading.) So "1 year before the filing of this case, 7 A. Are you trying to give me vertigo? 7 did the debtor," which is -- for this one just is Opco, 8 Q. What's that? 8 correct? Call it Opco, but -- "director, officer, 9 A. Are you trying to give me vertigo? I mean, I 9 members in control of the debtor, or shareholders in 10 10 control of the debtor . . . " 11 Q. I'm getting it myself. 11 Or the shareholder? Who's in control of 12 A. All right. 12 the shareholder? Q. It's a little bit difficult. 13 13 Q. I think it's saying ACET Global, and it's Let me see if I can get this thing there. 14 written broadly enough to include a shareholder in a 14 15 (Scrolling.) Okay. 15 corporation. So I am on page 24 of the petition, and 16 16 A. Yeah, so it's --17 this is part of the form. And it -- I'm looking 17 Q. (Audio distortion.) 18 specifically at page -- or Item Number 27. It references 18 A. Yeah, it's probably referring to "did ACET 19 inventories and asks, "Have any inventories of the 19 Holdco change," is how I read this. 2.0 debtor's property been taken within 2 years before filing 20 Q. Okay. 21 this case?" And it's checked "No." 2.1 A. I don't think ACET Holdco changed. 22 Do you believe that to be correct? 2.2 Q. Well --23 A. I do. But this gets into some very nuance-y 23 A. I discussed that with our bankruptcy counsel, 24 stuff between bankruptcy definitions of inventory versus 24 25 what you and I do. 25 Q. Wasn't ACET Global the debtor here?

#### Page 146 Page 148 1 A. Yes. 1 Q. Did you disclose to them the Baymark interest 2 Q. And did it have any officers who had been in 2 in Windspeed? 3 control of it who were no longer in those positions? 3 A. I don't know whether I did or not, or if it was 4 A. Through -- it's reaching up to the Holdco 4 relevant. 5 5 Q. Okay. But hadn't -- hadn't Windspeed acquired level. So those didn't change. 6 But maybe you're referring to Tomer. So 6 ACET Global's assets? 7 7 Tomer was a CEO at the debtor level. So maybe that would A. Yeah, you're gonna try to catch me in an 8 8 be considered, I'm not sure. I guess it might be. ignorance loop here because I think I mentioned before, I 9 9 Q. And Mr. Szeto. seem to remember, in Bankruptcy Code, the definition of 10 A. What's that? 10 the debtor's assets doesn't include anything that has 11 Q. Mr. Szeto. I think earlier you had said he was 11 liens on it. So if it had liens on it, it was never the 12 the CEO and had control? 12 debtor's assets to be considered that they were 13 A. That might -- yeah, that might apply here. 13 transferred out. Q. Okay. And then didn't you also become 14 14 I might be mistaken, but that's, again, a 15 15 president and there was a switching between you and bankruptcy issue that I'd be glad to check on. 16 Mr. Hook? 16 Q. And where did you obtain that understanding --17 A. Yeah. The -- yeah, that's right. The 17 or where did Baymark attain that understanding? 18 president's a signatory -- well -- but that's fine. 18 A. We had bankruptcy counsel that reviewed this. 19 Q. And so does this Number 29 appear to be 19 Q. And do you know specifically whether you or any 20 answered correctly? 20 of the Baymark parties informed bankruptcy counsel about 21 Baymark's stake in Windspeed? 21 A. I'm gonna have to defer to my bankruptcy 22 counsel, but I'd certainly have him look at it again. I 22 A. I don't want to review my discussions with 23 know -- I'm sure we discussed it before. 23 counsel, but they were well aware of all relevant facts. Q. Okay. And Number 30 refers to payments or 2.4 2.4 Q. The next question here asks, "Within 6 years 25 distributions to insiders and asked, "Within 1 year 25 before filing this case, has the debtor been a member of Page 147 Page 149 1 1 before filing this case, did the debtor provide an any consolidated group for tax purposes?" And we just 2 insider with value in any form . . . including 2 looked at a tax return in which the debtor was rolled up 3 3 options . . . ?" into another group. 4 Is -- does this appear to be answered 4 Do you know whether this question was 5 5 correctly? answered correctly? 6 6 A. Let me look. Just give me a second to read A. Well, again, so this is a combination of tax 7 7 this. (Reading.) "Did the debtor," that would be Opco, law versus bankruptcy law as well. There's many times 8 8 "provide an insider any form of value," so that's Tomer, when single member LLCs are disregarded for taxation 9 "salarv." 9 purposes and treated as an entity versus when bankruptcy 10 Probably should have put Tomer on here. 10 might adopt the tax laws versus not, so that's a level 11 And "credits" and "stock redemption." I don't know that 11 where bankruptcy counsel would certainly guide us through 12 12 any other stock was issued. Is this from the Opco? So do we issue 13 13 Q. Okay. And was that a specific issue raised? 14 14 equity of the Opco is what it's asking here? Again, A. I'm sure all issues in these questions were 15 normally I have the bankruptcy counsel walk me through 15 thought out and discussed with bankruptcy counsel to fill 16 this, but I just want to make sure we're --16 this out. The whole reason you hire bankruptcy counsel 17 is to do it correctly. If not, I could have done it 17 Q. Was this an issue that was given any focus in 18 the filing? 18 myself. That's not true; I couldn't have done it myself. 19 A. Well, I'm sure the bankruptcy counsel -- this 19 Q. Is -- and that's your signature on page 25, 2.0 is all they do all day long, and this form is what, 40 --20 right? 21 I don't know how many questions it is. But if that's all 21 A. It is. 22 they do all day long, I'm sure they get pretty good at 22 Q. And lists the position as "President"? 23 doing 40 questions or however many are here. 2.3 A. Correct. 24 So -- but, yes, it was a focus to --24 Q. Who prepared the bankruptcy documents? 25 certainly an intent to fill it out correctly. 25 A. Michelle Shriro at her law firm. I'm drawing a

	Page 150		Page 152
1	blank. Shriro Levick, maybe, or Levick Shriro. I don't	1	inventory? Because we say "inventory," casually, we
2	even know if her name's on it.	2	think of the stuff that's being sold from the company.
3	Q. Okay. All right. But they prepared the	3	Q. Right.
4	bankruptcy filings, their firm did?	4	A. But I think there's in a Bill of Sale when
5	A. Yeah, that's right. Questions of us,	5	they took everything, that would also include phones and
6	information back to them. They fill it out. Check this,	6	desks and stuff, so
7	check that answer. Collaborative effort.	7	Q. Okay. Well, maybe is there also a list of
8	(Deposition Exhibit 36 marked for	8	all of the equipment, including the desks and computers
9	identification.)	9	and conference tables and chairs and glass tables and
10	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And I'm putting on the screen	10	scales?
11	what's marked as Exhibit 36. (Shared screen.)	11	A. Yes. For a Bill of Sale, they have a list of
12	Are you familiar with this exhibit?	12	things there.
13	A. Appears to be an e-mail between Matt and me. I	13	Q. Okay. So this is kind of a list of all the
14	can't see below, but yes. It looks like an e-mail.	14	assets of ACET Global?
15	Q. I'll scroll below just so you can see it.	15	A. Again, that's a list of the assets that Super G
16	(Scrolling.) Singer Levick, that's the law firm.	16	had a lien on, that they were taking, apparently, yes.
17	A. I missed that. Singer Levick.	17	Q. Okay. And there were also listed all the
18	Q. Does this appear to be an e-mail from Matt	18	intangible assets, as well?
19	Denegre to you?	19	A. Um-hum. I would assume, yes. I didn't read it
20	A. Yes, it does.	20	all, but I'm sure we
21	Q. And it's on October 16th, 2019?	21	Q. Sorry.
22	A. Um-hum.	22	A. I'm sure we got the good list. Yeah.
23	Q. And it's got a Subject line of "Bankruptcy" and	23	Q. And was was all of this was this all
24	has a number of attachments?	24	provided to Super G as well?
25	A. It does.	25	A. Well, yeah. I think it's during a was that
	Page 151		Page 153
1	Q. And does this appear to be a true and correct	1	the Bill of Sale? So that would be during the
2	copy of the e-mail reflected here?	2	foreclosure time, so I think we're mixing times here.
3	A. It appears authentic, yes.	3	That's October '19. I don't know when the foreclosure
4	Q. And among those attachments, was there a Bill	4	was, but yeah, one of the items was not to impede
5	of Sale attached?	5	their foreclosure. And to make sure we got everything
6	A. That would indicate that there was. I don't	6	out and they wouldn't say that we kept some stuff, we
7	see it there, but that's not on there unless there is an	7	would want to make sure we got that list.
8	attachment, so I assume there was.	8	Q. Okay.
9	Q. I'll scroll down on it in just a second. But a	9	A. Yes.
10	number of other attachments as well?	10	Q. Did gosh, here. (Shared screen.)
11	A. Yes.	11	(Deposition Exhibit 34 marked for
12	Q. (Scrolling.) Does that appear to be the Bill	12	identification.)
13	of Sale that's referenced?	13	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Exhibit probably see
14	A. It does, yes.	14	what's marked as Exhibit 34 on the screen.
15	Q. Was does that Bill of Sale list or have as	15	Does this appear to be an e-mail from David
16	an attachment to it an inventory of ACET Global's assets?	16	Hook to you?
17	A. Well, again, the word "inventory," here. So	17	A. Is that two years earlier? So we have to have
18	that says "Inventory." As you know, when you say	18	changed topics. Okay. Yes. I'm sorry.
19	"inventory" in a Bill of Sale, it can be anything. So	19	Q. When were you first when were you first
20	it yeah, I don't know why there's no prices out there	20	considering bankruptcy for ACET Global?
21	or are there quantities? Yeah, there's quantities.	21	A. When were we first considering, like seriously?
22	It's alphabetical. I don't know what all	22	I was getting all those calls from DHL well, I was
23	the A's are at the beginning. But, yes, it appears to be	23	getting not from DHL, from their attorneys saying that
24	a list of stuff. I don't know if it does it have	24	they were gonna file suit against I don't know why
25	desks on it, too, or is it just is it just the	25	Baymark and they were gonna file suit against ACET

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

25

## Page 154

1 Global. DHL, FedEx, and the landlord started calling us. 2 So once it was a shell and all the assets 3 had been pulled out of it, all we had were these debts 4 and this noise. We needed to just shut it down because 5 it was -- it wasn't in business anymore at that time. 6

Q. Okay. Were you considering -- and, well, let me ask: When was that timeline-wise?

A. So the serious, leading up to the bankruptcy, or would be just prior to that, after the foreclosure. They -- Super G took everything that they had a lien on. Then all we had were the debts that were left behind and just clean it up.

Also let everybody know that it's been discharged so they can write it off on their books. DHL stopped calling; landlord quit bugging us.

Q. Were you first considering bankruptcy then, like in -- is that late 2018 or . . .

18 A. I don't know. It would be '19, I guess. So 19 when was this filed, you said? 2019?

Q. October 2019.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

survive."

21 A. So probably before that at some point. I'm not 22

23 Q. Okay. Were you considering, you know, bankruptcy shortly after acquiring the assets that were 2.4 25 placed into ACET Global?

Page 156

How to keep the company going because it needs cash flow.

At some point, if it has the EBITDA, it's 3 throwing off a lot of cash, you can pay off your 4 creditors and you control the business as well. But if 5 you can't buy product, no one gets paid.

6 Q. Was there, in fact, a plan in 2017 to take ACET 7 Global into bankruptcy?

A. There was no plan.

Q. And so looking at Exhibit 34, was that an e-mail from David Hook to you?

A. Oh, there you go. Just sent -- yeah. So that's 2017, right. Like I was saying. So, yeah, I'd say this was to me, December 13th. I'm assuming this

Q. Okay. And was there, like, an expensive dinner with Super G in December of '17?

A. I don't know.

18 Q. There was something about a \$680 dinner, I 19 think, that Mr. Hook had e-mailed you about?

A. Uh-huh.

21 Q. Was that with Super G?

A. Can I see the rest of the e-mail with the

23 dinner on it?

> Q. Sure. (Scrolling.) Going down to the second page.

## Page 155

A. No. I think when the thing went downhill so fast, everybody was panicking. And so we -- we had this initial meeting where we had to go to the bank for the first time and say, "Look" -- this is never good to do -go to the bank after, what, four or five months and say, "This isn't performing well." They're gonna look at us, and we're not gonna just say, "Too bad, so sad." We're gonna say, "We're gonna work with this, but you're gonna have to cut your payments so we have enough cash to

And they have to decide if they're gonna work with you or not. Just let them know that this is a dire situation, we all gotta lean in so this thing can survive, and we all -- you know, all the creditors get paid back. Because, as an equity holder, I don't make any money until after the creditors get paid back.

So, yeah, I'm sure we had talked about all kinds of things. Because I know before that December '17 -- that 2017 conversation was the first time when we went to Super G, and that's when they said, "Tough. Put in more money."

Q. Is that the first time you considered bankruptcy, or was it after that?

A. I don't know that we seriously considered bankruptcy, but you consider what you're going to do. Page 157

A. Okay. Yeah. So that was around our firm dinner. That's -- I think I was finding out how much we paid on our employees. So I'm just talking to David down here. Like, he's at a conference, like Tony to David.

My "Conference is good. Am I missing anything? I enjoyed our dinner. We should do it again. How much was it?"

So we'd started doing firm dinners at that time for Baymark.

Q. Okay. And then did you --

A. And we got off pretty cheap for the whole firm for 680. That's not --

Q. Actually, that's pretty good, I will -- I will say.

Did you -- did Mr. Hook make a statement back saying "What about bankruptcy for ACET?"

A. Um-hum.

18 Q. And what did he mean by that?

A. I think -- is there more conversations there? Because I know we were talking about -- oh. Yeah,

21 Super G doesn't -- because their payments were 15---22 they were like \$15,000 a week or every two weeks or

23 something like that, and that was just sucking out all

24 the cash. And so they to pull off of that, and if not,

it's going to be -- it was going to be done quick.

#### Page 158 Page 160 1 Q. That's something y'all were having oral 1 at the time. 2 conversations about? 2 I feel like "insolvent" might be, like, a 3 A. Well, I mean, we were getting the financials 3 term of art or something that bears more weight than us 4 from the business right after we closed, and we closed 4 trying to do it because -- trying to keep the company 5 in, what, July 24 of '17. 5 going. So after that you start looking at it, and 6 Q. Well, was it -- was it bringing in enough 6 7 the EBITDA went from, I think -- we closed -- we thought 7 revenue to -- to pay its bills? 8 it was 1.2, and we closed in July. 8 A. It was not able to catch up on its old bills. 9 9 It turns out when we got the July We were getting calls. Yeah, there was definitely 10 financials, it was 1.1 in EBITDA. It's okay the number 10 difficulty there. 11 isn't exactly the same. And by December, I think it was 11 Q. Okay. How long had it been that way? 12 like 100,000. So it's 90 percent wrong. So the 12 A. Off and on since December or January. Q. Okay. Did you believe that restructuring ACET 13 EBITDA -- and it's in five months. And so you don't need 13 to do subtle math to figure out that that's a problem. 14 14 Global might give Baymark an opportunity to turn its 15 15 investment around? Q. Not gonna work. 16 A. Is there more below this one right here? 16 A. So restructuring -- and I'm not sure what you 17 Q. No, sir. (Scrolling.) 17 mean by "restructuring," but I'll state it more 18 A. Okay. All right. 18 specifically. Getting relief from the debt payments 19 Q. And did you -- did you respond to that, say "It 19 sucking out all the cash would give ACET a chance to increase its EBITDA. And if it did that, the debt 20 may be an option"? 20 A. Yes, I did respond to that, and that's what I 21 holders get paid off first, and then possible for the 21 22 22 equity guys later. But, yeah. 23 Q. And Mr. Hook said "Agreed"? 23 You do the restructure -- remember when we 2.4 A. He did. 2.4 went into the business, we put an additional \$200,000 in 25 Q. Now, was there any relationship between the cash to buy product. And we thought we had a lot more 25 Page 159 Page 161 1 formation of Windspeed Trading LLC and ACET Global? 1 working capital day one. And we found out later it was 2 light about 360 -- \$350,000 of working capital. 2 A. None. 3 3 Q. None? So we were missing some -- that was a 4 A. None. 4 significant kick in the cash -- negative in the cash day 5 Q. Was ACET --5 one. So we were chasing that right out of the gate. A. Weren't they years apart? 6 Q. Okay. And wasn't there, in fact, an adjustment 6 7 7 Q. Excuse me? of the purchase price as a result of the operating cash 8 A. Weren't they years apart? 8 you've referred to? 9 Q. Oh, the for- -- the two formations? Well, 9 A. That's right. I don't have the exact amount, 10 I'm -- so I'm asking was there a relationship between 10 but it's about 350. 11 ACET Global and the formation of Windspeed Trading? 11 Q. And did ACET Global ever fully tap its line 12 A. No. 12 with Super G, its million dollar line? Q. At around that time, around the time of the A. I don't know that it had a line. Did it? Like 13 13 14 formation of Windspeed, was ACET insolvent? 14 a revolver or something? I don't think it did. 15 A. You'll have to let me know -- and I'm sure it 15 Q. And initially didn't it have a million dollar line that it took 750 on? 16 was in those filings documents you went by. When was 16 A. I don't -- I don't know that to be true. I 17 Windspeed formed? 17 18 Q. I'll represent that it was formed in September 18 don't think so. 19 of 2018. 19 O. Well --2.0 A. Okay. So now that I understand, what was the 20 A. And if so, once they saw the performance, I'm 21 21 sure they -- once we went into default, they took that 22 Q. Around that time, was ACET Global insolvent? 22 back pretty quick. 23 A. I don't think so. I think just prior to that, 23 We wouldn't have known about the working 2.4 we were still putting money in for payroll to try and buy 24 capital adjustment until months after the close, and by 25 us to another week. So we were still making a go of it 25 then, I think the performance was already in trouble. So

#### Page 162 Page 164 1 I don't -- I can check the time, but I'm not . . . 1 viable. 2 Q. To my question, I'm really asking not about 2 Q. Okay. Did Windspeed Trading maintain the 3 restructuring a note but restructuring the entity or the 3 financial records of ACET Global? 4 relationship among entities to conduct the ACET Global 4 A. I don't know how to answer that. I mean, no. 5 5 They were separate companies, and they had separate business. 6 In that sense, did you believe that 6 books. But at some point -- it was the same employees 7 7 restructuring ACET Global, or its business, would give doing both, I assume. 8 8 Baymark an opportunity to turn its investment around? Because at one point, if they were ACET 9 9 A. No. I don't know about restructure. You have Global's employees keeping the books, I could see how 10 10 a triage situation where if you don't have cash flow in whenever they ended up getting pulled over to the 11 the business, nothing else matters. And even if you 11 Windspeed entity, they -- I could see them either opening 12 restructure it and it doesn't give you more cash, none of 12 new books or accidentally putting their books on ours. 13 13 that structure matters. So you need the cash. So, yeah, there's same people, same software. I could see how they're -- it would be important to keep -- try 14 And the only people taking the cash -- and 14 15 I think they could pull it out of our account without us 15 and keep them separate. 16 even asking -- was Super G. 16 Q. Did Windspeed have the same software? 17 They actually made direct withdrawals 17 A. I would assume. I don't know. 18 without our permission. We did give them permission. I 18 Q. Why would you assume that? 19 take that back. So that was part of their loan 19 A. Well, because if Super G took all of our assets and then sold them to Windspeed, I don't -- I don't know 20 agreement, but . . . 20 21 So the only restructure that matters is if 21 that they would have other stock. 22 we get cash to buy profits. 22 Q. But by that time, wasn't ACET out of business? Q. Okay. Well, was there -- did you ever discuss 23 23 A. I don't -- I don't know what "that time" is, how restructuring ACET Global might impact creditors? 2.4 2.4 but I don't know. A. Maybe. It's kind of a broad question because 25 Q. Because that time was around -- wasn't it in 25 Page 163 Page 165 1 whenever you talk about restructuring, again, to me, it 1 March 2019? 2 means going to the lenders. And of course it would 2 A. 2019. So when was the foreclosure? Or maybe I 3 affect the lenders and the creditors, DHL, the landlord, 3 should tell you I don't know when the foreclosure was. 4 4 But prior to that point, if Super G's 5 5 Q. And who would you have had those discussions saying, "ACET, take what inventory you have and sell it 6 6 with? for cash, give me the cash," and then Windspeed is also 7 7 A. Well, I would start -- if I was gonna talk working, as well, separately, I wouldn't know. 8 about getting relief on the monthly or the weekly 8 Q. Okay. 9 payments, I would start with Super G so they'd quit 9 A. It's still all the stuff they had control over. 10 taking so many payments. 10 Q. Given you were overseeing ACET at that time, 11 Q. Okay. Would you have had those discussions 11 did Super G ever notify you that it had received any funds from the sale of ACET Global's inventory? 12 with anyone else? 12 13 A. Well, Baymark and Super G. Do you want, like, 13 A. Other than any payments it might have been 14 the people inside of Baymark and Super G or . . . 14 taking that it was entitled to, no. 15 Q. Yeah. Who they'd have been with. 15 I don't know how a payment that it would 16 A. Oh. I'm sure Matt and I would have talked 16 take under their note is different than something that 17 about it. Probably not David at that point. 17 would -- came from -- or how it was generated from the 18 And in Super G, the only person I ever 18 sale of inventory or whatever. I wouldn't know the 19 spoke with there, I think ever, was Bellah. Yeah, Steve 19 difference. 20 Q. Did -- did Super G ever give you any kind of 20 21 Q. Okay. And did you ever discuss salvaging 21 accounting to reconcile or account for Windspeed's sale 22 Baymark's investment in ACET Global? 22 of ACET Global's assets? 23 A. You know, that's all the conversation. And 23 A. So like a receipt? 24 it's all part and parcel with making the company survive. 24 Q. Right. 25 So, yeah. You want to make the company survive and 25 A. I guess the Bill of Sale. But I didn't -- I

#### Page 166 Page 168 1 1 didn't see if there was prices on there. Q. Did Baymark or ACET Global compensate Windspeed 2 2 I mean, I know if we had all our assets on for that? 3 our balance sheet, then the next day they're all gone, we 3 A. No, no. 4 just write that to zero. 4 Q. You mentioned earlier that the employees from 5 Q. If Windspeed was selling ACET Global's assets 5 ACET Global had been "pulled over" to Windspeed. 6 at the behest of Super G, did Super G give you any kind 6 What do you mean by that? 7 7 of accounting to indicate that that was actually A. I was just using casual parlance because I 8 8 think you had mentioned earlier that -- would I be 9 9 A. I never received anything like that, no. surprised to find out all the employees here ended up 10 10 Q. Did you ever get an e-mail that indicated that over there? 11 was happening? 11 But I was just going on something I thought 12 12 A. I don't think so. was understood. 13 13 Q. Did you ever receive a phone number that Q. Would -- does "pulled over," I mean, does that kind of indicate, you know, they were brought along for 14 indicated -- a phone call that indicated that was 14 15 15 happening? 16 16 A. I don't think so. MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 17 Q. Was there any identifiable fact that you 17 A. I'm sure at some point, they all would have had 18 observed that indicated that that was actually happening? 18 to have been fired from ACET Global, and then if they 19 19 ended up at Windspeed, they'd all have to be hired there. 20 20 Q. Was that, in fact, not actually happening? The time in between, I don't know. That would -- Bill 21 21 A. I don't know. would have coordinated that. 22 22 Q. But you don't have anything to base -- to base Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And was that Bill's 23 23 any testimony that Windspeed was actually selling ACET job to coordinate that? Global's assets? You don't have anything to base that 24 A. I don't know what you mean by what Bill's job 2.4 25 25 is. But he wanted employees. He knew where to -- knew testimony on, do you? Page 167 Page 169 1 1 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. where they were available. They'd all been fired. 2 2 Q. Did Bill ever send you an e-mail indicating he A. I just want to make sure you're asking a 3 3 question for the first time or a follow-up question. was going to pull those employees over to Windspeed? 4 4 Because it makes it sound like I indicated that Windspeed A. I think so. 5 was selling. Are you indicating that I thought --5 Q. Have you ever -- did you ever have any 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Oh. And you're saying that 6 discussions with any of those employees about being 7 7 it wasn't. Okay. Then we're clear. pulled over into Windspeed? 8 8 A. Okay. A. I don't think I ever spoke directly with any 9 Q. Okay. Got it. 9 employees at ACET Global. I did get some apparent e-mail 10 Did Baymark ever provide any instructions 10 from a Pablo complaining about the business model there. 11 to Windspeed regarding ACET Global's financial records? 11 But I don't know that anybody reached out 12 A. Well, I kind of set that one up. So as before, 12 to me. I went on-site one time and talked to people. 13 since I know the employees were the same, I would want to 13 But I don't think about that at all. 14 make sure that, to the best -- they could not mix our 14 Q. How was Windspeed's business model different 15 15 from ACET Global's? 16 If it's going to be the same people before, 16 A. I don't know. 17 17 I'd want to have -- at least for tax purposes, have Q. You wouldn't -- you wouldn't know anything 18 separate books. Make sure they were separated. 18 about Windspeed's business model? 19 Q. Okay. So Baymark would have -- would have 19 A. No. I wouldn't. 2.0 asked Windspeed to make sure and keep separate books or 20 Q. Or the assets that it sold? 21 records for ACET Global? 21 A. No. I mean, other than the assets it'd bought 22 A. Well, Bill -- I'm sure it'd be Bill. I guess. 22 from Super G, I don't know what it bought after that or 23 I don't know. Or we'd go through Matt. But however it 23 before that. 2.4 was effected, we'd say, "Keep our books separate from 24 Q. Would you know anything about Windspeed's 25 Windspeed books," I would suppose. 25 operations?

#### Page 170 Page 172 1 A. No, not directly. It's all guess. 1 know what their issues were, what they had to do or what 2 Q. Okay. Would you know anything about its 2 their deal with Bill Szeto was. It might have been the 3 dealings with customers or vendors? 3 condition for Bill to keep going. 4 4 I don't know. All I know is it wasn't on 5 Q. So is it safe to say you wouldn't really know 5 ACET Global 6 much of anything about Windspeed Trading? 6 Q. Okay. What leads you to believe that the ACET 7 7 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. Global note may have been -- may have gone over to 8 8 A. Yes. But based upon how you asked that 9 9 question, yes. I wouldn't know anything about how A. Well, because there was an agreement that 10 Windspeed works at all. 10 was -- I apologize if I don't get the name right, but a 11 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. Do you know where 11 foreclosure or a transfer. Someone transferred --12 Windspeed received its funding from? 12 where -- the note was transferred over or assumed or 13 13 A. I believe it got the initial -- a loan of whatever the magic language is. 14 \$200,000, I'm guessing, from Super G initially. After 14 Q. Okay. As part of the foreclosure sale? 15 that, I don't know. Not from me. 15 A. I don't know. I don't -- yeah. I'm sure they 16 Q. Okay. 16 would have to go together because I wouldn't want them to 17 A. Or Baymark. 17 come back and say, "Oh, the stuff that you gave us was 18 Q. Got it. How do you know that it got that loan 18 completely undervalued for the note," which it was. 19 19 I didn't want them to come back and say, 20 20 A. Because at the time -- back to earlier, when I "You owe -- you still owe me 300,000 under my note." I wanted it to be a wash between the two. 21 received the equity interest or the warrant, I know that 21 22 all that it had was that debt from Super G. So whatever 22 Q. Okay. So are you telling me that the amount of 23 23 the note that Windspeed entered into with Super G, that Q. Okay. Did you ever refer to the Windspeed loan that was based upon the amounts that ACET Global owed or 2.4 24 25 as "the ACET note"? 25 had outstanding to Super G? Page 171 Page 173 A. Windspeed's loan as "the ACET note." 1 1 A. I'll respond to the mirror image of that. I 2 Well, there was -- be careful there, 2 don't know what Windspeed assumed, but I will tell you 3 3 because you're just coming off the \$200,000 one, and that the amount of the note that was relieved from ACET 4 4 now -- I know at some point -- I don't know if we talked Global, that note was much more than the -- the assets 5 5 about it -- ACET Global got rid of its note and it went that they pulled out. And my concern was they would come 6 over there. It went over to Windspeed. 6 back and say, "Hey, you're still short. You owe us 7 7 So that one, I could say we'd get the ACET money." So I wanted to be sure there was no blowback 8 8 Global note off over to Windspeed. I don't know that from that. 9 9 that would be talking about the \$200,000 one. Q. Okay. Why would you want to make sure there 10 I think those are separate ones. I believe 10 was no blowback from that? 11 they are. I know -- I know ACET Global's was separate. 11 A. Go through all the problem of the foreclosure 12 12 Q. Okay. What do you mean by "the note went over and then having the note relieved, for them to come back 13 13 and go, "Oh, the note's not really gone. You still owe 14 14 A. As part of the foreclosure, they also took the 300,000," that's bad. 15 15 note off of ACET Global. Q. So it was Super G you were worried about? 16 16 Q. Okay. A. Well, when I'm talking about doing a deal where 17 17 A. I'm not using pretty words, but it was Super G's gonna take it, I want to make sure that the 18 "relieved" or "taken" or "assumed" or whatever. But it's 18 liabilities associated with that didn't go from one known 19 not on ACET Global anymore. 19 quantity to a much -- one larger quantity post-closing. 2.0 Q. Okay. So I can understand that. And that 20 Q. Okay. Was -- so if Windspeed took on a note 21 sounds to me like the note owed by ACET Global was 21 from Super G that ends up being equivalent to the amount 22 effectively extinguished in some manner. 22 ACET Global owed at the time, are you telling me that 23 How do we get from there to "that note went 23 that -- that note was not actually tied to the value of 24 over to Windspeed"? 24 the assets that Super G foreclosed on?

A. Again, if you step back from whatever Windspeed

25

25

A. You'd have to ask Super G about that. I don't

#### Anthony Ludlow \* May 15, 2021 Page 174 Page 176 1 took on. I know the note that we relieved from ACET 1 A. Yes. 2 Global was a much higher note than the assets that they 2 Q. You decide whether or not to invest in a 3 3 potential portfolio company? 4 How that ended from there, how much went to 4 5 them, and all that stuff, I don't know on that side. But 5 Q. And as part of that process, you evaluate and 6 6 value the assets of those potential portfolio companies, I can tell you what was relieved from our side. I can 7 7 state that. right? 8 8 Q. Around the time of the foreclosure and the A. So in our industry, it's -- all the assets that 9 9 foreclosure sale, was the amount owed to Super G by ACET happen to be there are not -- are just items that's part 10 Global, was it about 514,000? 10 of an engine that generates EBITDA. And so we look at 11 A. If you'll allow me some room, yes. I think the 11 EBITDA -- and it's a multiple of EBITDA. 12 original note was 785, 750. We paid it down to maybe 12 There's some businesses -- and we don't do 13 near 400-ish. But what happens is once you go into 13 a lot of these. There's some businesses that will have default and get -- there are these penalties that show up \$30 million worth of assets and do 5 million in EBITDA, 14 14 15 15 right? And then there's some businesses that have no and accrued interest. So it had gone back up to around 16 \$500,000 at that time, so yes. Kind of a long answer 16 assets and do 5 million in EBITDA. 17 17 We tend to do asset-light businesses, and 18 Q. That makes sense. But was it about 514, 515 at 18 so we're just -- and it's no different than other guys in 19 that time? 19 the industry. It's -- all the brokers, all the private 20 A. Upon foreclosure, when they were going to do 20 equity firms follow a multiple of the EBITDA. So to make 21 21 a long answer longer, it's focused on cash flow, which it, yes. 22 Q. And that amount that was owed to Super G by 22 I'm calling EBITDA, by the way. 23 ACET, that was not correlated with the value of ACET 23 Q. Got it. So you're kind of targeting companies that may not require a high degree of capitalization 24 Global's assets, correct? 2.4 25 25 relative to the revenue they generate; is that kind of A. Correct. Page 177 Page 175 1 1 Q. Those were two just totally distinct things? right? 2 A. The assets that they took in foreclosures were 2 A. Yeah, that's kind of right. We need cash flow 3 3 vastly less valuable than the loan. companies. If it does need capitalization or cash 4 4 Q. Okay. infusion -- I think in this deal, we put in an additional 5 5 A. They did not correlate, correct. \$200,000 at close -- we need that to turn quickly. 6 6 Q. What -- what do you base your conclusion that So buying inventory, selling it, and 7 7 the assets that Super G took from ACET Global were vastly getting it back within a three-month period is okay. If 8 8 lower in value? you buy a new Caterpillar, you know, a hard equipment 9 A. Based upon the items that -- I mean, if you 9 thing that is going to be around for 30 years, that's not 10 could look at that list that was sent over, that list. 10 good. We don't do business with those. 11 Well, let's do it this way: At the time of 11 Q. When you're evaluating a company, though, 12 12 you -- your financial model for valuation tends to be a the deal -- we can assume that near the time of the deal function of EBITDA? 13 when Tomer was still there versus until later, the value 13 14 14 only went down. A. Yes. Almost solely. 15 I can peg it when Tomer was still there. 15 Q. And you apply a multiple, generally, to that? 16 It's an e-mail when Tomer says the value of the inventory 16 A. We do. 17 17 is worth 300,000 and the net assets of the company are Q. It kind of depends upon the industry and 18 \$350,000 after the payables but before debt. So call 18 perhaps economic conditions generally?

A. Um-hum. Some time that we can grow it.

based on a balance sheet; is that right?

A. That's true.

Q. And when you're evaluating that, then, if it's

based on EBITDA, you're really not making that decision

Q. You're effectively performing a valuation that

is a function of a profit and loss statement, right?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

second and ask you.

basically, right?

that 350 back when Tomer was there. If we assume

bleed-off, it would only be less thereafter. So I don't

Q. Okay. And are you -- let me step back for a

You evaluate companies for a living,

know how much below, but it was -- they weren't the same.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

3

4

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## Page 178

- A. Converted into EBITDA, but yes. A cash flow equivalent. EBITDA's not quite cash flow, but it's close.
- Q. Okay. And so when you're -- and that's a common valuation approach, right?
  - A It is

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. And when you're evaluating the value of a company, then, you're not basing the value or your decision about what you would be willing to pay for it upon a balance sheet?
- A. Okay. Well, you broadened it into some subtle areas, but when you say "based on the balance sheet," the debt also goes on the balance sheet, not just the assets.
- 14 O. Oka
  - A. And we're -- we're mindful of the debt as well.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. But, yes, we do mind the balance sheet, but not 18 for assets.
- Q. So you want to know -- you're gonna place a
  value that's a function of -- a function of cash flow or
  P&L; and then you're also gonna factor in, you know, how
  burdened, I guess, with debt is that cash flow going to
  be? That's the basic --
- A. Yeah, potentially. It's just, I guess, in the industry, and then if it grows usually if a

## Page 180

- Q. Right. Well, I mean, as someone acquiring businesses, are you looking at it -- you're looking at more broadly than just the individual line item assets, physical assets, right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. More goes into the value than just those items?
   A. If by that you mean we look at EBITDA and all
  - A. If by that you mean we look at EBITDA and all the things that make it up, yes.
  - Q. Right. And aren't those -- in a sense, aren't those proxies for other things that give a business value, like existing contractual relationships, a track record, workforce in place, other intangible assets, brand recognition?

Aren't all of those things part of what helps generate an EBITDA?

A. No. You kind of mixed metaphors there. You started with aren't those equivalent to EBITDA, and then you said, "Aren't some of those generating EBITDA?"

They can or can't. They're not -- they're very difficult to measure, and they're inaccurate.

Again, when you value a company for the cash flow, especially when you go to other people that say, "Hey" -- when you have lenders or even, say, for example, in our case, a seller that takes a note, they obviously know it's going to be the cash flow that's

# Page 179

- business -- say if you get a million-dollar EBITDA
- 2 business that has multiple -- if you get it over
  - 5 million in EBITDA, you can get a multiple expansion, things like that.
    - Q. And what's the -- why do you apply a multiple of EBITDA? Is it because a business is more multifaceted than just the -- just its particular physical assets?
    - A. I wish I could say it's more esoteric, but that's how the industry works. So I work with all the people I show up with.

But I also understand that if we look at a business and we're gonna pay them two years, three years of their profits or their cash flow into the future, and then also ask them to hang on to some of it, and we can grow the business, as well, usually, if we hold the business five years, there's two years on the end after the growth that we've paid off the initial price, that last two years on the end, if it's grown all five years, is where we make our profit at the end.

- Q. This valuation -- you know, this valuation model that, you know, just everybody uses is -- I mean, that's basically a convention that has come out of a market process, right?
- A. No. It's the one that I deal in every day. I can't speak to the whole market.

Page 181

- going to pay them back, not these esoteric things that
   you're talking about.
  - Q. Okay.
  - And lenders alike.
- Q. Okay. So, you know, with all -- with all those items, though, something else -- besides just the line items that would flow into a balance sheet, right, something else is creating value that gives rise to that EBITDA.
- A. I don't know if I follow you. I don'tdisagree, but I don't follow.
- Q. Okay. I'm just trying to understand. If
   you're willing to pay more for a company than just the
   assets that exist there, something must be creating value
   beyond just those assets.

A. The EBITDA. For example, there's been businesses that are -- say an architecture firm. They may have a bunch of guys there, and architecture firms, that get contracts, but I don't know if they have assets. I assume they don't. They have a bunch of CAD machines and stuff. But, you know, they can make 10, \$20 million a year in the deals that they can do, but there's no assets there.

- 24 Q. Okay.
- A. But they have value. We don't buy those in

#### Page 182 Page 184 1 particular, but some people do. 1 And I'm asking: How's that any different from when you 2 Q. Right. And so that's an intangible value, 2 acquired it? 3 right? 3 A. I don't think I'd link those together. Just, 4 A. What is? 4 again, if we can focus on the cash flow, when we bought 5 5 Q. That sounds like there's an intangible value it in July -- I don't have the financials in front of me. 6 6 July's performance was actually 1.1 in EBITDA. By there that is giving rise to that. 7 7 A. Just cash flow. It's the ability to provide a December it was like \$150,000. I think I'm right. 8 8 service at the moment and convert that into cash. So we went from being able to -- having a 9 9 Q. Let me ask this a different way. If -- when million dollars' worth of excess cash floating in per 10 10 Baymark acquired or engaged in the Asset Purchase year to having \$150,000 of excess cash floating in by the 11 Agreement with what is now D&T Partners, was there 11 end of that year. And that creates problems with buying 12 12 inventory and making payments to Super G, who had a note \$3 million of physical assets that it was acquiring? 13 13 that's not serviceable for \$150,000 worth of EBITDA, Q. Was there a million dollars of physical assets where it completely is at 1.1 -- or 1.2, especially. 14 14 15 15 it was acquiring? 16 A. (Shook head.) 16 (Technical adjustment.) 17 Q. Was there even \$500,000 of physical assets? 17 THE WITNESS: It might be the glare off my 18 18 A. Maybe. I mean, inventory was about 300,000. I forehead. 19 give you the benefit of the doubt on some desks and 19 THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry for the 20 chairs. Let's say that or below. 20 interruption. 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) By the way, I got to tell Q. Say something between 300 and 500, roughly, of 21 22 assets? 22 you, I was taking a deposition in another case last 23 23 week -- I thought you were gonna make fun of your A. Sure. Q. So what is it -- and that's at the time when 24 24 forehead 25 A. I did. 25 Baymark acquires the entity? Page 183 Page 185 1 1 Q. The lawyer got into it a bit with me, and the A. Yeah. 2 Q. And you came to the conclusion then that it was 2 parting shot was, "Nice bald spot." 3 worth almost \$4 million? 3 A. Oh, wow. Let's not get to that level. 4 4 A. Yeah. So it was -- so the cash flow books on Q. Yours looks pretty good. 5 5 the assets, so it's not relevant. It's the amount of MR. PERRIN: Jason, I haven't gone there. 6 cash flow or EBITDA that it throws off at the end. 6 MR. FREEMAN: Excuse me? 7 I think the year that we came in, it was --7 MR. PERRIN: I haven't gone there. 8 8 you know, we do -- we try and look at the financial MR. FREEMAN: No, you haven't. MR. PERRIN: And you can talk about my 9 statements and see if it's gonna generate 1.2 million, I 9 10 think we thought at the time. If it generates 10 silver look here, too, so . . . 11 \$1.2 million every year thereafter, that's the value. 11 MR. FREEMAN: Yours looks pretty good. I 12 hope I get to that. I'm trying to hold on to what I've And so we put a multiple on that, and that's what we buy. 12 13 That's really just it. And, I mean --13 got. 14 MR. PERRIN: Yeah, thanks. 14 Q. That's it? 15 15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Well, circling back, so . . . A. -- it seems like you could expand it and put 16 some other things. You're going a little above my pay 16 Did you ever refer to the Windspeed loan as "the ACET note"? 17 17 grade, but --18 Q. No. You're clearly -- you're in the pay grade 18 A. I don't believe so. 19 for sure. There are -- you may be above mine. But I'm 19 Q. And do you know when Windspeed -- if it did, do you know when Windspeed began selling ACET's inventory? 2.0 trying to -- what I'm trying to reconcile from that now 20 21 is -- and this was the long way around, I guess. 21 A. No, I don't. 22 But you're telling me that ACET Global, in 22 Say that again. When Windspeed started 23 your mind, is in the red in terms of its value because 23 selling ACET's inventory? 24 Tomer Damti e-mailed you telling you there was 24 Q. If it did, but . . . 25 300-and-something thousand dollars' worth of inventory. 25 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 186

- 1 Q. Did Baymark ever ask Windspeed to keep two sets 2
  - A. If by that -- I'm sure we would have asked them to be careful not to mix their books with ours. Makes taxes -- makes takes hard.
    - Q. Did Windspeed pay any of ACET's debts?
- 7 A. Did Windspeed pay any of its own debts? I 8 don't know. I'm just making sure if you're asking if we 9 did. I know we didn't.
  - Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

10

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 A. Or -- ACET Global. I said "we." ACET Global 12 or Baymark.
  - Q. Who prepared ACET Global's taxes for '18 and '19? Was that Howard?
- 15 A. Howard and Co., yes.
- 16 Q. Did Bill Szeto have any role in that?
- 17 A. I don't know how granular you want to get.
- 18 Maybe if he had access to the books and records, he would 19 send a trial balance over -- or -- but, again, he had
- 20 access to the information. But that would be it, would 21 be my guess.
- 22 Q. Not, like -- to your knowledge, not, like, a
- 23 back-and-forth with the accountants?
- A. I wouldn't think so. And I don't know that 2.4 25
  - Bill has that level of knowledge of tax stuff anyway. I

## Page 188

Q. I -- nope. I mean, it makes some sense. And it was probably a bad question.

Let me ask you, with the foreclosure sale, can you walk me through what you understand or know of Super G Capital's foreclosure of ACET Global's assets, kind of what happened?

A. I'll do my best. I believe at some point early on, they came to us and said that they wanted to begin the process of reclaiming the assets.

And I think early on at some point, they wanted to do what's called an ABC or an assignment for the benefit of creditors. I'd never heard of that or -certainly hadn't been involved in one. But to me, I call that a friendly foreclosure, where there's some voluntary nature to that. So we -- that's when they indicated that they were getting serious about taking the assets.

We said no. Honestly, I didn't -- I didn't think they had the guts to do a foreclosure. I thought that would buy us time because banks do not like to take assets back because they have to acknowledge that their loan's failed.

So by putting the pressure on acknowledging that the loan failed, I didn't think they'd make that call and that would give us some more time.

Q. Okay.

## Page 187

- don't want to disparage the guy. He's not here. I don't actually know. He could be a tax -- he could be a tax genius.
- Q. Was there a -- when F- -- well let me ask it this way.

In March of 2019 and thereafter, was there any reason for ACET Global to be kept open rather than shut down?

A. Yeah, that's always a tough call. A lot of times, generally we could leave a business open because you never know if some -- when there's an employee that left angry, like Pablo. He could come back and say there was something. And if we don't have an entity in place, we don't have a place to put that complaint into that entity with the normal corporate protections.

So you had protection in one regard by leaving that open, if there's a sexual harassment or something like that.

On the flip side, though, if you're getting calls from vendors, sometimes we'll push to go ahead and close it if it's established. Almost never happens anyway. So it's a weighting between those two issues.

Q. Okay.

A. Did I answer your question? I don't feel like I did.

Page 189

1 A. Time went on, and at some point it became clear 2 that they were gonna do a foreclosure. And when they 3 said, "We're gonna do a foreclosure." I was like, "Well, 4 let's get out the docs." And so we, "Let's look at the 5 processes of foreclosure." And at some point, under the 6 Security Agreement, we have to comply or can't resist. 7 And we went along with that process, and Julie helped 8 make sure we didn't go too far or too little with -- in the foreclosure. 9

> And I don't know if I'm getting the dates -- helping you with the dates. But they executed the foreclosure, I think they did a notice or some deal at the time and made sure all the parties got notified, and then took the stuff.

Q. Okay. Did -- so that was really the only reason for Baymark Partners' involvement in the foreclosure?

A. When you say "only," I mean, there's a lot of risk for those original legal documents if -- if we don't make them stick to the rights that we agreed to originally, in the original documents, and then they come back around and stick us with extra.

Or what if we did an inventory to them and they come back and say, "The inventory isn't complete. You kept some stuff," you know. We did something with

	Page 190		Page 192
1	this.	1	A. No, I don't think we ever wanted to move
2	So we want to make sure that we completely	2	forward with the foreclosure other than if it was gonna
3	complied with what we needed to do, it was safe and	3	happen, it was gonna go by the books.
4	there's no blowback where they come back and say	4	Q. Okay. But you weren't, like, interested in
5	because we knew the assets we were giving them were below	5	trying to move it forward more quickly or just move it
6	the value of the loan, and we didn't want them to come	6	forward, I guess?
7	back, which, from my understanding, is common. They'll	7	A. That meant the end of the hope of ACET Global
8	say, "There's a shortage, and you still owe me X amount	8	to have the assets to pull itself out, and my
9	of dollars."	9	half-million-dollar investment gets flushed at that
10	Q. Okay.	10	point, but I don't get (inaudible.)
11	A. So we needed to make sure what small rights	11	(Deposition Exhibit 7 marked for
12	that we had, we could enforce.	12	identification.)
13	Q. But you were giving them I mean, they were	13	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. I'm putting on the
14	getting all of the assets of the ACET Global?	14	screen what's marked Exhibit 7. (Shared screen.)
15	A. Whatever the lien covered, which I believe it's	15	And this is an e-mail, isn't it, from Matt
16	a blanket lien over every single thing. So basically	16	Denegre to Steve Bellah?
17	when you say "the assets," the lien that they had was	17	A. It is.
18	over the company, to the extent that when you buy a	18	Q. It's Matt Denegre at Baymark Partners, correct?
19	company, either asset or stock sale, their lien covered	19	A. It is.
20	doing an asset acquisition of the company. It's	20	Q. The Subject line is "ACET"?
21	everything.	21	A. Um-hum.
22	Q. And they were getting all of that free of any	22	Q. And do you know of another ACET than the ACET
23	debt?	23	we're discussing here?
24	A. Well, whatever a lienholder a senior	24	A. I'm sure it's ACET Global.
25	lienholder's right is under those deals. Again, I'm not	25	Q. And he says to Mr. Bellah and Mr. Bellah's
23	nemiotici's right is under those deals. Again, rin not	25	Q. And he says to Mr. Behan and Mr. Behans
		i e	
	Page 191		Page 193
1		1	J
1 2	Page 191 a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders whatever their rights are.	1 2	Page 193 with Super G, correct? A. He is.
	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.		with Super G, correct?
2	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders	2	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.
2	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting	2 3	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for
2 3 4	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's	2 3 4	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward
2 3 4 5	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien	2 3 4 5	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."
2 3 4 5 6	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?	2 3 4 5 6	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or	2 3 4 5 6 7	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "Td like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "Td like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and we said, "No," maybe they mentioned that they're gonna	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the foreclosure" might get them to back off. I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and we said, "No," maybe they mentioned that they're gonna have — "Well, maybe we'll just do a foreclosure." And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the foreclosure" might get them to back off. I don't know what the intent would be here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and we said, "No," maybe they mentioned that they're gonna have — "Well, maybe we'll just do a foreclosure." And I said — or, "Maybe you'll have to do a foreclosure." You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the foreclosure" might get them to back off. I don't know what the intent would be here.  Q. Okay. Posturing. Did Super G ever did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and we said, "No," maybe they mentioned that they're gonna have — "Well, maybe we'll just do a foreclosure." And I said — or, "Maybe you'll have to do a foreclosure." You know, the dance. I don't know.  That's their remedy at that point. Or they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the foreclosure" might get them to back off. I don't know what the intent would be here.  Q. Okay. Posturing. Did Super G ever did Super G ever indicate in an e-mail this proposed ABC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and we said, "No," maybe they mentioned that they're gonna have — "Well, maybe we'll just do a foreclosure." And I said — or, "Maybe you'll have to do a foreclosure." You know, the dance. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the foreclosure" might get them to back off. I don't know what the intent would be here.  Q. Okay. Posturing. Did Super G ever did Super G ever indicate in an e-mail this proposed ABC structure?  A. I believe so.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and we said, "No," maybe they mentioned that they're gonna have — "Well, maybe we'll just do a foreclosure." And I said — or, "Maybe you'll have to do a foreclosure." You know, the dance. I don't know.  That's their remedy at that point. Or they can start telling us what to do with it, which — I mean, we're just trying to make the company run at that point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the foreclosure" might get them to back off. I don't know what the intent would be here.  Q. Okay. Posturing. Did Super G ever did Super G ever indicate in an e-mail this proposed ABC structure?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a lender, thank goodness. However the lenders — whatever their rights are.  Q. You don't understand them to have been getting all of those assets subject to some junior creditor's lien, do you?  A. I'm not sure what the senior-ness of their lien gives them or doesn't give them in banking law or — yeah.  Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone about a foreclosure on ACET in 2018?  A. Foreclosure on ACET in 2018. Didn't a foreclosure take place in 2018?  Q. I believe it's purported to have taken place in 2019.  A. Okay, then. Yeah, then. I'm sure if there was threats after the ABC, when they said, "Do the ABC," and we said, "No," maybe they mentioned that they're gonna have — "Well, maybe we'll just do a foreclosure." And I said — or, "Maybe you'll have to do a foreclosure." You know, the dance. I don't know.  That's their remedy at that point. Or they can start telling us what to do with it, which — I mean,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with Super G, correct?  A. He is.  Q. He says, "I'd like to discuss next steps for  ACET global and get your thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure."  Do you see that?  A. I do.  Q. What did Baymark what did Baymark mean by this, wanting to "get thoughts on how to move forward with this foreclosure"?  A. This is between Matt and Steve Bellah, but I understand the somewhat contentious nature. I didn't think that they would foreclose. And I didn't think that I don't know that Super G ever foreclosed on anybody, either. And making them realize that we're not gonna do the ABC and "Maybe you want to do the foreclosure" might get them to back off. I don't know what the intent would be here.  Q. Okay. Posturing. Did Super G ever did Super G ever indicate in an e-mail this proposed ABC structure?  A. I believe so.  Q. And when do you believe that happened?

#### Page 194 Page 196 1 capitalized A, B, and C in there, so . . . 1 the foreclosure, I guess there's a notice. We probably 2 Q. Okay. And you think this e-mail from 2 would have reviewed it, just to make sure it was not 3 Mr. Denegre, Exhibit 7, that it may just be Baymark 3 saying something dangerous to us -- or to Global. 4 Partners posturing about the foreclosure? 4 And then we would review the other 5 5 A. Or just to see what -- if they've ever done documents. If that included the note being transferred 6 6 one, just to get their thoughts on that. I'm not sure. over, we would want to make sure that the note went over 7 7 without recourse back to us. It's meant to see -- and it would be my guess. Because 8 8 this is October 23rd, and the foreclosure didn't happen Q. Okay. 9 9 until, what, six months later. So obviously they were A. Just -- we -- that that was all in there. 10 Q. Do you think -- was ACET Global or Baymark 10 scared to do it. 11 Q. Wasn't this around about the date of the 11 Partners in a position at this point to require that? 12 12 A. To require that? Well, sure. I mean, we did Windspeed Trading revised and reissued operating 13 13 have some rights, and -- very few of them. I don't know 14 A. It could have been. I don't know. 14 about "require," but we were able to exert what few 15 15 Q. And didn't you see that -- didn't you review rights we had. 16 16 Q. What leverage here did Baymark Partners or ACET 17 A. The Windspeed Trading Operating Agreement? 17 Global have against Super G to ensure that, you know, it 18 18 was completely relieved of liabilities? Q. Yes, sir. 19 19 A. I would have, yes. A. Well, I think there's just a common 20 Q. Now, there were also some other e-mails here. 20 understanding that if we're gonna have this, I guess, 21 this divorce or this breakup, that we all do it amicably (Deposition Exhibit 22 marked for 21 22 identification.) 22 and dot your Ts and cross your I's. 23 23 Because there's always the chance that if Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'm placing on the screen they stuck something back on this, we could sue them or 24 what's marked as Exhibit 22. (Shared screen.) 2.4 25 we could complain that their foreclosure was unfair, that 2.5 Do you see that? Page 195 Page 197 1 A. Yes -- well, hang on. I see it. It's small. 1 we were making payments. 2 2 He'll get it bigger. Um-hum. And so to do this -- and when they do a 3 3 MR. PERRIN: (Complied.) foreclosure, they want to make sure that it's clean and 4 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And is this an e-mail 4 it's in agreeance. So everybody wants to make sure the 5 5 exchange between Matt Denegre of Baymark Partners and deal was complete and moves on, nobody gets surprised 6 Alex Godinez of Super G Capital? 6 later when they stick us with more cost, or we sue them 7 7 A. It is. because they took our stuff and wrecked our business. 8 8 Q. Okay. And it's January 29th, 2019? Q. Well, aren't y'all, in fact, business partners 9 9 A. Um-hum. in Windspeed at this time? 10 Q. And Subject line: "Windspeed/ACET"? 10 A. No. I don't know who "y'all" is, but I'll say 11 11 12 Q. And what's the relationship there? What do you 12 Q. Is there a Baymark-affiliated entity that 13 understand the relationship between Windspeed and ACET to 13 was -- that had an economic interest in Windspeed? 14 14 be? A. Yeah. If you're talking about Baymark Partners 15 15 A. Well -- at this time, as I mentioned, I knew Management, that was -- that had the -- that did have a 16 16 that the ACET note was going to be transferred over to -equity piece. I think I heard you say 40. I said 30, 17 17 or assigned or whatever over to Windspeed. In addition but you said 40. I'm not sure. 18 to that, they were gonna foreclose on our assets as well. 18 But it had that equity piece in Windspeed. 19 I guess, finally, they decided to do that. 19 And so Windspeed already had \$200,000 in debt, and it was 20 Q. Okay. 20 about to take on another half million dollars in debt and 21 A. Based on -- based on the date, I think that's 21 get some inventory for it. So it was all a bunch of 22 where we're getting to with it. 22 23 23 Q. Okay. And was Super G asking -- asking you to Q. Okay. And would you be surprised to learn that 24 draft all the foreclosure sale documents? 24 the testimony of Bill Szeto was that all of the inventory A. No. I think on the foreclosure sale -- so on 25 was gone from ACET Global by the time this foreclosure

#### Page 198 Page 200 1 purportedly happened in March of 2019? 1 asked me to write an agreement against myself, I'd be 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 2 glad to do that. They might miss something. So I 3 A. Yeah, I wouldn't be surprised to hear him say 3 don't -- I don't know who did what. 4 that. What does it mean when he says "gone, all gone"? 4 Q. Well, I mean, is it a -- is it a habit of 5 Was it depleted from \$300,000 down to 100,000 or -- I 5 Baymark Partners to try to put things into agreements 6 don't know what he means by "gone." But -- I didn't 6 that counterparties won't catch? 7 7 watch Bill Szeto's testimony, but I wouldn't be surprised A. If it's within the realm of business 8 8 by a lot of what he says, no. negotiations, in the world of redlines, it doesn't 9 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Surprised to hear him say 9 actually happen. But usually on most documents, people 10 10 that there was none of it left? focus on -- on the things that they're concerned about 11 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 11 and they read over things, and if they're happy with it, 12 A. I think somewhere there might have been 30 -- I 12 that's good for us. They're represented by counsel who 13 don't know, but there had to be something there. 13 do it for a living. Q. So I'm scrolling down on this Exhibit 22 to the 14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And so why is this Subject 14 15 line "Windspeed/ACET," Exhibit 22? 15 e-mail from Mr. Godinez at Super G Capital on 16 A. Well, I have to look and see, but, again, if 16 January 29th, 2019, and it was to Matt Denegre and 17 we're -- there's a note coming from one, going to the 17 provides the Subject line "Windspeed/ACET." 18 other. Then there's going to be the asset foreclosure 18 And do you see that? 19 that's coming out of one. So it could be multi-topic --19 A. I do. Q. And above that, I don't believe when 20 topics to finalize debt. 20 21 Q. But it's your testimony on behalf of each of 21 Mr. Denegre responded, that he indicated any lack of 22 the entities that you represent that Super G was not 22 clarity about what was being referred to; is that right? 23 asking Baymark Partners or ACET Global or any of your 23 A. Just one second, I'll read it real quick. So entities to draft forfeiture agreements? this is on January 29th. (Reading.) Yeah. That seems 2.4 2.4 25 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 25 to be what it says. It's not confusing to me. Page 199 Page 201 1 1 A. The word "draft" seems to be, like, something Q. Seems -- no. So Mr. Godinez says, "Hi Matt, 2 somebody does alone in the dark and no one else is 2 Just wanted to follow up on status for the final version 3 3 involved. There's attorneys everywhere on this deal. of the foreclosure agreement. Are we good to finalize?" 4 4 I think Bill had his own attorney -- I know And is that consistent with your 5 he did. Super G had an attorney. We had an attorney. 5 understanding of Baymark Partners' role in the 6 So once the parties discuss a common interest, the 6 foreclosure agreements? 7 7 attorneys go and make it happen. And just because --A. If you're asking us if they allowed us to 8 8 like, when I'm doing a deal, just because one of the review it, they did. 9 attorneys that's not on their side advances the ball of 9 Q. Okay. Did they ask you to redline it? 10 everybody doesn't mean he's doing the drafting. 10 A. I don't know. I'm sure if review found a 11 So -- I'm burning a little bit that I think 11 misspelling, we would have let him know. 12 12 everybody was involved in this process, and certainly us Q. Any substantive changes? 13 less so because we had fewer rights, but that doesn't 13 A. I doubt it. 14 mean we didn't get a seat at the table. 14 Q. Did -- who owns Windspeed? 15 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So Baymark's counsel or 15 A. Who owns Windspeed? I'm not sure right now. I think at the time, I had a warrant. I think Super G had 16 ACET's counsel wouldn't -- you know, given that, wouldn't 16 it, and I would assume Bill Szeto does. I don't know 17 be taking up the laboring oar of drafting the foreclosure 17 18 agreements, would it? 18 where it stands. 19 A. "Laboring oar," I guess you mean the majority 19 Q. Okay. But you think Super G had ownership in of or the burden's work? It doesn't matter. Everybody 2.0 20 Windspeed? 21 gets their say. I don't know if we wrote some of it or 21 A. I believe so. When I say "ownership," I'm 22 we commented on it. 22 being casual, blending of warrant and ownership, save the 23 You know, if you're writing an agreement 23 difference. 2.4 that's against you, you can do a lot of favorable things 24 Q. Got it. So you think Bill Szeto had some? 25 in it that other people don't catch. So if somebody 25 A. Yeah, he had to, right? I mean, he put it

1 together, and he's doing all this footwork. I would 1	Page 204
together, and he's doing an this footwork. I would	before?
2 assume he'd have some. 2	A. I don't believe so, but
3 Q. Did and does Baymark Partners Management? 3	Q. Scroll through. This states in Julie's
-	e-mail with everyone just a few days before, it states,
	"Attached are the final documents for the Windspeed
·	transaction."
7 A. That's the last I saw, yes. 7	And do you understand why Julie was
	drafting the documents for the Windspeed transaction?
9 for Windspeed's Operating Agreement? 9	A. If she was I don't know what documents they
	are. I don't know if they're attached on this. But
	certainly she would have the one that had the foreclosure
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and sale transfer agreement because it's protecting us
1 2 2 3 7 7 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	from the foreclosure.
14 time for Windspeed, from what I understand. And then 14	Are there any others? And if there's a
	separate one for the note transfer, that would be in
	there. It's not the same one. She certainly would have
	had hold of those. Does it say what the attachments are?
18 in as warrant holders. 18	O. No.
19 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) And I'll ask you, are you 19	A. Okay.
20 familiar with this document?	Q. This one doesn't have it attached to it, I
	think, because it's the earlier or the later e-mail.
22 information, but yeah. I know what it's pertaining to.	A. I see.
22 minormation, but year. Tknow what it's pertaining to. 22 23 Q. To tell you the truth, I don't know that that's 23	(Deposition Exhibit 44 marked for
24 the one I meant to pull up. I'm not sure it's relevant.	identification.)
25 A. It is an operating agreement. You probably can 25	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Was I'll show you another
A. It is an operating agreement. Tou probably can	Q. (BT MR. PREEMAN) was III show you allouler
Page 203	Page 205
1 see that. It's the Windspeed one.	exhibit here, Exhibit 44. (Shared screen.)
2 Q. It is. I'll tell you what. I'm gonna take 2	And this was an e-mail exchange between
3 like, a five-minute break, if y'all don't mind, and go 3	Brian Vanderwoude and Julie Smith of Hallett & Perrin.
4 off the record for just a second.	The subject is "Windspeed/Super G transaction."
5 A. All right. I'll be here. 5	A. Right.
6 THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're 6	Q. And was Julie Smith or Hallett & Perrin,
7 going off the record at 2:51.	were they ever Windspeed's attorney?
8 (A recess was taken from 2:51 p.m. to 8	A. No. You haven't shown anything, but didn't
9 3:08 p.m.) 9	Windspeed have their own attorney?
10 THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the 10	Q. I
11 record at 3:08 p.m. 11	A. I think it was a son.
12 (Deposition Exhibit 19 marked for 12	Q. Whose son?
13 identification.)	A. Bill or William. Something like that. I
14 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, I'm sharing a 14	don't know his name.
15 screen and putting up what's marked as Exhibit 19. 15	Q. What leads you to believe that Windspeed
16 (Shared screen.)	that Julie Smith was not ever Windspeed's attorney?
` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	A. Well, because she represented me for ACET
17 Can you see that?	Global, and also Windspeed had their own attorney go over
17 Can you see that?	Global, and also whitespeed had then own attorney go over
17 Can you see that? 17 18 A. Yes. I've got a small one and a big one 18	that guy, what's that name? They had one that
17 Can you see that? 17 18 A. Yes. I've got a small one and a big one 18 19 coming. But go ahead. 19	1
17 Can you see that? 17 18 A. Yes. I've got a small one and a big one 18 19 coming. But go ahead. 19	that guy, what's that name? They had one that
17       Can you see that?       17         18       A. Yes. I've got a small one and a big one       18         19       coming. But go ahead.       19         20       Q. All right. It's an e-mail between Bill Szeto       20	that – guy, what's that name? They had one that wouldn't be Julie.
17 Can you see that?  18 A. Yes. I've got a small one and a big one  19 coming. But go ahead.  20 Q. All right. It's an e-mail between Bill Szeto  21 and Julie Smith and Matt Denegre and Carrie Williamson, I  21	that guy, what's that name? They had one that wouldn't be Julie.  Q. Okay. But you're pretty sure that she wasn't?
17 Can you see that? 18 A. Yes. I've got a small one and a big one 19 coming. But go ahead. 20 Q. All right. It's an e-mail between Bill Szeto 21 and Julie Smith and Matt Denegre and Carrie Williamson, I 22 believe. 23 A. Yes. 23	that – guy, what's that name? They had one that wouldn't be Julie.  Q. Okay. But you're pretty sure that she wasn't?  A. Yes, I'm very sure.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 206

A. Um-hum.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q. Do you know what he's referring to?

A. Let's do some guessing here.

January 17th, I don't think they'd sorted out yet, at this time, if they were gonna do a -- just get rid of our note, and then Windspeed have a new one or just take our note.

And so Vanderwoude represents Super G, right? And so if he's representing Super G, he's probably talked with them and realized that, "I don't think that they can do a new note," that they have to go through legal or stuff.

So to get this note over, they're just gonna have to pull it over, which would be easier for them and less trouble for them.

I don't know their politics, but I got a sense that they weren't able to do a new note. They had to transfer ours.

Q. Okay.

A. That's what he's saying here, but I don't know.

21 I don't know if he got . . . 22

Q. Well, how's -- how is all of that related? I mean how is -- how is all that related, I guess?

A. Well, obviously they're working out the methodology of how they're gonna get that loan off of Page 208

1 January, so we got that long. But I don't know . . . 2 What was your question? Did I answer it? 3 Do you want me to take another stab? I don't think I 4

Q. No. I'm trying to figure out why, you know -first of all, why ACET Global's -- or Baymark's attorney is engaging in this transaction with Super G's attorney when ACET and Baymark are supposedly not a party to this transaction.

A. ACET's a party. ACET is losing a note and it's having its assets taken from it. Dangerously so. Because if they take out assets incorrectly, we're gonna get blowback. So we're definitely a party to it. It has to happen correctly.

Q. And then what I'm wondering, though, is how is it that the parties have already orchestrated some kind of loan or note that Windspeed would owe if Windspeed hasn't even -- there hasn't been a foreclosure, there hasn't been a foreclosure sale, assets hadn't --

20 Windspeed hasn't purported to legally acquire any of the

21 assets at issue?

> A. So I guess -- guessing for Windspeed a little bit here. But the first one you said, "if there wasn't a note yet." I think Windspeed already had a note. You mentioned the \$200,000 note already, but I think you're

Page 207

ACET, and I guess Brian, right here, is espousing his pain or what's easier for him under what -- under their

political system to get that done, which, again, I don't care how they do it, as long as they take it.

Q. Well, is this all related to the foreclosure?

A. Well, it happened at the same time. So when the assets went over, so we didn't get blowback -- and they also took the note, kind of together, we didn't want to have any blowback.

So, yeah, that -- whenever our -- again, for ACET Global, whenever our note disappeared. It went away, it got transferred, and the assets went away. That is definitely related.

Q. Okay. And that hadn't occurred as of the time of this e-mail, correct?

A. I don't think so. I think -- sorry for dates, but I think you mentioned it happened in March of '19 is when it happened, so this was before.

Q. Okay. So why is all of that being worked out before Windspeed had even purported to legally acquire

A. I'm back to the fact that I think we stymied them a little bit when we didn't do the ABC, so now they're flailing on how they're going to get those assets over. We slowed them down, obviously, from October to Page 209

talking about a different one, this note here, the one 2 that was going to be assumed. So that -- again, how it 3 gets over that is either -- you could kill the ACET 4 Global note and create a new one over there, and that 5 would be their problem. As long as they killed ours, 6 that's all I cared about.

> And if they came back around and said, "No, we can't, it's gonna take us too long to write a new one over there" -- which, again, I don't care about -- "But I need to take this one and move it over there."

> > "Great. Make sure you do that."

So I don't know why they didn't get their act together. Like I said, I think they just wanted to do an ABC, and we didn't do it. So I don't know.

Q. If all of this is the case, why not -- why not just agree to transfer all of the assets subject to the notes over to Windspeed?

A. I don't know. That would be to counsel. That sounds like an attorney option there. There's a lot of -- you had the senior lender and their docs. You had the Asset Purchase Agreement, the Security Agreement, and all that stuff. So there's -- there's a path that you can take that's all been agreed upon.

Q. By everybody who's got a stake in it?

A. Yeah, when we did the first agreements,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Page 210

everybody saw those, and from what I understood, we were going through those processes that were put in place

Q. And isn't the only -- the only loser in this scenario, isn't it just the junior creditors?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

A. Well, depending on how you define "losers," I would say myself, who gets no return on any equity, so that's lost. The -- all the debt holders lost.

I think everybody lost on this deal. I don't think there's lone-man losers here. There's no value in the company, and it's gone.

Q. Right. It's all in Windspeed now, isn't it?

A. I don't know it's in Windspeed, but I would be surprised if it's even functioning or existing. I could check, but I would be surprised if it's even a company. I don't know.

Q. But -- so was that option never even discussed, just simply transferring all of the ACET assets over into a new entity that would assume the note encumbering those

A. All that was discussed from our side was to 22 resist Super G and not go along with their ABC because we 23 didn't think they would foreclose. And it put them off long enough -- the hope was that we could have a little 25 more time to run the company.

Page 212

go ahead and move forward with the foreclosure in 2018,

A. We did throw that out there. If they were gonna do that, that was their only recourse at that time, because I don't know if we were even paying them at that point. But, again, we were trying to keep cash flow in the business, and they weren't -- they weren't getting the loan back.

Q. And if I understand this correctly, the assets end up -- after the foreclosure sale, they end up -- all of them end up with Windspeed, correct?

A. I don't know. I would assume that all of them that were foreclosed upon went directly into Windspeed. I don't know if they went to Super G first and held and then they bought them separately or if it was a two-part transaction.

If that was the case, there might have been a case where Super G sold some of them to somebody else. They had a portfolio of companies. I don't know how many of them ended up in Windspeed. I don't know Super G's portfolio. But let's just assume the majority of them went over there. I don't know.

Q. Okay. And your understanding is that Windspeed, as a result of that foreclosure agreement, ends up taking on a note to Super G that is the same

## Page 211

But ultimately, I guess Bill set up Windspeed, and Super G said we can take these assets and run them, whatever's left. I don't know how much of those assets we got -- they got over there -- we gave them. So I don't -- I don't know -- about the only way --There's a lot of ways you could do it.

But, again, when we first did the initial deal docs, there was these things that the parties agreed to, and I think this drove us through to that.

Q. Okay. But doesn't that seem like a much, much simpler structure, to simply transfer all of ACET Global's assets to a new entity and have the new entity assume the encumbering debt?

A. I don't know about "easier." Again, they had their political issues. I think Super G's a fund. So how they book things and how things look or what they intended to do with it, I don't know.

Q. But you're not suggesting that anybody here was trying to -- you know, trying to hide the ball from any regulators or anything, right?

A. Anybody was trying to hide the ball from any regulators? I think the senior lender had a secured interest and they -- they exercised it against ACET.

Q. Okay. But Baymark Partners was asking them to

Page 213

value as the one that ACET Global had owed to Super G? A. I think the way it's written is it was an

assumption, so it was actually the same note that went over there.

Q. Okay. So at the end of the day --

A. I think that's what this says. Instead of doing a new one, they just assumed. Is that what it's saying? Yeah.

9 Q. Right. And so at the end of the day, then, 10 Windspeed has all of ACET's assets, and they're 11 encumbered by a note of the exact same value?

> A. Plus an additional note for \$200,000 that was done before.

Q. Right. All that owed to Super G?

15 A. I believe so.

16 Q. Right. So --

17 A. I don't know if they got other financing or 18

Q. Right. So we all know what I mean when I say "Who's the loser in this?" Who's the loser besides the junior creditors?

MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.

A. Everyone's a loser.

Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) The junior creditor's liens don't appear to follow into Windspeed, correct?

#### Page 214 Page 216 1 A. No, they do not appear to follow into 1 A. So are you saying did we allow Windspeed to 2 Windspeed. 2 assume the ACET note? You're making it sound like we 3 Q. But Windspeed takes on all of ACET's assets for 3 would ever resist that. Like we -- no, we want to keep 4 a note of exactly the same amount and also appears to 4 the note. It's kind of ass-backwards, isn't it? If 5 5 have the wherewithal to pay on an even greater note? somebody wants to take a half-a-million-dollars note off 6 6 A. I don't know if they're making payments -of me, they don't have to ask twice. Like, if you ask me 7 7 right now, I'll give you half-a-million-dollar note. So there's an assumption that they're making payments or 8 8 not. I don't know. I'm not sure if that's what you meant. 9 9 Q. And they have the benefit of Super G, who Q. Well, the whole thing seems ass-backwards to 10 me, too. But I'm -- I'm wondering, is there any point in 10 provided the capital at issue, having a 40 percent 11 economic stake? 11 time where Baymark told its attorney that Baymark would 12 12 agree to allow Windspeed to assume the ACET loan? A. They do. 13 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 13 Q. One could view Super G's economic stake as 14 equity, right? 14 A. No, Baymark never told our attorneys that we 15 15 would allow Windspeed to assume the note. We were glad, A. I don't know if there was an equivalence 16 between those two. Giving debt, because it has to be 16 and we would push it. 17 repaid, which it does. 17 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Are --18 18 A. If they wanted to take it with the foreclosure, Q. Sometimes it's hard to tell where the line 19 19 between debt and equity is, right? that's beneficial to ACET Global. 20 20 A. I don't know if that's a legal question or just Q. Okay. Would there be any reason that Baymark's 21 or ACET's attorneys might have thought that Baymark 21 a functional question, but maybe. 22 Q. Right. So, I mean, we possibly have -- if we 22 wouldn't want to have Windspeed assume the loan? want to view this as -- you know, Super G's capital as 23 23 A. I don't think so. Other than if it wasn't done 24 equity here, we possibly have now moved all of ACET 24 correctly. Like, if the loan was assumed as part of an Global's assets over to Windspeed, free and clear of any 25 ABC with the foreclosures taken in a way that wasn't 2.5 Page 215 Page 217 1 1 encumbrance, as long as no one picks up on it, right? allowed under the original documents, that wouldn't have 2 MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 2 been pre-negotiated, so probably would tell them not 3 A. Again, this purports to be in compliance with 3 then. 4 4 the original documents that were signed by the credit Q. Okay. And did -- dropping down here to the 5 holders, senior and secondary, and also the Asset 5 second page of this Exhibit 44, there's an e-mail from 6 Purchase Agreement. So whatever the effective -- at the 6 Julie Smith to Brian Vanderwoude on January 3rd, 2019. 7 7 end, it was following that agreement. A. Um-hum. 8 8 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) How did you believe this to Q. And does she say, "I spoke with Baymark after 9 be consistent with the agreements that D&T Partners had 9 our call on Monday." And she says, "Baymark has agreed 10 10 to allow Windspeed to assume the ACET loan"; is that 11 A. Well, I'm speaking for all parties because the 11 right? 12 12 agreements that we signed were -- I think they were all A. Yes. signed by the senior lender, the seller note, and also Q. And what do you understand her to mean there? 13 13 14 the Baymark Partners' parties. And that is, we granted 14 A. It means that we're not gonna resist if they 15 security interests -- primary security interests in all 15 want to take that debt off of -- off of ACET. I'm sure 16 the assets, you know, blanket assets, to the senior 16 it's part and parcel, along with how the foreclosure's 17 17 gonna go as well, because I think those happened at the lender. 18 And then there was also security interests 18 same time. They had to have. 19 for the secondary person, but they were behind the 19 (Deposition Exhibit 24 marked for 2.0 senior, and that's what it means to be second in line. 20 identification.) 21 And if the senior exercises those assets against, I 21 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. So I'm pulling up 22 guess, the secondary and also the equities holders, I 22 what's marked as Exhibit 24. (Shared screen.) 23 guess that's their right. 23 Do you see that? 24 Q. Okay. Did there come a time where Baymark 24 25 agreed to allow Windspeed to assume the ACET note? 25 Q. Was Windspeed previously ACET Global?

#### Page 218 Page 220 1 A. No. I don't -- I mean, that's easy to check 1 A. Didn't matter whether they would or wouldn't. 2 with the State, isn't it? I mean, if it's filed -- I 2 They did not. Because we -- they didn't do that. I 3 think it was -- whenever it was filed -- says here when 3 4 it's filed. September '18. 4 I'm looking at what you're scrolling by 5 So if it was filed as Windspeed, I think 5 here. 6 it's always been for Windspeed, as far as I know. It was 6 Q. Yeah, I'm just scrolling through so you can see 7 never ACET Global, and if it was, it was not -- without 7 it. (Scrolling.) 8 8 my knowledge. A. Yeah. Yeah, yeah. 9 9 Q. Okay. Q. You don't know what -- restructured entity, 10 A. It's a separate en- -- corporation. They had 10 though, not some new entity? 11 their -- I think from what you showed me, they had their 11 A. Yeah, here's where we're talking about the 12 own filing docs, and I know ACET Global has their own 12 investments, and here's where we're behind on shipping. formation docs, for sure. I know it's --13 13 Pay bills, a credit card bill of 16,000 has to be --14 Q. Was there --14 yeah. 15 A. -- in mind. 15 Q. (Scrolling.) Okay. 16 Q. Was there ever a plan to restructure ACET 16 A. So is that the cash requirements we were asking 17 17 for there? Hang on. 18 A. I think we've talked many times throughout 18 Q. Right. Was part of those cash requirements --19 this. Your term of "restructuring ACET Global" usually 19 now, is that to pay some accrued expenses of ACET Global? 20 20 involves getting relief on debt payments and doing A. It's salary accrued. I don't know if that's 21 things, so yeah. We even talked about times when they 21 Bill's or other people's. We were paying the employees 22 cut their payments back a few times, so yes. 22 current because we were funding it still at the time. 23 Q. And are you familiar with this Exhibit 24? 23 So it might have just been Bill's old A. It looks like an e-mail between Matt and Steve, salary. Maybe he wanted to finally start getting paid. 2.4 24 and I'm included. 25 He hadn't been -- I don't think he had been cashing his 25 Page 219 Page 221 1 1 Q. Okay. September 7th, 2018? checks at this time, and probably to catch up on them. 2 And so the 200 and \$500,000 -- it's not \$500,000 -- so --A. Yes. 2 3 3 Q. Subject line, "ACET Plan"? it's an odd amount. It probably paid some old bills, 4 4 A. Um-hum. 5 5 Q. And attachment entitled "ACET Plan (September Q. Okay. 6 2018)"? 6 A. So anytime it refers to anything accrued or old 7 7 bills, it's clearly talking about the current ACET Global 8 8 Q. Okay. And it says, "Steve, Attached is the because any new, I don't think Windspeed had back -- old 9 ACET plan," correct? 9 freight charges and things like that, so that would be my 10 A. Yes. 10 11 Q. "The plan provides the company resources to 11 Q. Okay. And you mentioned it, the capital grow quickly and profitably under a restructured entity." 12 requirement. How much did Windspeed originally put 12 13 into -- how much did Super G originally put into 13 A. Yep. 14 14 Q. Is that correct? Windspeed? 15 15 A. That is correct. A. 200,000, wasn't it? I don't think it was 250. Q. Okay. What did you understand Baymark Partners 16 16 Q. Okay. And this, actually the total capital 17 to mean by "restructured entity"? Because I'm using your 17 requirement here is \$200,500; is that right? 18 18 A. Yeah, some of which goes to old stuff, which is 19 A. So if this is at September, this is probably 19 not good if it's a blind product. our final plea to saying, "If you want this thing to go 2.0 20 Q. Right. And so -- but this was --21 forward, get this, not only do you need stop taking big 21 A. Almost half of it goes to non-inventory, like 22 payments from us, but if you give us more money, then we 22 60 goes to Bill, and then another 15,000 goes to other 23 might can buy some product to move forward." I don't 23 stuff. That's a waste. 2.4 think they went for that. I know they didn't. 24 Q. Right. And so this is the ACET Plan, right? 25 Q. Okay. So they wouldn't agree to it? 25 A. It looks like it.

	Page 222		Page 224
1	Q. And this is in the same month that Windspeed is	1	lending money to the buyer, right?
2	formed?	2	A. Someone who sells all I feel like you're
3	A. Well, from what you've told me, it would seem,	3	trying to apply this to this company in a hypothetical.
4	yes. So	4	So can we put names in there? So
5	Q. Just weeks before all of the employees of ACET	5	Q. So D&T Partners sells all of its assets to ACET
6	Global were pulled over to Windspeed?	6	Global.
7	MR. PERRIN: Objection; form.	7	A. Um-hum.
8	A. So whenever they were moved from one place or	8	Q. Fair to say you wouldn't characterize D&T in
9	to the other is a fact. I don't know when that is, so I	9	that scenario as a bank or a lender, right?
10	don't want to say when it is or not. But in addition to	10	A. Well, they're a noteholder. They are a lender.
11	that, so all of this stuff here, again, is the reporting	11	Q. Right.
12	of a failing company to the lender that has the security	12	A. It's seller financing.
13	interest on all this stuff. And so if they like this,	13	Q. Okay.
14	good for them. If they don't, then I guess Bill had a	14	A. Not just assets.
15	had Windspeed cooking.	15	Q. That can be, I guess, tomayto and tomahto,
16	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And so all of that	16	right? I mean, there's a creditor relationship for sure.
17	just kind of the happenstance is the timing. And then	17	A. Probably. The UCC well, anyway.
18	shortly thereafter, Super G provides an initial funding	18	Q. Sorry?
19	to Windspeed of \$200,000?	19	A. I was just saying the UCC stuff is again, I
20	A. I believe so, yes.	20	defer to counsel on that.
21	Q. And do you know whether any of that money,	21	Q. Okay. I'll ask you pulling up so I'm
22	those funds that Windspeed had, were used to pay any of	22	going to put this in the drop, what will be marked as
23	ACET Global's debts?	23	Exhibit 46. (Shared screen.)
24	A. I don't think so.	24	MR. PERRIN: And, Jason, when you do that
25	Q. No.	25	before, it's not showing up on our screen. It just shows
	Daga 222		
	Page 223		Page 225
1	A. I would have known because my debts never went	1	Page 225 up as a "save as" on the desktop.
1 2		1 2	
	A. I would have known because my debts never went		up as a "save as" on the desktop.
2	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.	2	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical
2	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.     Q. Okay.	2 3	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.</li><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a</li></ul>	2 3 4	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.</li><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because</li> <li>Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no. Q. Okay. A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a	2 3 4 5 6 7	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a — marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender, would you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these different, but maybe we'll actually call this 46A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender, would you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these different, but maybe we'll actually call this 46A.  THE COURT REPORTER: So you want to keep
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender, would you?  A. They're a creditor. Certainly, they're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these different, but maybe we'll actually call this 46A.  THE COURT REPORTER: So you want to keep the prior 46. Do you want to make this 46A?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender, would you?  A. They're a creditor. Certainly, they're not secured, but yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these different, but maybe we'll actually call this 46A.  THE COURT REPORTER: So you want to keep the prior 46. Do you want to make this 46A?  MR. FREEMAN: Yes, ma'am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender, would you?  A. They're a creditor. Certainly, they're not secured, but yeah.  Q. So to my point, it's not a lending relationship	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these different, but maybe we'll actually call this 46A.  THE COURT REPORTER: So you want to keep the prior 46. Do you want to make this 46A?  MR. FREEMAN: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT REPORTER: Let's do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender, would you?  A. They're a creditor. Certainly, they're not secured, but yeah.  Q. So to my point, it's not a lending relationship but it is a creditor/debtor relationship?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these different, but maybe we'll actually call this 46A.  THE COURT REPORTER: So you want to keep the prior 46. Do you want to make this 46A?  MR. FREEMAN: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT REPORTER: Let's do that. (Deposition Exhibit 46A marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I would have known because my debts never went down. ACET Global's debts never went down, no.  Q. Okay.  A. So did the lender give us a loan to pay off a lender or other debts? I don't think so. Because Windspeed's a lender I mean, not Windspeed but Super G is a lender. So did a debt holder give us money to pay a debt holder? No.  Q. Right. But someone could owe a debt who wasn't a borrower, right?  A. Probably.  Q. A debt doesn't necessarily indicate a lending relationship?  A. I want to be careful getting into hypotheticals because I want to be factual and truthful, so  Q. So let's say DHL allows ACET Global to use its services. You wouldn't characterize DHL as a lender, would you?  A. They're a creditor. Certainly, they're not secured, but yeah.  Q. So to my point, it's not a lending relationship but it is a creditor/debtor relationship?  A. Yes. DHL is a creditor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	up as a "save as" on the desktop.  MR. FREEMAN: Let me see. (Technical adjustment.)  Is this working?  MR. PERRIN: That works better. Yeah, we can see that.  THE WITNESS: I'll just look on the screen up here.  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) This is a marked this document Exhibit 46.  MR. FREEMAN: Karen, I'm pretty sure that I introduced a deposition earlier that I said we were gonna mark as Exhibit 46?  THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, you did.  MR. FREEMAN: So I apologize for the confusion. I suspect it will be easy to keep these different, but maybe we'll actually call this 46A.  THE COURT REPORTER: So you want to keep the prior 46. Do you want to make this 46A?  MR. FREEMAN: Yes, ma'am.  THE COURT REPORTER: Let's do that. (Deposition Exhibit 46A marked for identification.)

#### Page 226 Page 228 1 Amended and Restated Company Agreement for Windspeed 1 I don't care about some date in September when a 2 Trading? 2 Certificate of Formation is filed. 3 A. I think I mentioned before, Wind- -- Julie 3 A. Right. 4 Smith of Hallett & Perrin represented Baymark Partners 4 Q. What I'm trying to figure out is: At any point 5 Management when it went from a single-member company 5 here, was Baymark Partners or ACET Global -- was it 6 agreement to a multimember one. We handled that. She 6 related or was it part of -- was there some connection 7 7 restated that. between them and Windspeed Trading as reconstituted under 8 8 Q. Okay. the Amended and Restated Company Agreement? 9 9 A. She added multiple parties to it. MR. PERRIN: Objection; form. 10 10 Q. Okay. And was -- I'm showing you what's, A. So to the question, I'll just answer it 11 again, marked as 46A here. 11 directly. And I'm not trying to draw a distinction 12 The Amended and Restated Company Agreement 12 between formation date and amended and restated date. 13 13 reflected here that was attached to the e-mail, does that But when Bill Szeto indicated to us that he wanted me to 14 reflect an "H&P Draft" marker? 14 receive equity or the warrant, I think I suggested 15 A. It does. 15 warrant because I didn't want the K-1. 16 Q. And were your attorneys the primary drafters of 16 That's when Julie got involved through 17 this document? 17 Baymark Partners Management, and -- to make sure that 18 A. I don't know what "primary" means, but I 18 this was done correctly, that I wouldn't have to put in 19 19 believe -- I think when Julie took the original one, they money or get stuck with some other bad stuff. 20 offered it to us and we said "No, this doesn't work." So 20 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And was she involved 21 21 in drafting the warrant purchase agreement? she probably had a form, make it a multiparty one. 22 O. Okay. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Everybody gets -- when you say "primary," that 23 O. And -means no one else gets to put inputs? They don't have a 24 24 A. I do believe so. 25 25 (Deposition Exhibit 4 marked for say? Page 227 Page 229 1 1 Q. No, just were they taking the laboring oar, I identification.) 2 2 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Okay. And I'm putting up 3 3 A. I'm gonna use that. I believe she drafted what's marked as Exhibit 4 here, which is an e-mail from 4 4 Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, Alex Godinez, and yourself? 5 5 Q. But Baymark and ACET were just -- there was no (Shared screen.) 6 6 relationship to the formation of Windspeed Trading? A. Um-hum. 7 7 A. No. We weren't -- I didn't know Windspeed Q. Does this appear to be a true and correct copy 8 8 Trading -- so Windspeed Trading, I didn't even know it of that document? 9 9 existed until later, when -- I guess when Bill and his A. Appears to be authentic, yes. 10 son set it up and (unintelligible). 10 Q. And there are a couple of attachments 11 Q. And was ACET Global or Baymark Partners related 11 designated here. One appears to be Version 3 of the 12 12 Windspeed Warrant Purchase Agreement and another Warrant to the Amended and Restated Company Agreement of 13 13 Windspeed Trading? Purchase Agreement, Windspeed. 14 14 A. Okay. So I'm trying to keep images of all Does that appear right? 15 15 these companies in my mind. A. It does. 16 16 Ask that again. Was -- and that's my Q. The Subject line of this e-mail is 17 17 fault. I lost track. Say that again. "Windspeed - revised WPA and warrant"? 18 18 Q. Well, and I'll tell you, why I'm using the A. Right. 19 19 phraseology here is because I feel like you're drawing a O. And I'll scroll down here to the attachments. 20 20 distinction between the technical formation of Is -- what's reflected here, it's the fourth page of 21 Windspeed -- which, granted, we can agree is the 21 Exhibit 4, is this a Warrant Purchase Agreement draft? 22 Certificate of Formation -- and perhaps the 2.2 A. That's what it's titled. I assume that's what 23 23 reconstitution of Windspeed Trading as a result of the it is 2.4 Amended and Restated Company Agreement. 24 Q. Is there a marker in the top right hand saying 25 And so what I'm trying to figure out is --25 "H&P Draft"?

Page 230 Page 232 1 A. There is. 1 I didn't see it. I probably did. 2 Q. And is this -- does this look like the Warrant 2 Q. Okay. I mean, did anybody ever say something 3 Purchase Agreement that Baymark entered into to obtain 3 in an e-mail that, you know, "I want a provision that 4 warrant rights in Windspeed? 4 requires Baymark to put money into Windspeed"? 5 A. It looks -- and I can tell because there's, 5 A. No. 6 6 Q. Did anyone say that on a conference call? like, brackets. So it looks like a form, so it's 7 7 probably in the same line, but I bet it looked a lot like A. No conference call. 8 8 Q. Text message? 9 Q. Okay. 9 A. No. 10 A. But at some point -- which means it changed, or 10 O. I mean, was there ever even a discussion about 11 information got put in, or dates were put in there. 11 it? 12 Q. Okay. And were those -- was the exercise price 12 A. No, no more -- no. Similar, when Bill Szeto 13 used to call us, you know, ACET Global, and say, "I can't for those warrants, was it a hundred dollars? 13 make payroll." He would tell Matt first. Matt would 14 14 Q. And was that to obtain, upon exercise, 15 15 have a bleeding heart, and Matt would come to me. And 16 40 percent of the company? 16 Matt wouldn't go to David because David didn't want to 17 A. If it hadn't changed from this form, then yes. 17 put in any more money. 18 Q. Okay. And that is what you had obtained -- or 18 So the money that Baymark put in, like, 19 the company had obtained? 19 those last few tranches, was solely from me. I didn't 20 A. Whoever ended up signing it. Baymark Partners 20 even let David know. It went through Baymark, but he 21 Management, then, yes. I think they got 40 percent of 21 was -- this was such a bad deal. But Matt's bleeding 22 \$200,000 in debt. And then 40 percent of 8- -- \$700,000 22 heart and, you know, Bill's. So he probably thought he 23 in debt, yes. 23 could continue to play on my bleeding heart to put in Q. Okay. So there was really no -- why -- if money at some point, which, again, I never have. 2.4 2.4 25 that's what you're acquiring, why go to all this trouble, 25 Q. Okay. If you and Baymark had such a bleeding Page 231 Page 233 1 heart, why were you taking 40 percent of this company? 1 all this legal expense to draft all of these documents? 2 2 A. Well, it's -- again, Bill Szeto was brought A. Again, if it was going to be given to us -- and 3 3 quite honestly I don't know how much expense it was, but into the company and then struggled. And he put it 4 4 just to protect our interest -- and we weren't getting together. If he wants to hand it to us because he thinks 5 stuck with a warrant or equity, I'm not going to try to 5 at some point we can help him with money, which we 6 6 haven't -- haven't done -- I don't know how long it's draw a distinction between the two. 7 7 Just to make sure we were protected and we been, a year and a half or more. I haven't done that. 8 8 didn't have any -- I know Bill was wanting us to put More than that. And if he thinks that we can provide him 9 money in. He might have wanted me to put money in since 9 expertise. 10 I'd been shown to have a soft heart for him funding --10 But Bill Szeto sounds good, but he doesn't 11 keeping companies alive. So I just wanted to make sure. 11 take expertise -- he doesn't take advice very well. And 12 12 Because often in these agreements, it would be a I'm sure he didn't think Matt helped him a lot or I 13 13 requirement for the partners to put money in. I just helped him a lot either. So . . . 14 14 wanted to make sure that wasn't there. I haven't talked -- just so you know, I 15 15 Q. If Bill was such a sophisticated businessman, haven't talked to Bill Szeto, not a single time, since 16 why did he not insist upon some provision like that? 16 September or earlier, not once. 17 A. I guess he was smart. I don't know. 17 Q. Did you -- I mean, did you feel like you were 18 Q. Did he ever even ask for that? 18 taking advantage of Bill Szeto with this agreement? 19 A. No. 19 A. No. Q. No? 2.0 Q. Did you ever see his attorney request that? 2.0 21 A. No, I don't -- honestly, I didn't see any 2.1 A. No. 22 drafts going back and forth. 22 Q. Was there much negotiation about these figures? 23 Q. Well, did you see this draft? 23 A. I don't know if there was a couple versions 24 A. I did. And obviously -- was I copied on there? 24 going back and forth. I bet it -- I bet Bill Szeto would

have had some discussions about how much he got or how

25

25

So if I saw it, I would have opened it. I'm not claiming

	Page 234		Page 236
1	much Super G got. And that's probably my guess.	1	Q. Sure. Let me ask you. Did it states here
2	Q. Okay. Would he have ever indicated that, you	2	from Bill that "We can have the call now."
3	know, this was to compensate Baymark for its investment	3	Do you recall having a conversation with
4	in ACET?	4	Mr. Szeto, Steve Bellah, and Matt Denegre about ownership
5	A. I don't remember seeing that.	5	in a new company at this time period?
6	Q. Would he ever have indicated that?	6	A. No. It might have been with Matt. I may or
7	A. I think "would have." I don't I don't	7	may not have been there. But I'm sure it probably took
8	know that he did.	8	place.
9	Q. Okay. Why would he, if he did? I mean, what's	9	Q. Do you
10	the connection there?	10	A. I don't think I was there.
11	A. Again, we were the ones who brought him in in	11	Q. Do you think that this would this be
12	the first place. And he wanted our expertise. Again, I	12	regarding Windspeed?
13	don't remember what's being granted here. This is a	13	A. I don't know. It probably.
14	company that has more debt than even ACET Global had, and	14	Q. Probably?
15	its I don't know what its prospects are, but they	15	A. I think so.
16	can't be great. And I haven't received a financial	16	Q. And why would you be discussing ownership in
17	statement since. I haven't seen anything, so	17	Windspeed?
18	Q. Okay.	18	A. Well, again, if Bill wants us to be part of his
19	A. Maybe in your discovery you've seen how they're	19	company, then that's why we would be discussing it.
20	doing. I don't know.	20	Q. Okay. But this would not your ownership
21	MR. PERRIN: Karen, can we have a time	21	from that wouldn't be because of your past investment in
22	check?	22	ACET Global?
23	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir. I can do	23	A. No. No.
24	that on a break and will let you know before we go back	24	Q. And so we'll go down here to Mr. Bellah's
25	on the record.	25	e-mail to Matt Denegre, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on
	Page 235		Page 237
1	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.	1	October 9th.
2	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record	2	October 9th. A. Um-hum.
2	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.	2 3	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about
2 3 4	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52. (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to	2 3 4	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel,"
2 3 4 5	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.)	2 3 4 5	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back	2 3 4 5 6	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03.	2 3 4 5 6 7	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03.  (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03.  (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03.  (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03.  (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	October 9th. A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct? A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"? A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03.  (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.)  And do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes.  Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you.  THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.)  THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03.  (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.)  Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.)  And do you see that?  A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes.  Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes.  Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep.  Q. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	October 9th.  A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	October 9th.  A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep. Q. It says, "Attached are the formation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is. Q. And is it dated October 10th, 2018?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	October 9th.  A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep. Q. It says, "Attached are the formation documents"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is. Q. And is it dated October 10th, 2018? A. It is, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	October 9th.  A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep. Q. It says, "Attached are the formation documents"? A. Yep, that's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is. Q. And is it dated October 10th, 2018? A. It is, yes. Q. And is it is the Subject line "Re:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	October 9th.  A. Um-hum.  Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says.  Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes.  Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep.  Q. Is that correct?  A. Yep.  Q. It says, "Attached are the formation documents"?  A. Yep, that's right.  Q. And this, below that, is that is the e-mail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is. Q. And is it dated October 10th, 2018? A. It is, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	October 9th.  A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep. Q. It says, "Attached are the formation documents"? A. Yep, that's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is. Q. And is it dated October 10th, 2018? A. It is, yes. Q. And is it is the Subject line "Re: Ownership (Newco)"?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	October 9th. A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct? A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"? A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"? A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep. Q. It says, "Attached are the formation documents"? A. Yep, that's right. Q. And this, below that, is that is the e-mail that appears to be the e-mail Mr. Denegre is referring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is. Q. And is it dated October 10th, 2018? A. It is, yes. Q. And is it is the Subject line "Re: Ownership (Newco)"? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	October 9th. A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct? A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"? A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"? A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep. Q. It says, "Attached are the formation documents"? A. Yep, that's right. Q. And this, below that, is that is the e-mail that appears to be the e-mail Mr. Denegre is referring to from Mr. Szeto?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. PERRIN: Very good, thank you. THE COURT REPORTER: We're off the record at 3:52.  (A recess was taken from 3:52 p.m. to 4:03 p.m.) THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We're back on the record at 4:03. (Deposition Exhibit 47 marked for identification.) Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) So I am I'm going to put on the screen what's marked as Exhibit 47. (Shared screen.) And do you see that? A. I do. Q. And is is this an e-mail at the top from Mr. Szeto to Steven Bellah, Matt Denegre, and yourself? A. It is. Q. And is it dated October 10th, 2018? A. It is, yes. Q. And is it is the Subject line "Re: Ownership (Newco)"? A. Yes. Q. And what is what is that referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	October 9th.  A. Um-hum. Q. And he says, "I need to speak with you about the ownership split after consulting with counsel," and is that correct?  A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. And below that, there's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steve Bellah, yourself, and Mr. Szeto on October 8th, 2018, and it states "Below are the proposed equity splits from Bill"?  A. Yes. Q. And "We (Baymark) are ok with this proposal too"?  A. Yep. Q. Is that correct? A. Yep. Q. It says, "Attached are the formation documents"?  A. Yep, that's right. Q. And this, below that, is that is the e-mail that appears to be the e-mail Mr. Denegre is referring to from Mr. Szeto? A. I see it.

		1	
	Page 238		Page 240
1	company, here is the percentage I am willing to live	1	of it.
2	with."	2	Q. So Super G wasn't asking Baymark to be part of
3	Now, what would he be referring to that	3	Windspeed?
4	would be related to your to Baymark's prior investment	4	A. No.
5	in ACET Global?	5	Q. But Mr. Szeto was just offering up 40 percent
6	A. Well, again, this is comes from Bill, so if	6	of Windspeed to Baymark?
7	you want the definitive answer, you talk to him.	7	A. Yes, he was. Thought we could help him out.
8	But I would take that as the time and	8	Q. And he was doing that he doesn't state here
9	energy in the support of him. That's what I take it as.	9	that it's it's because he wants Baymark to put in
10	Was I on that? That wasn't to me, but that would be my	10	additional money, does he?
11	guess.	11	A. No, he doesn't.
12	Q. No. You weren't, but you were on the e-mail	12	Q. And does he say he's doing it after considering
13	that	13	the amount of investment that Baymark put into the ACET
14	A. I was above, yeah.	14	Global company?
15	Q that forwarded this.	15	A. He does say that.
16	And there's a appears that Mr. Szeto has	16	(Deposition Exhibit 20 marked for
17	listed out his proposal, which includes a "warrant for	17	identification.)
18	40 percent"?	18	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'll show you what's marked
19	A. Um-hum.	19	as Exhibit 20. (Shared screen.)
20	Q. And appears to have set out the equity stakes	20	And, Mr. Ludlow, do you recognize this
21	of the partners following a exercise of warrant rights?	21	document?
22	A. It does, yeah. Undiluted and diluted there,	22	A. Looks like an e-mail on January 3rd, 2019, yes.
23	right.	23	Q. It's an e-mail from Matt Denegre to Steven
24	Q. Right. So after the in the scenario after	24	Bellah, Tony Ludlow, and Mr. Szeto?
25	the exercise of the warrant rights, Mr. Szeto states that	25	A. It does.
	Page 239		Page 241
1		1	J
1 2	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent,	1 2	Page 241  Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail
			Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail
2	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent;	2	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the
2	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.	2 3	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah
2 3 4	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?	2 3 4	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.
2 3 4 5	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "Tm willing to live	2 3 4 5	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that.
2 3 4 5 6	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?	2 3 4 5 6	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that.  Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that.  Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that.  Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that.  Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah.  Q. What do you understand that to be referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that.  Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah.  Q. What do you understand that to be referring to?  A. So let me think. November 21st. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting more or less. I wouldn't know. They're the ones that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.  And I don't have I don't know if people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting more or less. I wouldn't know. They're the ones that are fronting this deal. So I don't — maybe that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.  And I don't have I don't know if people here at Hallett & Perrin have the expertise in bankruptcy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting more or less. I wouldn't know. They're the ones that are fronting this deal. So I don't — maybe that's what it is. I don't know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.  And I don't have I don't know if people here at Hallett & Perrin have the expertise in bankruptcy or that type of foreclosure stuff. So that's why she was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting more or less. I wouldn't know. They're the ones that are fronting this deal. So I don't — maybe that's what it is. I don't know.  Q. Was — was Super G bargaining on behalf of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.  And I don't have I don't know if people here at Hallett & Perrin have the expertise in bankruptcy or that type of foreclosure stuff. So that's why she was brought in when they were gonna start foreclosing and do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting more or less. I wouldn't know. They're the ones that are fronting this deal. So I don't maybe that's what it is. I don't know.  Q. Was was Super G bargaining on behalf of Baymark?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.  And I don't have I don't know if people here at Hallett & Perrin have the expertise in bankruptcy or that type of foreclosure stuff. So that's why she was brought in when they were gonna start foreclosing and do this structure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to — this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I — again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting more or less. I wouldn't know. They're the ones that are fronting this deal. So I don't — maybe that's what it is. I don't know.  Q. Was — was Super G bargaining on behalf of Baymark?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.  And I don't have I don't know if people here at Hallett & Perrin have the expertise in bankruptcy or that type of foreclosure stuff. So that's why she was brought in when they were gonna start foreclosing and do this structure.  Q. And so so everyone was relying on Baymark's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he's willing to live with Baymark having 40 percent, himself having 20 percent, and Super G having 40 percent; is that correct?  A. That is correct.  Q. And he used the phrase "I'm willing to live with." What did you understand that to mean?  A. It seems like he's having a struggle internally and he's come to this cathartic decision here to this works for him for some reason.  Q. Okay. But that wasn't reflecting some sort of ongoing bargaining or negotiation?  A. I never spoke with him about this at all, no.  Q. And Baymark wasn't pushing back or trying to get a greater percentage?  A. No. I again, guessing. If there was any pushback, I guess you'd have Super G's e-mails. Maybe he was discussing it with Super G. I could see them wanting more or less. I wouldn't know. They're the ones that are fronting this deal. So I don't maybe that's what it is. I don't know.  Q. Was was Super G bargaining on behalf of Baymark?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And does (scrolling.) Following down the trail here, the e-mail trail, there's an earlier e-mail from November of 2018 from Mr. Denegre to Steve Bellah and yourself.  A. I see that. Q. And it states, "Michelle has been jammed up today and still needs to review the agreement. We had to bring her in last minute because there were multiple parties involved (ACET Global, Windspeed, Baymark) and our corporate counsel does not have bankruptcy/foreclosure expertise within their firm"?  A. Yeah. Q. What do you understand that to be referring to? A. So let me think. November 21st. I think that's when they were still getting over the burn from saying no to the friendly foreclosure, and we were gonna do a straight foreclosure.  And I don't have I don't know if people here at Hallett & Perrin have the expertise in bankruptcy or that type of foreclosure stuff. So that's why she was brought in when they were gonna start foreclosing and do this structure.

#### Page 242 Page 244 1 foreclosure expert to protect me from if they were gonna 1 A. I was doing it under his direction. I'm sure 2 actually go through with the foreclosure, for them to do 2 David condones my action. 3 it right. 3 Q. So he did ask you to do it? 4 Q. Okay. 4 A. I don't know if he specifically asked me to do 5 A. Along with whatever the guideline -- because 5 it, but he was aware of it and was fine with it. 6 I'd never heard of an ABC before. I didn't know if that 6 Q. Okay. How do you know that? 7 7 was the same thing; it turned out it's not. So I didn't A. Because I know David well. We discussed the 8 8 want to have any risk there. performance of the company. We discussed the -- he 9 9 And also the original deal docs didn't talk understood there needed to be made a change, a change at 10 about an ABC. It just talked about the foreclosure 10 the company, and we were just executing that. 11 rights that the senior lender had, so that's where we 11 Q. Okay. Did you -- did you talk to him about it? 12 12 A. Talk to David? needed to stay. 13 Q. And did -- anywhere in this communication, was 13 Q. Yes, sir. there reference to this ABC deal or --14 14 A. Once you know somebody for 11 years, you have 15 15 this abbreviated sense of communication that conveys a A. No. 16 Q. -- structure? 16 lot more than just the few words. 17 A. No. 17 So from an outsider's point of view, yeah, 18 18 we had a brief conversation. But to the insiders, David O. Is there a reason for that? 19 A. Probably because it was already dead by then. 19 and I, we understood where it stood. I knew what he 20 Q. Okay. And this e-mail below from Mr. Bellah to 20 wanted to do, and I -- I was gonna -- I agreed with him. 21 Mr. Denegre and yourself states that "My counsel has left 21 Q. So okay. But he didn't give you -- he didn't 22 her a message. Does she know there's a sense of urgency 22 give you some explicit instruction to go fire Tomer 23 here?" 23 What sense of urgency is he referencing? 2.4 2.4 A. We discussed it, and he approved of what we 25 A. So I'm gonna go from memory here, but I 25 were doing. I think I actually showed him the message --Page 243 Page 245 1 remember early on some complaints that Michelle Shriro 1 or the note before it was sent. 2 didn't even return our call for two weeks or something. 2 Q. Okay. 3 3 So I think we were trying to find out if A. That's it. 4 she even wanted to work with us or not. We wanted to get 4 (Deposition Exhibit 3 marked for 5 moving, so she was -- I don't know that she even returned 5 identification.) 6 6 Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) I'm putting on the screen our call for quite a long time. 7 7 Q. So was Super G getting anxious at having to what I've marked as Exhibit 3. (Shared screen.) 8 8 wait? Do you see that? 9 A. No, I think we're talking about my irritation 9 A. I do. 10 of having a professional that I reached out to not 10 Q. And did you sign this document? 11 respond. I give them four to eight hours because it 11 A. That is my signature, yes. 12 Q. Did you draft this document? doesn't take that long just to send an e-mail saying, 12 13 A. I'm not sure who drafted it, but it certainly "Hey, let's talk. I can get to you," and, "tell me 13 what's going on." But to disappear and go dark on me --14 14 has my touch on it, yes. 15 so that's where I was -- does she understand that she 15 Q. Did you speak with Mr. Damti on February 12th, 16 needs to talk to me? 16 17 Q. Okay. Let me ask you: Did you terminate Tomer 17 A. I don't believe so. 18 Damti? 18 O. Okav. 19 A. Matt -- Matt was the one that delivered a 19 A. I didn't meet with him, no. Q. Okay. And don't believe you had a conversation 2.0 message that we wrote and I signed. 2.0 21 Q. Okay. But you intended to terminate Mr. Damti? 21 with him? 22 A. I did. 22 A. I've spoken to him since, a few times. 23 Q. Did Mr. Hook terminate Mr. Damti? 23 Q. Okay. 2.4 A. No, he didn't. 24 A. I don't know if it was immediately after or a 25 Q. Did he ask you to terminate Mr. Damti? 25 month after, but there's been a few times after.

#### Page 246 Page 248 1 Q. Okay. The letter starts out: "This letter 1 freight. So by the time all your expenses are covered, 2 confirms our discussion today." Is that accurate? 2 you have no cash because you burned it all in improper 3 A. Yeah, that's regarding Matt's discussion with 3 pricing when you buy it, or improper -- it's easy to 4 4 increase your revenue if you drop your price. If you 5 Q. And during all this time, are you saying 5 drop your price, you sell a ton of product, but you got 6 because you had been delegated some authority, you were 6 no margin. So cash flow, EBITDA, is the only thing that 7 kind of overseeing the company? 7 matters. 8 8 A. I am saying that, yes. Q. Was there a big, across-the-board drop in price 9 9 in January of 2018? Q. I mean, are you the leader of the company? 10 10 A. I'm authorized to take this action, if that's A. I don't know. I wasn't involved. Just to dial 11 what you're asking. I don't know if you asked David, but 11 down to it, Tomer was the CEO of the company at that 12 I'm sure he would agree. 12 time. Those were decisions made by him. He understood 13 the industry at that time. So who was ever the leader --Q. I mean, I'm trying to figure out specifically 13 what the authority is. I mean, were you like -- are you the operational leader at the time, they take the hit for 14 14 15 15 the leader of the company? Or are you just doing -- I the performance of the company. 16 mean, just another employee outside the company? 16 Q. And you indicated that the prior January, the 17 A. I'm acting as the designee of the board of 17 company had lost 94,000? 18 directors, number one, directly, since I'm on the board. 18 A. I believe that was the -- yes, the EBITDA loss 19 Number two, David, as president, delegated 19 20 these types of tasks to me as well. So I guess both Q. And this January 2018, how much did you say it 20 21 2.1 lost? wavs. 22 Q. Okay. And was this termination -- what was the 22 A. 82, I think. 23 reason for the termination? 23 Q. 82. Was -- just, I don't know, five or six months before this, you had valued the company at about 24 A. The poor performance of the company from the 2.4 25 time of closing to February. The EBITDA had dropped from 25 4 million bucks? Page 247 Page 249 1 1 million to zero. 1 A. Prior to that, we valued -- the company had 2 2 Q. Okay. And I suspect that this is in February 1 point -- when we did the deal, I think I referred to 3 of 2018, but I suspect that January of 2018 was a bad 3 this, we did it thinking it had 1.2 million in EBITDA. I 4 month. Is that what prompted this? 4 think that was off of June's numbers because we were 5 5 A. Well, the performance all along, it's closing in January, and you don't get those until later. 6 directional. Going from a million in EBITDA cash flow to 6 Turns out it was January was 1.1. That's 7 zero is a problem. Subsequently caused our cash flow 7 okay. Usually they're not spot on. So we valued it 8 8 problems with our banks. based on 1.1 million in EBITDA, and that's correct. 9 But if you speak about January in 9 Q. Okay. And you had -- and in doing so, you had 10 particular, let's dial down on that. In January we only 10 factored in the January of 2017 figures, right? 11 lost \$82,000 in that month as compared to the prior 11 A. Yes. I believe that's what -- yeah. 12 January where we lost \$94,000. So was it better than the 12 Q. And so now the company is doing better than it 13 year prior? Yes, but we still lost \$82,000. 13 did the prior January, right? 14 Q. Okay. Why is that? 14 A. What do you mean by "now"? 15 A. The performance of the company. 15 Q. Well, January 2018. You're telling me it 16 Q. Did revenues go down? 16 actually did better. 17 A. It's -- the EBITDA went down. So the cash that 17 A. It lost -- (laughing.) It lost \$82,000. 18 was available -- for example, if the revenue doubles or 18 That's not -- that's not good by any means. 19 triples, if it doesn't result in cash flow on the bottom 19 Q. Right. But compared to, you know, five months 2.0 to pay your note with, you don't have any cash to buy 20 before, when you had valued the company at about 21 product or pay your notes with. 21 4 million bucks, you were looking at January data that 22 So if you get the best product ever in and 22 was worse, right? 23 people want to buy it but you get it at no margin or 23 A. Their prior January was bad as well, for sure. 2.4 terrible cost and it comes in -- or you ship it air 24 Q. All right. So why is it laughable for me to

question why the -- why all of a sudden the change?

25

25

freight versus ground shipping, you burn it all up in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

## Page 250

A. I want to answer your question. So I didn't intend to laugh at you. It's the world that I live in. When you lose 100,000 or \$84,000 in a month after you'd already lost money in all the months prior -- so when your EBITDA -- let's just say your cash flow goes from a million to zero -- I'm not even taking January.

At December, we were already at a hundred thousand in EBITDA, rounding now. So that was gone to the point where the banks come in and start threatening us, and we have to put more money in. And then to have January be a loser, too.

It is so far beyond the subtle difference of -- well, it's a little bit better, a little bit worse. It's dire at this point. There's a -- the EBITDA's gone. You don't have the cash flow to buy products by December, even.

Q. Okay. Now, you guys are good at your job, right?

A. I don't know how to answer that. I feel I do as good as I can every day.

Q. I assume you're pretty good. You appear to have created a successful -- successful company.

23 Y'all factored in -- I mean, y'all 2.4 evaluated this and factored in the note payments, and you 25 modeled the expected cash flow, right?

## Page 252

delegation of David, from whatever that point was through to -- through to the end, was under David.

And I guess ultimately, at the end, when I signed the bankruptcy, I became president at that point. But that was -- I'm just saying it was under the direction -- delegation of David prior to that point.

Q. Okay.

A. And also a board member. So, you know, David was the president; but also, David and I are both the board members. And what he and I decide -- the board members elect the operating partner.

Q. Okay. What about with respect to ACET Holdco -- Baymark ACET Holdco LLC?

A. All right. You got me. Erase what I just said, and now apply it to ACET Holdco. Because I think at ACET Opco down below, all decisions are pushed up to the Holdco level. So that's what I meant to say, the Holdco level. I apologize.

Q. Got it.

A. You got me.

21 Q. What about Baymark ACET Direct Invest LLC?

> A. ACET Direct Invest is a entity where we collect our limited partners and investors. It's typical in all our deals and private equity deals to make sure they maintain their limited role. And so it's really where

## Page 251

A. We did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And y'all did that at acquisition?
- 3 A. That is true. We did.
  - Q. And there were substantial note payments that you were anticipating paying to Super G?
  - A. Correct.
- 7 Q. So this wasn't, you know, this new encumbrance 8 or, you know, debt servicing payments. These weren't 9 unanticipated.
  - A. The fact that the payments were gonna exist were not unanticipated, correct.
  - Q. And -- okay. Let me ask you: ACET Global, what was your role at ACET Global from 2017 to 2019?
  - A. All right. So that's a span. So at first when the deal was done, David and Matt were working on the deal.

As it started to get into distress -- or the EBITDA was dropping quick and the cash flow problem right out of the gate, he turned it over to me -- or he didn't think that it was a livable or a survivable deal. It was going to have trouble, so he gave it to Matt and I to manage -- or he delegated it to Matt and I.

I have a bit more operational background and expertise, and Matt's very -- knew the business as well. And so my role, under the guise -- or the

Page 253

- 1 the money comes in and then invests in one unit. So if 2 we had two investors or 50 investors, consolidate them 3
  - all into an investment vehicle, and that's what invests
- 4 in.

5

11

12

14

25

- Q. Okay. And what about Baymark Partners?
- 6 A. Baymark Partners in this deal, the only
- 7 connection it had was it had a management agreement to 8
- manage -- or have oversight -- again, back up at ACET 9 Holdco, that's where the management came in as the board
- 10 of -- the directors. Manage it at that level. Receive a
  - fee for that through the agreement --
    - Q. Okay.
- 13 A. -- that we accrued.
  - Q. And what about Baymark Partners Management LLC?
- 15 A. Baymark Partners Management I don't think is 16 connected to ACET Global at all. I think where that
- 17 comes in is it's the entity that's associated with the
- 18 Windspeed -- Bill Szeto -- Trading.
- 19 Q. Okay. And Windspeed Trading, any role with 20
- 21 A. Own the 40 percent equity. It hasn't changed
- 22 from what you showed me. And then also, there was a 23
  - board seat
- 24 Q. Okay. Who had that board seat?
  - A. I believe that was me.

	Daga 254	Daga 256
	Page 254	Page 256
1	Q. Okay. Would you be surprised to learn if it	lender, at the time. I don't believe he works for them
2	was someone else?	2 anymore.
3	A. If it was someone else, it might be David.	Q. Okay. Do you know who he works for?
4	Q. Okay. You think David was the board member?	4 A. No, I don't.
5	A. I don't know. I'm only saying that because	5 Q. Has he ever worked for Baymark Partners?
6	Bill Szeto knew David more than me. I think I've talked	6 A. Never.
7	to Bill Szeto maybe five times.	7 Q. Or any Baymark Partners entity?
8	Q. Okay. In your life?	8 A. Nope.
9	A. Yes.	9 Q. Has he ever held a position at Windspeed
10	Q. Oh. Okay. But he was David's contact or	Trading, possibly a board position but any other
11	connection somehow?	11 position?
12	A. Back in David's old venture capital days, when	12 A. I don't believe so.
13	he would invest in companies and grow them and take them	Q. Have you ever had meetings in person with
14	public, he came in touch with Bill Szeto in that world.	14 Steven Bellah?
15	Q. Okay. What did do you know what David did	15 A. I have.
16	with Windspeed Trading?	Q. When was the last time you had a meeting with
17	A. I don't think he did a single thing. I don't	17 Mr. Bellah?
18	think he knows anything much about it at all.	18 A. In person?
19	Q. But he was a board member?	19 Q. Yes, sir.
20	A. I never said that. You asked me if I would be	20 A. I do remember and this might not be the last
21	surprised to find someone else is, and I guessed. I	one. I do remember taking Steve Bellah, when he came
22	don't know if he is. I thought I was.	into town, to the company to meet Tomer or to talk to
23	Q. Okay. If you were, what did you do with	23 Tomer about because at the time we were trying to keep
24	Windspeed?	24 Steve Bellah enthusiastic about the business.
25	A. I haven't done I think I signed the	25 So we were taking him there to give him
	Page 255	Page 257
1	agreement for the warrants or the Amended Operating	1 you know, we kind of turned this thing around, we believe
2	Agreement, and I don't I haven't done a lot since.	2 it. When I believed it, I told him was going to bring in
3	It's really Bill Szeto's company to run. Like I said, I	3 Lori Barber and pay for it as well, to turn it around as
4	don't really have any contact with him.	4 well. So I know he had a visit. And Matt went I
5	Q. Okay. I mean, have you done any other	5 think Matt went with me as well during that visit to
6	anything else to interact with it?	6 ACET
7	A. No.	7 Q. Okay.
8	MR. FREEMAN: Folks, I think we're almost	8 A when Steve Bellah came into town.
9	at the end. Can I take just a few minutes' break here,	9 Q. Was that close to the last time you met with
10	gather my thoughts and notes. And I don't think I even	10 him in person?
11	need five minutes, and I think we'll be able to wrap up.	11 A. I'll go ahead and say yes. I believe that's
12	THE COURT REPORTER: All right. We'll go	12 probably true.
13	off the record at 4:30 p.m.	Q. Okay. Do you have calls with Mr. Bellah?
14	(A recess was taken from 4:30 p.m. to	14 A. I don't. I haven't spoken to him in years.
15	4:36 p.m.)	15 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Bellah have any financial
16	THE COURT REPORTER: We're back on the	16 interest in Windspeed?
17	record at 4:36 p.m.	17 A. I don't know. I'm gonna guess from what you
18	Q. (BY MR. FREEMAN) Mr. Ludlow, who is Steven	showed me, the warrant was not held by him. So other
19	Bellah?	19 than that, I wouldn't think so.
20	A. (Muted.)	20 Q. Okay. Oh, sorry.
21	Q. I couldn't hear.	A. Is he on the board? I don't know.
22	A. Am I allowed to say I messed up on the	Q. I believe he is on the Windspeed board or was
23	microphone? Okay. So all right. Got it. Try again.	23 the Super G manager.
24	Steven Bellah, he is someone who works	A. If he didn't get replaced when he got fired. I
25	for worked at the time as a representative for Super G	don't know or I don't know if he got fired. I

Page 258 Page 260 1 shouldn't say that. I don't want to disparage anybody. 1 **EXAMINATION** BY MR. PERRIN: 2 When he no longer worked for Super G. 2 3 Q. Did you ever have discussions with Mr. Bellah 3 Q. Mr. Ludlow, a couple of times today, 4 about Tomer Damti? 4 Mr. Freeman has asked you some questions about an 5 A Yes 5 utterance of yours that was heard during Mr. Denegre's 6 6 April 8th, 2021, deposition. Do you recall that? Q. What did you discuss? 7 7 A. Well, at first -- I'll just give you timeline. A. I do. 8 8 I can't tell you when, but it started off, he's asking me Q. I want to ask you a couple more questions on 9 9 if we believed Tomer had what it took to do it, as he that. 10 10 Number one, how were you participating in started to become concerned. And at some point, we 11 received the pressure, "What are we gonna do about the 11 that deposition? 12 12 A. I was on vacation in Colorado and had my laptop performance?" 13 And then by December -- that conversation 13 open on my dining room table. 14 that I mentioned when we needed them to adjust payments, 14 Q. Did you ever have your video on during the 15 15 he was asking me, "I want money from you guys, and I want deposition? 16 everybody who's working there to give us a vow of faith 16 A. No. No video. 17 and show that they believe in the company by putting up 17 Q. So why didn't you have your video on? 18 money." 18 A. I was attempting to be a silent participant. 19 I think the money started off, like, 75,000 19 Q. Did you -- so without your video on, could 20 they wanted us to put in, and we got it down to 50. They 20 anyone see your face? your expressions? your reactions of 21 didn't care, but they wanted Tomer to be a piece of that. 21 any kind? 22 So that was discussing Tomer at that time. 22 A. No, I don't believe so. I don't think my 23 Q. Okay. Did you ever have discussions with 23 camera was ever on. Q. Similarly, did you intend to have your audio 24 Mr. Bellah about moving assets from ACET Global to 2.4 25 25 Windspeed? turned off? Page 259 Page 261 1 1 A. I don't know about moving assets. If you want A. I did. 2 to encompass in that foreclosure, regardless of how you 2 Q. Now, when you made the statement that we've 3 3 do it, that is moving assets, even if they take them from been discussing, did you think anyone would hear it? 4 your hands. So maybe we discussed that. Or saying, 4 A. No. I was sure no one would hear it. I mean, "Let's do an ABC." I don't know. I don't -- I don't 5 5 at the time it was just an excited utterance like when 6 think so. 6 you're watching a football game. 7 7 O. Okay. Q. Did you intend for anyone to hear? 8 8 A. I don't think so. A. No, absolutely not. 9 9 Q. Just a few more questions on -- in preparing Q. How did you feel when you realized your mic was 10 for today's deposition, what did you do to prepare for 10 11 the deposition today? 11 A. Well, it was a way -- it was a shock because 12 12 A. Well, being a corporate representative of many I'd just stepped up and I was stepping into the kitchen. entities, I looked at a lot of e-mails. I read a lot of 13 13 And when I made that comment, it was -- I could hear it 14 documents that I could get my hands on, looked at the tax 14 was silent behind me. And then somebody said, "What was 15 records, and I met with my attorney here for a couple 15 that?" or something. I went back and I started -- it was 16 16 hours yesterday. shock. It was horror, and then it was embarrassment that 17 17 Q. Okay. Did you talk with anyone else? I realized my mic was on. And after a second of talking, 18 A. Not a person. 18 I think I actually said, "My mic is on." 19 O. You reviewed a lot of e-mails? 19 And someone said, "Who is that?" A. I looked at all the ones that we submitted and 2.0 20 And I claimed it, and I said, "My mic was 21 documents and all the discovery stuff and our responses 21 22 22 Q. Mr. Ludlow, were you trying to instruct or 23 MR. FREEMAN: Okay. I believe I'm done. I 23 influence anyone, including Mr. Denegre, in making that 24 don't have any more questions. So I will pass the 24 25 witness. 25 A. I wasn't. It wasn't intended for anyone.

	Page 262	Page 264
1	MR. PERRIN: We reserve further questions	1 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
2	until time of trial.	2 WITNESS NAME: ANTHONY LUDLOW
3	THE COURT REPORTER: All right. May we go	3 DATE: APRIL 15, 2021
4	off the record, everyone?	4 PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON
5	on the record, everyone.	5
6	(Following discussion was had off the	6
7	written record.)	7
8	THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Perrin, would you	8
9	like the witness to read and sign?	9
10	MR. PERRIN: Yes, ma'am.	10
11	THE COURT REPORTER: And would you like a	11
12	copy?	12
13	MR. PERRIN: Yes, ma'am.	13
14	THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	14
15	And, Mr. Freeman, would you like regular	15
16	deliver or expedited delivery?	16
17	MR. FREEMAN: Regular delivery is fine.	17
18	THE COURT REPORTER: And would you like a	18
19	rough draft?	19
20	MR. FREEMAN: Rough draft is great. By	20
21	Monday is fine.	21
22	THE COURT REPORTER: Mr. Perrin, would you	22
23	like a rough draft?	23
24	MR. PERRIN: I never got a rough draft	24
25	before. What's entailed in that with you?	25
20	colore. What's chance in that with you.	
	Page 263	Page 265
		5
1		
1 2	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to	1 I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing 2 deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is
_		1 I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing 2 deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is 3 true and correct, except as noted above.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.
2	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  4
2 3 4	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine.  THE COURT REPORTER: Will do.	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.
2 3 4 5	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine.	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine.  THE COURT REPORTER: Will do.  Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine.  THE COURT REPORTER: Will do.  Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine.  THE COURT REPORTER: Will do.  Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT REPORTER: I would be happy to have the office get back to you with a quote and you can decide.  MR. PERRIN: That would be fine. THE COURT REPORTER: Will do. Thank you, everyone. Have a great rest of the day.  (Remote deposition concluded at 4:44 p.m.,	I, ANTHONY LUDLOW, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted above.  ANTHONY LUDLOW  THE STATE OF

67 (Pages 262 to 265)

Page 266  Page 278  Page 2			
2 DET PARTNES, LLC, Journal of the second of the telephone of the second		Page 266	Page 268
ARE EDWARD PERRIN SQUIRE    Plaintiff,		D&T PARTNERS, LLC (successor § IN THE DISTRICT COURT	LUDLOW:
## Plaintiff. \$   Value   Sample   Samp	3		
Dallas, Tean 75202 The Control Country of the Control Country of the Country of t			
## CAPT GLORAL, LLC; BAYMARK # DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK # ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK # ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK # ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK # ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK MANAGEMENT, LLC; # B	4		· ·
ACET GLOBAL_ILC; BAYMARK   SALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS ACET DIBECT INVIST, LIC; & S BAYMARK MANAGEMENT, LIC; & S BAYMARK MANAGEMENT, LIC; & S BAYMARK PARTISES, DAVID   \$ HOOK: TOYN LUDLOW; and \$ WINDSPEED TRADING, LIC.   \$ 1 Defendants.   \$ 116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT   11	5		
ACET HOLDCO, LIC, SAYMARK \$  ACET HOLDCO, LIC, SAYMARK \$  BAYMARK MANAGEMENT, LLC, \$  BAYMARK PASTNERS, DAVID \$  HOOK TONY LUDLOW; and \$  9 WINDSPEED TRAINING, LLC, \$  10 Defendants. \$ 116th JURCIAL DISTRICT  11 THE MANAGEMENT, LLC, \$  12 ANTHONY LUDLOW  13 ANTHONY LUDLOW  14 REMOTE VIDEOCONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF  15 ANTHONY LUDLOW  16 ANTHONY LUDLOW  17 ANTHONY LUDLOW  18 APRIL 15, 2017  19 CORR TREPORTERS CERTIFICATE  22 L. COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATE  23 I. Karen L. D. Schoew, Certified Shorthand  24 Reporter, in and for the State of Texas, bereby certify  25 to the following:  27 Data the witness, Authony Ludlow, was remotely  4 by the Witness  5 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is a rune record of the testimony given by the defect of the authorn of the bitness of rot on the witness or to the automory  16 The Lambert of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  17 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  18 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  19 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  10 That the plaintiff  10 That the uncount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  10 That the plaintiff  11 That the uncount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  10 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  11 That the uncount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  12 EDWARD PERRIN . OH OLUR(S):02 MINUTE(S)  13 For the Plaintiff  14 That pursuant to information of the use	6		1 1
BAYMARK MANAGEMENT, LLC. § BAYMARK MANAGEMENT, LLC. § BAYMARK PARTMERS, DAND § HOOK TONY LUDLOW, and § WINDSPED TRADING, LLC. § Defendants. § 116h JUDICIAL DISTRICT  Defendants. § 116h JUDICIAL DISTRICT  12 13 14 REMOTE VIDEOCONFRENCED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY LUDLOW APRIL 15, 2021  15 26 APRIL 15, 2021  17 27 28 CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC  29 10 CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC  21 20 CURT REPOSITES CERTIFICATE  21 31 32 43 Reporter. In and for the batte of Texas, bereby certify 32 34 by the witness 55 That the deposition is an follows. 56 That the deposition is an econd of the testimony given by the witness 55 That the deposition is an follow. 56 That the deposition is an follows. 57 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is an follows. 58 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is an follows. 59 That the amount of time used by each party at The Phaintail 13 TONY LUDLOW 15 The Defendant WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC 17 The Defendant WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC 17 The That pursuant to information given to the deposition offer at the insense for ecord. 17 The That pursuant to information given to the deposition offer at the insense information given to the the following includes counsel for all parties of record. 18 That pursuant to information given to the the following includes counsel for all parties of record. 19 That pursuant to information given to the the following includes counsel for all parties of record. 19 The THEMANT LAP LLC 20 TONE TREEMAN IN SOURE THE MONTH WOOD SEQUENT THE COUNTY LUDLOW 21 The THEMANT LAP LLC 22 THE THEMANT LAP LLC 23 TONE REPEMAN LAW, PLLC 24 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 25 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 26 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 27 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 28 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 29 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 20 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 20 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 20 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 21 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 21 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 22 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 23 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 24 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 25 TONE TREE MAN LAW, PLLC 26 THE THEMANT LAW, PLLC 27 THE THEMANT L		ACET HOLDCO, LLC; BAYMARK §	· ·
BAYMARK PARTNERS, DAVID   \$   HOOK, TOXYLUDLOW and \$   1   10   11   11   11   11   11   1	7		
## HOUST IONT LOLLOW, and \$ 9 WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC. \$ 10 Defendants. \$ 116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT 11 12 12 13	8	BAYMARK PARTNERS; DAVID §	· ·
Defendants \$ \$116h JUDICIAL DISTRICT    10	9		
beneficials. § 16th IUBICIAL DISTRICT    1		<b>§</b>	
REMOTE WIDSOCONFERENCED DEPOSITION OF APRIL 15, 2021  ANTHON Y LIDLOW APRIL 15, 2021  CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATE LOURT		Defendants. § 116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
REMOTE VIDEOCONFERNCED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY LUDLOW APRIL 15, 2021 ANTHONY LUDLOW APRIL 15, 2021  CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE LOUGH REPOR			6
15 ANTHONY LUDLOW 16 APRIL 15, 2021 17 APRIL 15, 2021 18 18 19 CRITIFED STENOGRAPHIC 21 COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATE 22 23 I. Karen L. D. Schoeve, Certified Shorthand 23 24 Reporter, in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify 25 to the following: 25 to the following: 26 Page 267  1 That the witness, Anthony Ludlow, was remotely 27 duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given 28 by the witness; 29 That the deposition transcript was submitted on rem by to the witness or to the attorney 29 That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is a stollows: 20 II BEWARD PERRIN - 00 HOUR(S):32 MINUTE(S) 21 For Defendants BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW 21 That pursuant to information given to the deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken, the following includes coursel for all parties of record: 21 That pursuant to information given to the deposition in formation given to the following includes coursel for all parties of record: 21 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 22 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 23 FOR FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 24 PRIME THE PROPERTY APPLICATE 25 TORM THE PLAINTIFF: 26 PREEMAN LAW, PLLC 27 JOIN Main Street 28 PREMA LAW, PLLC 29 FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 20 TEXTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPTION was taken, and truther that man of thinch this proceedings of the feel action. The price action which this proceeding for, related to the outcomes of the action. The price action which this proceeding for, related to the following includes coursel for all the action. The price of the following includes coursel for all parties of record: 21 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 22 JASON B. REEMAN, ESQUIRE 23 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 24 JASA 3410 25 TORM THE PLAINTIFF: 25 JASA LAW, PLLC 26 TORM THE PLAINTIFF: 27 JASA LAW, PLLC 28 PRIME APPLICATE 29 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 20 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 21 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 22 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 23 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 24 JASA LAW, PLLC 25 JASA LAW, PLLC 26 JASA LAW, PLLC 27 JASA LAW, PLLC 2			13
16 APRIL 15, 2021 17			1
Tat the witness, Anthony Ludlow, was remotely duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the soliton is a rure record of the testimony given by the witness for examination, signature and return to me by signature and return to the deposition is a follows:    Page 267   Page 269			16 attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC   20   Further certification requirements pursuant to   21   Rule 203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have occurred.   22   23   I. Karen L. D. Schoeve, Certified Shorthand   23   24   25   25   25   25   25   26   25   25			The state of the s
22   COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATE   22   Rule 203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have occurred.   22   23   Rule 203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have occurred.   23   24   25   25   26   27   27   27   27   27   27   27		GERTHEN GET VOCE ANNO	
23 L Karen L. D. Schoeve, Certified Shorthand 24 Reporter, in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify 25 to the following:  Page 267  Page 267  That the witness, Anthony Ludlow, was remotely 26 duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the 37 oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given 38 the deposition is a true record of the testimony given 49 the witness; 5 That the deposition transcript was submitted on 40 the witness for examination, signature and return to 41 me by 42 me the deposition is as follows: 45 That the amount of time used by each party at 46 the deposition is as follows: 47 That the amount of time used by each party at 48 the deposition is as follows: 49 That the amount of time used by each party at 49 the deposition is as follows: 40 That the amount of time used by each party at 40 the deposition is as follows: 41 JASON B. REEMAN - 05 HOUR(S):32 MINUTE(S) 42 For Defendants BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and 43 TONY LUDLOW 41 To Probe the Alambar of the time said testimony was taken, 44 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 45 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 46 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 47 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 48 The Lannities of the time said testimony was taken, 49 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 49 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 40 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 40 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 41 That the witness, Anthony Ludlow and the time said testimony was taken, 45 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 41 That the witness, Anthony Ludlow, was remotely 42 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 42 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 43 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 44 The following includes counsel for all parties of record: 45 The following includes counsel			· ·
Page 267  That the witness, Anthony Ludlow, was remotely duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the order of the testimony given by the witness; or to the attorney for the witness for examination, signature and return to me by			, and the second
Page 267  Page 267  Page 269  That the witness, Anthony Ludlow, was remotely duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness; That the deposition transcript was submitted on to the witness or to the attorney for the witness for examination, signature and return to me by That the amount of time used by each party at the deposition is as follows:  JASON B. FREEMAN - 05 HOUR(S):32 MINUTE(S) For the Plaintiff  EDWARD PERRIN - 00 HOUR(S):02 MINUTE(S) For Defendants BAYMARK ENTITIES, DAVID HOOK and TONY LUDLOW  BRENDA HARD-WILSON- 00 HOUR(S):00 MINUTE(S) For Defendant WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC  BRENDA HARD-WILSON- 00 HOUR(S):00 MINUTE(S) For Defendant of information given to the deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken, the following includes counsel for all parties of record: FOR THE PLAINTIFF: JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE FREEMAN LAW, PLLC FIRSON, TEXAS 75034 T: 214.984.3410 F: ston, Texas 75013 Certified to by me this 25th day of April, Certi			
That the witness, Anthony Ludlow, was remotely duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness; That the deposition transcript was submitted on			
15     BRENDA HARD-WILSON- 00 HOUR(S):00 MINUTE(S) 16     For Defendant WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC 17     15 18     That pursuant to information given to the 19     deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken, 20     the following includes counsel for all parties of record: 21     FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 22     JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE     FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 23     7011 Main Street     Frisco, Texas 75034 24     T: 214.984.3410     F: 214.984.3409 25  12  12  13  14  15  16  16  16  17  18  19  20  21  21  22  23  7011 Main Street     Frisco, Texas 75034 22  23  24  15: 214.984.3410 24  25  26  27  28  29  29  20  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  29  20  20  20  21  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  29  20  20  20  21  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  29  20  20  20  21  21  22  23  24  24  25  26  27  28  29  29  20  20  20  21  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  29  20  20  20  21  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  29  20  20  20  21  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  27  28  29  29  20  20  20  20  21  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  27  28  28  29  29  20  20  20  20  20  20  20  20	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness;  That the deposition transcript was submitted on	2 2021. 3 4 5 Karen L.D. Schoeve, CSR, RDR, CRR 6 Realtime Systems Administrator
16       For Defendant WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC       14         17       15         18       That pursuant to information given to the       16         19       deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken,       17         20       the following includes counsel for all parties of record:       18         21       FOR THE PLAINTIFF:       19         22       JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE       20         FREEMAN LAW, PLLC       21         23       7011 Main Street       22         Frisco, Texas 75034       22         24       T: 214.984.3410       23         F: 214.984.3409       24	15		
17 18		` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	
18		FOR DETENDANT WINDSPEED TRADING, LLC	
20 the following includes counsel for all parties of record: 21 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 22 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 20 FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 23 7011 Main Street 22 Frisco, Texas 75034 24 T: 214.984.3410 25 F: 214.984.3409			16
21 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 22 JASON B. FREEMAN, ESQUIRE 5 FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 23 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 24 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 21 20 21 22 22 23 24 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		1	
FREEMAN LAW, PLLC 23 7011 Main Street 21 22 Frisco, Texas 75034 24 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	21	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	
23 7011 Main Street Frisco, Texas 75034 24 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 21 22 23 24 25	22	-	20
Frisco, Texas 75034 24 T: 214.984.3410 F: 214.984.3409 23 24 25	23	7011 Main Street	
F: 214.984.3409	24		
25 jason@freemanlaw.com	27	F: 214.984.3409	
	25	jason@freemanlaw.com	40

	Page 270	
-	FUDELIED OF DESIGNATION AND DAMES OF THE CO.	
1	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 203 TRCP	
2	The original deposition was/was not returned to the	
3	deposition officer on;	
4	If returned, the attached Changes and Signature page	
5	contains any changes and the reasons therefor;	
6	If returned, the original deposition was delivered	
7	to JASON B. FREEMAN, custodial attorney;	
8	That \$ is the deposition officer's charges	
9	to the Plaintiff for preparing the original deposition	
10	transcript and any copies of exhibits;	
11	That the deposition was delivered in accordance with	
12	Rule 203.3, and that a copy of this certificate was	
13	served on all parties shown herein on and filed with the	
14	Clerk.	
15 16	Certified to by me this day of	
16	, 2021.	
17		
18		
19		
20	V I D. C.1 CCD. DDD. CDD	
21	Karen L.D. Schoeve, CSR, RDR, CRR	
21	Realtime Systems Administrator	
2.2	Texas CSR No. 3354, Exp.: 10-31-2021	
22	NCRA Exp. Date: 09-30-21	
2.2	Usher Reporting Services	
23	Firm Registration 10278	
0.4	1326 Lochness Drive	
24	Allen, Texas 75013	
٥٦	214-755-1612	
25		